arXiv:math/0207127v3 [math.RT] 9 Jul 2004

INDUCED AND SIMPLE MODULES OF DOUBLE AFFINE HECKE ALGEBRAS

Eric Vasserot

Abstract. We classify the simple integrable modules of double affine Hecke algebras via perverse sheaves. We get also some estimate for the Jordan-Hölder multiplicities of induced modules.

Contents

1. Introduction
2. Reminder on flag manifolds
3. Reminder on double affine Hecke algebras
4. The convolution algebra
5. Induced modules
6. The regular case
7. Induction of sheaves and Fourier transform
8. The type A case
9. Other examples
A. Appendix : K-theory
B. Appendix : infinite dimensional vector spaces

1. Introduction

1.0. The present work is partially motivated by some recent progress in the representation theory of rational Cherednik algebras, see [BEG2] and the references therein. The main tool, there, is an induction functor from modules over a Weyl group to modules over the rational algebra. It is desirable to have a geometric model for the induction functor from the affine Hecke algebra to the double affine Hecke algebra, and for the Jordan-Hölder multiplicities. To do so the first step is to construct geometrically a class of simple modules. We do this via equivariant K-theory and perverse sheaves. In the classification of simple modules two main cases appear. In the first one we deal with equivariant perverse sheaves on a variety with a finite number of orbits. Then the construction is quite parallel to the affine case. In the second case there is an infinite number of orbits and the picture is less clear. Fortunately a Fourier transform exchanges both cases, yielding a complete classification of the simple integrable modules.

2000*Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 17B37; Secondary 17B67, 14M15, 16E20.

Typeset by $\mathcal{AMS}$-TEX

1.1. The proof uses the Kashiwara affine flag manifold $\mathcal{X}$. It is a non Noetherian scheme which is locally pro-smooth and of pro-finite type. The idea to generalize K-theoretic technics from the affine Hecke algebra to the double affine Hecke algebra is not new. It was mentioned to us by Ginzburg about 10 years ago, and was probably known before that. The idea to use Kashiwara-Tanisaki's tools for double affine Hecke algebras appears first in [GG], where a geometric construction of the regular representation is sketched. Our construction is different from *loc. cit.* We construct a ring and not only a faithfull representation. Moreover it is essential for us to do a reduction to the fixed point subset respectively to some semisimple element whose 'rotation' component is not a root of unity. The case of roots of unity is still open.

Let $\mathbf{H}$ be the double affine Hecke algebra. One Important step in our work is Theorem 4.9 which yields a ring homomorphism from $\mathbf{H}$ to a ring defined via the equivariant K-theory of an analogue of the Steinberg variety. Let us sketch briefly this construction.

We first define an affine analogue of the Steinberg variety, which we denote by $\mathcal{Z}$. It is an ind-scheme of ind-infinite type. It comes with a filtration by subsets $\mathcal{Z}_{\leq y}$, with y in the affine Weyl group W. The subsets $\mathcal{Z}_{\leq y}$ are reduced separated schemes of infinite type, and the natural inclusions $\mathcal{Z}_{\leq y'} \subseteq \mathcal{Z}_{\leq y}$, with $y' \leq y$ are closed immersions. The set $\mathcal{Z}$ is endowed with an action of a torus A wich preserves each term of the filtration.

For a well-chosen element $a \in A$, the fixed point set $\mathcal{Z}^a \subset \mathcal{Z}$ is a scheme locally of finite type. Hence we have a convolution ring $\mathbf{K}^A(\mathcal{Z}^a)$: it is the inductive limit of the system of $\mathbf{R}_A$-modules $\mathbf{K}^A((\mathcal{Z}_{\leq y})^a)$ with $y \in W$. Each $\mathbf{K}^A((\mathcal{Z}_{\leq y})^a)$ is itself the projective limit of the system of $\mathbf{R}_A$-modules $\mathbf{K}^A(({}^w\mathcal{Z}_{\leq y})^a)$ with $w \in W$ and ${}^w\mathcal{Z}_{\leq y} \subset \mathcal{Z}_{\leq y}$ an open subscheme such that $({}^w\mathcal{Z}_{\leq y})^a$ is of finite type. See 4.4.

Although the convolution product on $\mathbf{K}(\mathcal{Z}^a)$ is easily defined, it is not so easy to construct a ring homomorphism $\mathbf{H} \to \mathbf{K}^A(\mathcal{Z}^a)$ without using the whole $\mathcal{Z}$. Let $\underline{\mathbf{H}}$ be the affine Hecke algebra. Recall that in the geometric construction of $\underline{\mathbf{H}}$ in [CG] or [KL1], one constructs a ring isomorphism from $\underline{\mathbf{H}}$ to the equivariant K-theory group of the Steinberg variety $\underline{\mathcal{Z}}$. However it is more delicate to construct directly a ring homomorphism $\underline{\mathbf{H}} \to \mathbf{K}(\underline{\mathcal{Z}}^a)$. More precisely, although it is quite possible to assign elements in $\mathbf{K}(\underline{\mathcal{Z}}^a)$ to the standard generators of $\underline{\mathbf{H}}$, it is delicate to check the required relations without using the whole variety $\underline{\mathcal{Z}}$. This explains several technical difficulties in our work.

We do not define a convolution ring $\mathbf{K}^A(\mathcal{Z})$. This is not necessary. To construct a ring homomorphism $\Psi_a : \mathbf{H} \to \mathbf{K}^A(\mathcal{Z}^a)_a$ we proceed as follows :

Step 1. For any non empty proper set J of simple roots, let $W_J \subsetneq W$ be the corresponding parabolic subgroup. It is well-known that W_J is a Weyl group of finite type. Let G_J be the connected, simply connected, simple group whose Weyl group is W_J. Let $\mathcal{Z}_J$ be the Steinberg variety of G_J. Let $\mathbf{H}_J$ be the affine Hecke algebra associated to G_J. There is a ring isomorphism $\Psi_J : \mathbf{H}_J \to \mathbf{K}^{G_J \times \mathbb{C}^\times}(\mathcal{Z}_J)$.

Step 2. Let $w_J \in W_J$ be the longuest element. The Grothendieck group $\mathbf{K}^A({}^w\mathcal{Z}_{\leq w_J})$ of A-equivariant coherent sheaves on ${}^w\mathcal{Z}_{\leq w_J}$ makes sense. It is the inductive limit of $\mathbf{K}^A({}^w\mathcal{Z}^{k\ell}_{\leq w_J})$, where ${}^w\mathcal{Z}^{k\ell}_{\leq w_J}$ is a Noetherian quotient scheme of ${}^w\mathcal{Z}_{\leq w_J}$. The groups $\mathbf{K}^A({}^w\mathcal{Z}_{\leq w_J})$ are endowed with a convolution product. Remark that the natural embedding ${}^w\mathcal{Z}^{k\ell}_{\leq y'} \subset {}^w\mathcal{Z}^{k\ell}_{\leq y}$ may be not closed, see Remark 4.2. This explains a technical complication in the definition of the product on $\mathbf{K}^A({}^w\mathcal{Z}_{\leq w_J})$.

See 4.5. For a similar reason we have to consider the Thomason concentration isomorphism on non separated schemes. A reminder is given in the appendix. Induction of sheaves yields a map $\mathbf{K}^{G_J\times\mathbb{C}^\times}(\mathcal{Z}_J)\to\mathbf{K}^A({}^w\mathcal{Z}_{\leq w_J})$. Composing it with the bivariant concentration map and the natural map $\mathbf{K}^A(({}^w\mathcal{Z}_{\leq w_J})^a)_a\to\mathbf{K}^A(\mathcal{Z}^a)_a$ yields a ring homomorphism $\Theta_J : \mathbf{K}^{G_J\times\mathbb{C}^\times}(\mathcal{Z}_J)\to\mathbf{K}^A(\mathcal{Z}^a)_a$.

Step 3. The maps Θ_J are compatible in the following sense. If $J_1\subset J_2$ then $\mathbf{H}_{J_1}$ embeds in $\mathbf{H}_{J_2}$ and the composition of the chain of maps

$$\mathbf{H}_{J_1}\to\mathbf{H}_{J_2}\to\mathbf{K}^{G_{J_2}\times\mathbb{C}^\times}(\mathcal{Z}_{J_2})\to\mathbf{K}^A(\mathcal{Z}^a)_a$$

equals $\Theta_{J_1}\circ\Psi_{J_1}$. Now each algebra $\mathbf{H}_J$ embeds as a parabolic subalgebra of $\mathbf{H}$, and $\mathbf{H}$ is obviously generated by $\bigcup_J\mathbf{H}_J$. The compatibility condition above insures that the morphisms $\Theta_J\circ\Psi_J$ glue together in a morphism $\Psi_a : \mathbf{H}\to\mathbf{K}^A(\mathcal{Z}^a)_a$. It is uniquely determined.

The map Ψ_a becomes surjective after a suitable completion of $\mathbf{H}$. It is certainly not injective. Using Ψ_a, a standard sheaf-theoretic construction, due to Ginzburg in the $\underline{\mathbf{H}}$-case, gives us a collection of simple $\mathbf{H}$-modules. They are precisely the simple integrable modules. Remark that it is important for us to construct the convolution product on $\mathbf{K}^A(\mathcal{Z}^a)_a$ and the ring homomorphism Ψ_a, rather than the regular representation of $\mathbf{H}$ as in [CG], in order to use Ginzburg's machinery. Otherwise, we can not get a geometric interpretation for the Jordan-Hölder multiplicities of induced modules as in 7.5.

We conclude this subsection by two remarks :

First, as far as fixed point subsets are concerned, we may consider only the ind-scheme $\mathcal{B}$, see 2.7 for the notation, rather than Kashiwara's scheme $\mathcal{X}$: although $\mathcal{X}$ contains $\mathcal{B}$ as a proper subset, the fixed point subsets $\mathcal{B}^a$, $\mathcal{X}^a$ coincide, see Lemma 2.13.(ii). However, we use $\mathbf{K}^A({}^w\mathcal{Z}_{\leq w_J})$ in the definition of Θ_J and to check the compatibilities in Step 3, see Lemma 4.7. Recall that ${}^w\mathcal{Z}_{\leq w_J}$ is a scheme of infinite type. We do not know how to make sense of the Grothendieck group of coherent sheaves with infinite dimensional support on $\mathcal{Z}_{\leq w_J}$, i.e. $\mathbf{K}^A({}^w\mathcal{Z}_{\leq w_J})$, without using Kashiwara's affine flag manifold. For instance, viewing $\mathcal{Z}_{\leq w_J}$ as an ind-scheme rather than a scheme would only give us coherent sheaves with finite dimensional support on $\mathcal{Z}_{\leq w_J}$. See Section 4, in particular the preliminary subsection, for more details.

Second, our construction is similar to Lusztig's action of the affine Weyl group on the homology of the affine Springer fibers in [L5]. Indeed, one can prove that the actions of $\mathbf{H}$ and W are compatible in some sense, see 9.4 for details. Our work is more technical than [L5], because Lusztig does not use fixed points sets relatively to a, and because he does not construct any simple module.

1.2. Let us say a few words on the structure of this paper. It contains nine sections.

Section 2 contains basic results on reductive groups and flag manifolds. The definition of the Kashiwara flag manifold and some basic property are given in 2.3-5. The scheme $\mathcal{X}$ is locally of infinite type. We construct a scheme $\mathcal{T}$ which is a substitute to the cotangent bundle of $\mathcal{X}$. The definition of $\mathcal{T}$ and its basic properties are given in 2.6-7. The fixed point subsets of $\mathcal{X}$, $\mathcal{T}$ are studied in 2.13.

Section 3 contains the definition of the double affine Hecke algebra $\mathbf{H}$.

Section 4 contains the definition of $\mathcal{Z}$. Basic properties of $\mathcal{Z}$ are given in 4.1-2. The convolution product on the equivariant K-groups of $\mathcal{Z}^a$ is given in 4.4. The convolution algebra is related to $\mathbf{H}$ in 4.6-9.

Section 5 contains a geometric construction of some induced **H**-modules. It is an affine analogue of [L3].

In Sections 6,7 we state and prove the main theorem. The simple and induced modules in the regular case are studied in Section 6. The classification of the simple modules is achieved in 7.4, 7.6, the study of the induced modules in 7.5.

The type A is described in Section 8.

Section 9 contains examples.

Appendix A contains recollections on equivariant K-theory. In particular we consider the case of non-separated schemes. Appendix B contains recollections on endomorphism algebras of infinite dimensional vector spaces.

1.3. Let us insist on a few points which are important for the representation theory of the double affine Hecke algebra.

First, when the parameters are generic the representations induced from irreducible representations of the affine Hecke algebra remain irreducible, due to an argument of Cherednik via intertwiners. Geometrically, it means that the nilpotent orbits which contains the Jordan-Hölder multiplicities of induced modules are the same in the affine and the double affine cases, see 9.1 for details. Thus the main problem is in the regular case, see Definition 2.14.

Second, in type A case Cherednik has recently given a combinatorial approach to the representation of double affine Hecke algebras. It is coherent with our description, see 8.2-3. Moreover, in this case the Jordan-Hölder multiplicities of the induced modules are related to the canonical basis of the cyclic quiver. It is quite remarkable that, for type A , the multiplicities are given by Kazhdan-Lusztig polynomials of type $A^{(1)}$. The appearance of Kazhdan-Lusztig polynomials was already suggested in a less precise way in [AST]. Theorem 8.5 gives an algorithm to compute the Jordan-Hölder multiplicities of induced modules. It is inspired from [A], [VV].

Finally, finite dimensional representations are still unknown. However a special class of finite dimensional modules, which where introduced previously by Cherednik (see [C2, Theorem 8.5] for instance), can be nicely described in geometrical terms. There are related to some regular semi-simple nil-elliptic elements introduced by Lusztig a few years ago, see 9.3.

1.4. General conventions. By scheme we always mean scheme over $\mathbb{C}$. By variety we mean a reduced separated scheme of finite type. Let G be a linear group. Set G° equal to the identity component of G.

Let $\mathcal{X}$ be any Noetherian G-scheme (i.e. a Noetherian scheme with a regular action of the group G). Set $\mathbf{K}^G(\mathcal{X})$ equal to the complexified Grothendieck group of the Abelian category of G-equivariant coherent sheaves on $\mathcal{X}$. Set $\mathbf{K}_G(\mathcal{X})$ equal to the complexified Grothendieck group of the exact category of G-equivariant locally free sheaves on $\mathcal{X}$. Let $\mathbf{K}^G_i(\mathcal{X})$, $\mathbf{K}^i_G(\mathcal{X})$, $i \geq 1$, denote the higher complexified K-groups. We may write $\mathbf{K}^G_0(\mathcal{X})$, $\mathbf{K}^0_G(\mathcal{X})$ for $\mathbf{K}^G(\mathcal{X})$, $\mathbf{K}_G(\mathcal{X})$.

We write $\mathbf{R}_G$ for $\mathbf{K}_G(point)$. If G is a diagonalizable group and $g \in G$, let $\mathbf{J}_g \subset \mathbf{R}_G$ be the maximal ideal associated to g, and $\mathbb{C}_g = \mathbf{R}_G/\mathbf{J}_g$. For any $\mathbf{R}_G$-module $\mathbf{M}$ we set $\mathbf{M}_g = \mathbf{M} \otimes_{\mathbf{R}_G} \mathbb{C}_g$. See the appendix for other notations relative to K-theory.

For any subset $S \subset G$ we write $\mathcal{X}^S \subset \mathcal{X}$ for the fixed point subset. Let $\delta_{\mathcal{X}}$ denote the diagonal immersion $\mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X}$. Let $q_{ij} : \mathcal{X}_1 \times \mathcal{X}_2 \times \mathcal{X}_3 \to \mathcal{X}_i \times \mathcal{X}_j$, $q_i : \mathcal{X}_1 \times \mathcal{X}_2 \to \mathcal{X}_i$ denote the obvious projections.

Given a one-parameter subgroup $\rho : \mathbb{C}^\times \to G$, we write $\mathbb{C}^\times_\rho$ for $\rho(\mathbb{C}^\times)$. We write $\langle g \rangle \subset G$ for the closed subgroup generated by the element $g \in G$, and $N_G(H)$ for the normalizer of a subgroup $H \subset G$.

Assume now that $\mathcal{X}$ is a variety. Let $\mathbf{H}_*(\mathcal{X})$ denote the complex Borel-Moore homology of $\mathcal{X}$. Occasionally we consider the equivariant topological K-homology groups $\mathbf{K}^G_{i,\mathrm{top}}(\mathcal{X})$, with $i = 0, 1$. As above we may write $\mathbf{K}^G_{\mathrm{top}}(\mathcal{X})$ for $\mathbf{K}^G_{0,\mathrm{top}}(\mathcal{X})$. Let also $\mathbf{D}(\mathcal{X})$ be the derived category of bounded complexes of $\mathbb{C}$-sheaves on $\mathcal{X}$ whose cohomology groups are constructible sheaves, and $\mathbf{D}_G(\mathcal{X})$ be the triangulated category of G-equivariant complexes of constructible sheaves on $\mathcal{X}$ constructed in [BL]. Let $\mathbb{C}_\mathcal{X}$ be the constant sheaf, and $\mathbb{D}_\mathcal{X}$ be the dualizing complex. For any G-equivariant local system χ on a locally closed set $\mathcal{O} \subset \mathcal{X}$, let IC_χ be the corresponding intersection cohomology complex. It is a simple perverse sheaf if χ is an irreducible local system. If $\mathcal{O}$ is a G-orbit and $e \in \mathcal{O}$, we may identify a representation of the component group of the isotropy subgroup of e in G with the corresponding G-equivariant local system on $\mathcal{O}$.

Given graded vector spaces V, W we write $V \dot{=} W$ for a linear isomorphism that does not necessarily preserve the gradings. We will also use the notation $\dot{=}$ to denote quasi-isomorphisms that only hold up to a shift in the derived category.

If V is a finite dimensional vector space, let V^* be the dual space and $\mathbf{F}_V : \mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{con}}(V) \to \mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{con}}(V^*)$ be the Fourier transform. Here $\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{con}}(V)$ is the full subcategory of $\mathbf{D}(V)$ of conic sheaves, i.e. complexes of sheaves whose cohomology is constant on the $\mathbb{R}^+$-orbits. Set $\mathbb{C}^\times_V = \mathbb{C}^\times \cdot \mathrm{Id}_V \subset \mathrm{GL}(V)$. The $\mathbb{C}^\times_V$-equivariant perverse sheaves are conic. If E is a $G \times \mathbb{C}^\times_V$-equivariant perverse sheaf then $\mathbf{F}_V(E)$ is a $G \times \mathbb{C}^\times_{V^*}$-equivariant perverse sheaf on V^*, and $\mathbf{F}_{V^*} \circ \mathbf{F}_V(E) \simeq E$. If moreover E is simple then $\mathbf{F}_V(E)$ is also simple.

Given an algebra $\mathbf{A}$, let $\mathbf{Mod_A}$ denote the category of left $\mathbf{A}$-modules. A morphism of algebras $c : \mathbf{A} \to \mathbf{B}$ induces a pull-back map $\mathbf{Mod_B} \to \mathbf{Mod_A}$, still denoted by $c^\bullet$.

Acknowledgements. I wish to thank G. Laumon and V. Kac for their help during the preparation of this paper, and I. Cherednik for his interest. While writing this paper I enjoy the hospitality of MSRI and IHES. The author was also partially supported by EEC grant no. ERB FMRX-CT97-0100.

2. Reminder on flag manifolds

Sections 2.0 to 2.13 contain notations and basic facts on flag manifolds. Proposition 2.14 will be used in the sheaf theoretic approach to the convolution algebra in Section 6.

2.0. Let $\underline{G}$ be a simple connected simply-connected linear algebraic group. Let $\underline{G}$ denote also the $\mathbb{C}$-points in $\underline{G}$. Let $\underline{\mathfrak{g}}$ be the Lie algebra of $\underline{G}$. Let $\underline{\mathfrak{h}} \subset \underline{\mathfrak{g}}$ be a Cartan subalgebra, and let $\underline{\mathfrak{b}} \subset \underline{\mathfrak{g}}$ be a Borel subalgebra containing $\underline{\mathfrak{h}}$. Let $\underline{H} \subset \underline{G}$ be the Cartan subgroup corresponding to $\underline{\mathfrak{h}}$. Let $\underline{\Phi}$ be the root system of $\underline{\mathfrak{g}}$. For any $\alpha \in \underline{\Phi}$ let $\underline{\mathfrak{g}}_\alpha \subset \underline{\mathfrak{g}}$ be the corresponding root subspace. Let $\underline{\Phi}^\vee$ be the root system dual to $\underline{\Phi}$. Set $\underline{X}, \underline{Y} \subset \underline{\mathfrak{h}}^*$ (resp. $\underline{X}^\vee, \underline{Y}^\vee \subset \underline{\mathfrak{h}}$) equal to the weight and the root lattices of $\underline{\Phi}$ (resp. of $\underline{\Phi}^\vee$). Recall that, $\underline{G}$ being simply connected, $\underline{X}$ is isomorphic to the group of characters of $\underline{H}$, while $\underline{Y}^\vee$ is isomorphic to the group of the one-parameter subgroups of $\underline{H}$. Let $\alpha_i, \omega_i \in \underline{\mathfrak{h}}^*$, $\alpha_i^\vee, \omega_i^\vee \in \underline{\mathfrak{h}}$, $i \in \underline{I}$, be the simple roots, the fundamental weights, the simple coroots and the fundamental coweights.

Hence $\underline{Y} \subset \underline{X}$, $\underline{Y}^\vee \subset \underline{X}^\vee$ and $\{\alpha_i\}$, $\{\alpha_i^\vee\}$, $\{\omega_i\}$, $\{\omega_i^\vee\}$ are bases of $\underline{Y}$, $\underline{Y}^\vee$, $\underline{X}$, $\underline{X}^\vee$ respectively. Let $\theta \in \underline{\Phi}$ be the highest root, and $\theta^\vee$ be the corresponding coroot. Let $\underline{W}$, W be the Weyl group and the affine Weyl group of $\underline{G}$. Let $\ell(w)$ denote the length of the element $w \in W$.

Set $I = \underline{I} \sqcup \{0\}$. Consider the lattices $Y = \bigoplus_{i\in I} \mathbb{Z}\alpha_i \subset X = \mathbb{Z}\delta \oplus \bigoplus_{i\in I} \mathbb{Z}\omega_i$, $Y^\vee = \bigoplus_{i\in I} \mathbb{Z}\alpha_i^\vee \subset X^\vee = \mathbb{Z}c \oplus \bigoplus_{i\in I} \mathbb{Z}\omega_i^\vee$, where α_0, $\alpha_0^\vee$, ω_0, $\omega_0^\vee$, δ, c are new variables such that $\alpha_0 = \delta - \theta$, and $\alpha_0^\vee = c - \theta^\vee$. There is a unique pairing $X \times Y^\vee \to \mathbb{Z}$ such that $(\omega_i : \alpha_j^\vee) = \delta_{ij}$ and $(\delta : \alpha_j^\vee) = 0$.

We identify $\underline{I}$ (resp. I) with the set of simple reflexions in $\underline{W}$ (resp. in W). Let $s_i \in W$ be the simple reflexion corresponding to $i \in I$. For all $i, j \in I$ let m_{ij} be the order of the element $s_i s_j$ in W. Let also Ω denote the Abelian group $\underline{X}^\vee/\underline{Y}^\vee$.

2.1. Set $K = \mathbb{C}((\varepsilon))$, and $K_d = \mathbb{C}((\varepsilon^{1/d}))$ for any $d \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. Let $\mathfrak{h}$ be the Abelian Lie algebra on the vector space $X^\vee \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C} = \underline{\mathfrak{h}} \oplus \mathbb{C}c \oplus \mathbb{C}\omega_0^\vee$. The sum $\mathfrak{g} = (\underline{\mathfrak{g}} \otimes K) + \mathfrak{h}$ is endowed with the usual Lie bracket, such that c is central and $\omega_0^\vee$ acts by derivations.

Let $\Phi \subset Y$ be the set of roots of $\mathfrak{g}$, $\Phi^+ \subset \Phi$ the set of positive roots, $\Phi^- = -\Phi^+$. For any $\alpha \in \Phi$ let $\mathfrak{g}_\alpha \subset \mathfrak{g}$ be the corresponding weight subspace. Given $\Theta \subset \Phi^+$ such that $(\Theta + \Theta) \cap \Phi^+ \subset \Theta$, we set $\mathfrak{u}(\pm\Theta) = \prod_{\alpha\in\pm\Theta} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$. Note that $\mathfrak{u}(\pm\Theta)$ is a Lie algebra in the category of schemes.

Given a decreasing sequence of subsets $\Theta_\ell \subseteq \Phi^+$, with $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, such that $(\Theta_\ell + \Phi^+) \cap \Phi^+ \subset \Theta_\ell$, $|\Phi^+ \setminus \Theta_\ell| < \infty$, and $\bigcap_{\ell\geq 0} \Theta_\ell = \emptyset$, we set $\mathfrak{u}_\ell = \mathfrak{u}(\Theta_\ell)$ and $\mathfrak{u}_\ell^- = \mathfrak{u}(-\Theta_\ell)$. We write $\mathfrak{u}$ and $\mathfrak{u}^-$ for $\mathfrak{u}(\Phi^+)$ and $\mathfrak{u}(\Phi^-)$. Then $\mathfrak{u}_\ell \subset \mathfrak{u}$ and $\mathfrak{u}_\ell^- \subset \mathfrak{u}^-$ are closed Lie ideal.

2.2. Let G' be the central extension of $\underline{G}(K)$ by $\mathbb{C}^\times$, see [G]. The affine Kac-Moody group $G_{KM} \subset G'$ is the restriction of the central extension to the subgroup $\underline{G}(\mathbb{C}[\varepsilon^{\pm 1}]) \subset \underline{G}(K)$, see [Kc, 2.8]. The groups $\underline{G}(K)$, G' or G_{KM} share the similar properties to the group $\underline{G}$, such as Bruhat decomposition. See [K], [Kc] and the references therein. The group $\mathbb{C}^\times$ acts on $\underline{G}(K_d)$ by 'rotating the loops', i.e. $\tau \cdot y(\varepsilon^{1/d}) = y(\tau\varepsilon^{1/d})$. If $d = 1$ this action extends uniquely to G'. Let $G = G' \rtimes \mathbb{C}^\times$ and $G_d = \underline{G}(K_d) \rtimes \mathbb{C}^\times$ be the corresponding semidirect products. We write $\mathbb{C}^\times_\delta$ for the subgroup $\{1\} \times \mathbb{C}^\times \subset G, G_d$. The coroots $\alpha_i^\vee$, hence c, are viewed as one-parameter subgroups of G'. We write $\mathbb{C}^\times_{\omega_0}$ for $\langle c \rangle \subset G'$.

Set also $H' = \prod_{i\in I} \langle \alpha_i^\vee \rangle \subset G'$, and $H = H' \times \mathbb{C}^\times_\delta \subset G$. Hence $H' = \mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{C}[X']) = \underline{H} \times \mathbb{C}^\times_{\omega_0}$ with $X' = X/\mathbb{Z}\delta$, and $H = \mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{C}[X])$. The group W acts on X by $s_i(\lambda) = \lambda - (\lambda : \alpha_i^\vee)\alpha_i$ for all $i \in I$, $\lambda \in X$. This action induces a W-action on H. For any $s \in H$ we set $W_s = \{w \in W\,;\, w(s) = s\}$.

Given a finite-dimensional nilpotent Lie algebra $\mathfrak{a}$, let $\exp(\mathfrak{a})$ denote the unipotent algebraic group with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{a}$. We consider the group schemes $U = \lim_{\longleftarrow \ell} \exp(\mathfrak{u}/\mathfrak{u}_\ell)$ and $U^- = \lim_{\longleftarrow \ell} \exp(\mathfrak{u}^-/\mathfrak{u}_\ell^-)$. Let $U(\Theta) \subset U$ and $U(-\Theta) \subset U^-$ be the closed subgroups with Lie algebras $\mathfrak{u}(\Theta)$ and $\mathfrak{u}(-\Theta)$. We write U_ℓ and U_ℓ^- for $U(\Theta_\ell)$ and $U(-\Theta_\ell)$. For any real root $\alpha \in \Phi^+$ we also write $U_{\pm\alpha}$ for $U(\{\pm\alpha\})$. Let $B = H \ltimes U$, $B^- = H \ltimes U^-$. The groups U, B are identified with closed subgroups of G (for the topology on G induced by the natural topology on K).

2.3. Let $\mathcal{X}$ be the flag manifold of the triple $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}, X)$, see [K]. Recall that $\mathcal{X}$ is a reduced separated scheme, locally of infinite type, equal to the quotient of a separated scheme $\mathcal{G}$ by the right action of B. The group B^- acts on $\mathcal{G}$ on the left.

The actions of B, B^- on $\mathcal{G}$ are locally free. See [K, 5.7] for the definition of locally freeness. Let $\pi : \mathcal{G} \to \mathcal{X}$ be the canonical map.

Let $\dot{W}$ be the braid group of W. It acts on $\mathcal{G}$ from the left and the right. The left actions of B^-, $\dot{W}$ commute to the right actions of B, $\dot{W}$. The left B-action and right B^--action on $\mathcal{G}$ are denoted by 'a dot', as well as the $\dot{W}$-action (left or right) on $\mathcal{G}$. The left actions of B^-, $\dot{W}$ on $\mathcal{X}$ is also denoted by 'a dot'.

Let ad denote the adjoint action of H, $\dot{W}$, G on $\mathfrak{g}$. We embed W in $\dot{W}$ as usual : let $\dot{w} \in \dot{W}$ denote the image of w. For any H-stable subset $\mathcal{Y} \subseteq \mathcal{X}$ (resp. $\mathcal{Y} \subset \mathfrak{g}$) the set $\dot{w} \cdot \mathcal{Y}$ (resp. $\mathrm{ad}_{\dot{w}}\mathcal{Y}$) depends only on w, hence we denote it by $w \cdot \mathcal{Y}$ (resp. $\mathrm{ad}_w\mathcal{Y}$). The $\dot{W}$-action on $\mathfrak{g}$ gives an isomorphism of group schemes $U(\Phi^- \cap w^{-1}\Phi^+) \to U(\Phi^+ \cap w\Phi^-)$, $u \mapsto \mathrm{ad}_{\dot{w}}u$.

Let $1_{\mathcal{G}} \in \mathcal{G}$ be the 'identity element', see [K, 5.1], and $1_{\mathcal{X}} = \pi(1_{\mathcal{G}})$. We set $\mathcal{X}_y = yU(\Phi^- \cap y^{-1}\Phi^+) \cdot 1_{\mathcal{X}}$, $\mathcal{X}^w = U^- w \cdot 1_{\mathcal{X}}$, $\mathcal{U}^w = wU^- \cdot 1_{\mathcal{X}}$, $\mathcal{X}_{\leq y} = \bigcup_{y' \leq y} \mathcal{X}_{y'}$, ${}^w\mathcal{X} = \bigcup_{w' \leq w} \mathcal{U}^{w'}$. The maps $U(\Phi^- \cap w^{-1}\Phi^-) \to \mathcal{X}^w$, $u \mapsto wu \cdot 1_{\mathcal{X}}$, and $U^- \to \mathcal{U}^w$, $u \mapsto wu \cdot 1_{\mathcal{X}}$ are isomorphisms. Put also ${}^w\mathcal{G} = \pi^{-1}({}^w\mathcal{X})$. Given $y, y', y'' \in W$ we write $y'' \succeq (y, y')$ if $y'' \geq ww'$ for any $w \leq y$, $w' \leq y'$.

Lemma. *Fix $y, y', y'', w, w' \in W$.*
(i) $\dot{w}u \cdot 1_{\mathcal{G}} = 1_{\mathcal{G}} \cdot (\mathrm{ad}_{\dot{w}}u)w$ for any $u \in U(\Phi^- \cap w^{-1}\Phi^+)$.
(ii) If $w' \succeq (w, y)$ then $wU^- \cdot \mathcal{X}_{\leq y} \subset {}^{w'}\mathcal{X}$.
(iii) If $y'' \succeq (y, y')$ then $yU(\Phi^- \cap y^{-1}\Phi^+) \cdot \mathcal{X}_{\leq y'} \subset \mathcal{X}_{\leq y''}$.
(iv) $\mathcal{X}_{\leq w} \subset {}^w\mathcal{X}$, ${}^w\mathcal{X} = \bigcup_{w' \leq w} \mathcal{X}^{w'}$, and $\mathcal{X} = \bigcup_{w \in W} \mathcal{X}^w$.
(v) $\mathcal{U}^w$, ${}^w\mathcal{X}$ are open in $\mathcal{X}$; $\mathcal{X}_y, \mathcal{X}^w$ are locally closed in $\mathcal{X}$; $\mathcal{X}_{\leq y}$ is projective.

Proof: (i) is proved in [K, Theorem 5.1.10.(iii)] if $\ell(w) = 1$. If $\ell(w) = \ell > 1$ we fix a reduced expression $w = s_{i_1}s_{i_2}\cdots s_{i_\ell}$. The element $u \in U(\Phi^- \cap w^{-1}\Phi^+)$ can be decomposed as a product $u = (\mathrm{ad}^{-1}_{\dot{s}_{i_\ell}} \cdots \mathrm{ad}^{-1}_{\dot{s}_{i_2}} u_1) \cdots (\mathrm{ad}^{-1}_{\dot{s}_{i_\ell}} u_{\ell-1})u_\ell$, with $u_k \in U_{-\alpha_{i_k}}$. Then $\dot{w}u \cdot 1_{\mathcal{G}} = \dot{s}_{i_1}u_1\dot{s}_{i_2}u_2 \cdots \dot{s}_{i_\ell}u_\ell \cdot 1_{\mathcal{G}}$. Claim (i) follows by induction on $\ell(w)$. If $y = s_{i_1}s_{i_2}\cdots s_{i_\ell}$ is a reduced expression, then $s_{i_1}U_{-\alpha_{i_1}}s_{i_2}U_{-\alpha_{i_2}} \cdots s_{i_\ell}U_{-\alpha_{i_\ell}} \cdot 1_{\mathcal{X}} = \mathcal{X}_y$. This yields (iii). By (iii) we have $wU^- \cdot \mathcal{X}_{\leq y} \subset U^- wU(\Phi^- \cap w^{-1}\Phi^+) \cdot \mathcal{X}_{\leq y} \subset U^- \cdot \mathcal{X}_{\leq w'}$. We have also $U^- \cdot \mathcal{X}_{\leq w'} \subset {}^{w'}\mathcal{X}$ by (iv). This yields (ii). The first part of Claim (iv) is obvious. For the second, [K, Lemma 4.5.3] implies that ${}^w\mathcal{X} \subset \bigcup_{w' \leq w} \mathcal{X}^{w'}$ and the opposite inclusion follows from [K, Lemma 4.5.7]. The last part of (iv) is proved in [K, Proposition 4.5.9]. Claim (v) is proved in [KT2, Propositions 1.3.1, 1.3.2]. □

2.4. Set ${}^w\mathcal{X}^k = U_k^- \setminus {}^w\mathcal{X}$. Let $p^{k_2k_1} : {}^w\mathcal{X}^{k_2} \to {}^w\mathcal{X}^{k_1}$, for $k_2 \geq k_1$, and $p^k : {}^w\mathcal{X} \to {}^w\mathcal{X}^k$ be the natural projections. The U_k^--action on $\mathcal{U}^w$ is free if $w^{-1}(\Theta_k) \subset \Phi^+$. If $k, k_2, k_1 \gg 0$ then ${}^w\mathcal{X}^k$ is a smooth Noetherian scheme, $p^{k_2k_1}$ is smooth and affine, and p^k restricts to a closed immersion $\mathcal{X}_{\leq w} \to {}^w\mathcal{X}^k$, see [KT2]. In particular the scheme ${}^w\mathcal{X}$ represents the pro-object $({}^w\mathcal{X}^k, p^k)$. Note that ${}^w\mathcal{X}^k$ may be not separated.

2.5. The group B acts on $\mathfrak{u}$ by conjugation. The quotient $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{G} \times_B \mathfrak{u}$ is a reduced and separated scheme. Let $\rho : \mathcal{T} \to \mathcal{X}$ be the canonical map. Set $\mathcal{V}^w = \rho^{-1}(\mathcal{U}^w)$, ${}^w\mathcal{T} = \rho^{-1}({}^w\mathcal{X})$, and $\mathcal{T}_y = \rho^{-1}(\mathcal{X}_y)$. Let $[g : x]$ denote the image of (g, x) by the projection $\mathcal{G} \times \mathfrak{u} \to \mathcal{T}$. There is a left action of $\dot{W}$, B^- on $\mathcal{T}$ such that $u \cdot [g : x] = [u \cdot g : x]$.

2.6. We have $\mathrm{ad}_B\mathfrak{u}_\ell = \mathfrak{u}_\ell$ because $\mathfrak{u}_\ell \subset \mathfrak{u}$ is a Lie ideal. Set ${}^w\mathcal{T}^{k\ell} = (U_k^- \setminus {}^w\mathcal{G}) \times_B (\mathfrak{u}/\mathfrak{u}_\ell)$. If $k \gg 0$ the schemes ${}^w\mathcal{T}^{k\ell}$ are Noetherian and smooth. They may be not separated. If $k_2 \geq k_1 \gg 0$ and $\ell_2 \geq \ell_1 \geq 0$ we have the Cartesian square with smooth affine maps

$$\begin{array}{ccc} {}^w\mathcal{T}^{k_2\ell_2} & \stackrel{p^{k_2k_1}_{\ell_2}}{\longrightarrow} & {}^w\mathcal{T}^{k_1\ell_2} \\ {\scriptstyle p^{k_2}_{\ell_2\ell_1}}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle p^{k_1}_{\ell_2\ell_1}} \\ {}^w\mathcal{T}^{k_2\ell_1} & \stackrel{p^{k_2k_1}_{\ell_1}}{\longrightarrow} & {}^w\mathcal{T}^{k_1\ell_1}. \end{array}$$

The scheme ${}^w\mathcal{T}$ represents the pro-object $({}^w\mathcal{T}^{k\ell}, p^k_{\ell_2\ell_1}, p^{k_2k_1}_\ell)$, because $p^k_{\ell_2\ell_1}, p^{k_2k_1}_\ell$ are affine morphisms. Recall that two pro-objects as above representing the same scheme ${}^w\mathcal{T}$ are necessarily isomorphic, see A.5. We set also $\mathcal{T}^\ell = \mathcal{G} \times_B (\mathfrak{u}/\mathfrak{u}_\ell)$. The map $p_\ell : \mathcal{T} \to \mathcal{T}^\ell$, $[g : x] \mapsto [g : x + \mathfrak{u}_\ell]$, is a vector bundle. Let $p^k_\ell : {}^w\mathcal{T} \to {}^w\mathcal{T}^{k\ell}$ be the obvious projection.

2.7. Let $\mathfrak{b} \subset \underline{\mathfrak{g}} \otimes K$ be the preimage of $\underline{\mathfrak{b}} \subset \underline{\mathfrak{g}}$ by the natural projection $\underline{\mathfrak{g}} \otimes \mathbb{C}[[\varepsilon]] \to \underline{\mathfrak{g}}$. An Iwahori subalgebra of $\underline{\mathfrak{g}} \otimes K$ is a Lie subalgebra of the form $\mathrm{ad}_g\mathfrak{b}$ with $g \in \underline{G}$. Recall that, if $g \in \underline{G}(K)$, then $\mathrm{ad}_g\mathfrak{b} = \mathfrak{b}$ if and only if $g \in B$, see [IM, Section 2] for instance. Let $\mathcal{B}$ be the set of all Iwahori subalgebras in $\underline{\mathfrak{g}} \otimes K$. Set $\mathfrak{b}_w = \mathrm{ad}_w\mathfrak{b}$. The group $U(\Phi^+ \cap w\Phi^-)$ is identified with a closed subgroup of G. The map $\mathrm{ad}_{U(\Phi^+\cap w\Phi^-)}\mathfrak{b}_w \to \mathcal{X}$, $g \mapsto w \cdot (\mathrm{ad}_{\dot w^{-1}} g) \cdot 1_\mathcal{X}$, is an immersion onto $\mathcal{X}_w$. Hence $\mathcal{B}$ is identified with the subset $\bigcup_{w\in W} \mathcal{X}_w \subset \mathcal{X}$.

Observe that $\mathcal{X}$ is a scheme, hence it is endowed with the corresponding topology, while $\mathcal{B}$ is only a set, which we dentify with a subset in $\mathcal{X}$.

2.8. Let $\dot{\mathfrak{g}} = \{(x, \mathfrak{p}) \in \mathfrak{g} \times \mathcal{B}\,;\, x \in \mathfrak{p}\}$, and $p : \dot{\mathfrak{g}} \to \mathfrak{g}$ be the 1-st projection. We set $\mathcal{B}_x = p^{-1}(x)$. Let $\mathcal{N} \subset \underline{\mathfrak{g}} \otimes K$ be the set of *nil-elements*, i.e. $x \in \mathcal{N}$ if $(\mathrm{ad}_x)^n \to 0$ when $n \to \infty$ for the topology induced by K. Recall that $\mathcal{B}_x \neq \emptyset$ if $x \in \mathcal{N}$, where $\mathcal{N} = \mathrm{ad}_G\mathfrak{u}$, and $\mathcal{N} \cap \mathfrak{b} = \mathfrak{u}$ by [KL2, Lemma 2.1]. Put $\dot{\mathcal{N}} = p^{-1}(\mathcal{N})$. Hence $\dot{\mathcal{N}} \cap (\mathfrak{g} \times \mathcal{X}_y) = \{(\mathrm{ad}_{g\dot y}x, \mathrm{ad}_g\mathfrak{b}_y)\,;\, g \in U(\Phi^+ \cap y\Phi^-), x \in \mathfrak{u}\}$. Thus the map

$$\dot{\mathcal{N}} \cap (\mathfrak{g} \times \mathcal{X}_y) \to \mathcal{T},\ (\mathrm{ad}_{g\dot y}x, \mathrm{ad}_g\mathfrak{b}_y) \mapsto [g\dot y \cdot 1_\mathcal{G} : x]$$

is an immersion onto $\mathcal{T}_y$. Therefore $\dot{\mathcal{N}} = \rho^{-1}(\mathcal{B})$.

Once again, observe that $\mathcal{T}$ is a scheme, while $\mathcal{B}_x$, $\mathcal{N}$, $\dot{\mathcal{N}}$, and $\dot{\mathfrak{g}}$ are only sets, viewed as subsets in $\mathcal{T}$.

2.9. Fix a subset $J \subsetneq I$. Let $\Phi_J \subset \Phi$ be the root system generated by $\{\alpha_i\,;\, i \in J\}$, and $\Phi_J^\pm = \Phi_J \cap \Phi^\pm$. Set $U_J = U(\Phi^+ \setminus \Phi_J^+)$ and $\mathfrak{u}_J = \mathfrak{u}(\Phi^+ \setminus \Phi_J^+)$. Let $G_J \subset G$ be the subgroup generated by H, $U(\Phi_J^+)$, and $U(\Phi_J^-)$. Note that J is a finite root system. Thus G_J is reductive in the usual sense. It is also connected and its derived subgroup is simply-connected by 2.2. Put $B_J = G_J \ltimes U_J$. Let $\mathfrak{b}_J \subset \mathfrak{g}$ be the Lie algebra of B_J.

The Weyl group of G_J is identified with the subgroup $W_J \subset W$ generated by $\{s_i\,;\, i \in J\}$. Let $w_J \in W_J$ be the longest element. Let ${}^JW$ (resp. W^J) be the set of all $y \in W$ such that y has minimal length in the coset W_Jy (resp. yW_J).

One proves as in [K] that the group B_J acts locally freely on $\mathcal{G}$ on the right, and that the quotient $\mathcal{X}^J = \mathcal{G}/B_J$ is a separated scheme, see also [KT3].

If $w \in W^Jw_J$ then ${}^w\mathcal{G}$ is B_J-stable and we put ${}^w\mathcal{X}^J = {}^w\mathcal{G}/B_J$, ${}^w\mathcal{X}^{J,k} = U_k^- \setminus {}^w\mathcal{X}^J$. Note that $\mathcal{X}^J = \bigcup_w {}^w\mathcal{X}^J$, where each ${}^w\mathcal{X}^J$ is open, pro-smooth, and of infinite type. See A.5 for generalities on pro-objects.

Set $\mathcal{B}^J$ equal the set of G-conjugate of $\mathfrak{b}_J$. There is a natural embedding $\mathcal{B}^J \subset \mathcal{X}^J$, see 2.7. The natural projection $\pi_J : \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{X}^J$ takes the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{B}$ to the unique element in $\mathcal{B}^J$ such that $\mathfrak{p} \subset \pi_J(\mathfrak{p})$. The group G_J acts on $\mathcal{X}$, $\mathcal{X}^J$ on the left. The map π_J commutes with the left G_J-action.

Let $\underline{\mathcal{B}}$ be the variety of all Borel subalgebras in $\underline{\mathfrak{g}}$, $\dot{\underline{\mathfrak{g}}} = \{(x, \mathfrak{p}) \in \underline{\mathfrak{g}} \times \underline{\mathcal{B}}\,;\, x \in \mathfrak{p}\}$, $p : \dot{\underline{\mathfrak{g}}} \to \underline{\mathfrak{g}}$ be the 1-st projection, $\underline{\mathcal{N}} \subset \underline{\mathfrak{g}}$ be the nilpotent cone, $\dot{\underline{\mathcal{N}}} = \dot{\underline{\mathfrak{g}}} \cap p^{-1}(\underline{\mathcal{N}})$, $\underline{\mathcal{B}}_x = p^{-1}(x)$ be the Springer fiber, and $\underline{\mathcal{Z}} = \{(x, \mathfrak{p}; x', \mathfrak{p}') \in \dot{\underline{\mathcal{N}}} \times \dot{\underline{\mathcal{N}}}\,;\, x = x'\}$ be the Steinberg variety.

Taking G_J in place of $\underline{G}$ we get the varieties $\mathcal{B}_J$, $\dot{\mathcal{N}}_J$, $\mathcal{Z}_J$. If $y \in W_J$ we put

$$\mathcal{O}_{J,y} = G_J \cdot (\mathfrak{b}_{y^{-1}}, \mathfrak{b}), \quad \mathcal{Z}_{J,y} = \{(x, \mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{p}') \in \mathcal{Z}_J\,;\, (\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{p}') \in \mathcal{O}_{J,y}\}.$$

The set $\mathcal{Z}_{J,\leq y} = \bigcup_{y' \leq y} \mathcal{Z}_{J,\leq y'}$ is closed in $\mathcal{Z}_J$. If $y \in {}^J W$ we put ${}^J\mathcal{B}_y = \mathrm{ad}_{B_J}(\mathfrak{b}_y) \subset \mathcal{B}$. We write ${}^J\mathcal{B}$ for ${}^J\mathcal{B}_1$. The map ${}^J\mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{B}_J$, $\mathfrak{p} \mapsto \mathfrak{p}/\mathfrak{u}_J$, is an isomorphism of G_J-varieties. Hereafter we identify ${}^J\mathcal{B}$ and $\mathcal{B}_J$.

From now on we write $\flat$ for $\underline{I}$, i.e. $\mathfrak{u}_\flat = \mathfrak{u}_{\underline{I}}$, $\mathfrak{b}_\flat = \mathfrak{b}_{\underline{I}}$, $B_\flat = B_{\underline{I}}$, $W^\flat = W^{\underline{I}}$, etc. In particular the map ${}^\flat\mathcal{B} \to \underline{\mathcal{B}}$, $\mathfrak{p} \mapsto \mathfrak{p}/\mathfrak{u}_\flat$ is an isomorphism of $\underline{G}$-varieties.

Recall that $\mathfrak{b}_\flat/\mathfrak{u}_\flat \simeq \underline{\mathfrak{g}}$. For any Iwahori Lie subalgebra $\mathfrak{p} \subset \underline{\mathfrak{g}} \otimes K$ the subspace $\mathfrak{p}^! = (\mathfrak{p} \cap \mathfrak{b}_\flat)/(\mathfrak{p} \cap \mathfrak{u}_\flat) \subset \underline{\mathfrak{g}}$ is a Lie subalgebra. Let $! : \mathcal{B} \to \underline{\mathcal{B}}$ be the map taking $\mathfrak{p}$ to $\mathfrak{p}^!$.

2.10. Set $\mathcal{P}^J = \mathcal{G}/U_J$. It is a scheme because U_J acts locally freely on $\mathcal{G}$. The group G_J acts on $\mathcal{P}^J$ on the right because G_J normalizes U_J. This action is locally free. Hence, the corresponding quotient map $\mathcal{P}^J \to \mathcal{X}^J$ is a G_J-torsor. In particular $\mathcal{P}^J$ is a separated scheme.

Assume that $k \gg 0$, $w \in W^J w_J$, and $\mathfrak{u}_\ell = \varepsilon^\ell \cdot \mathfrak{u}_J$. We set ${}^w\mathcal{P}^{J,k} = U_k^- \setminus {}^w\mathcal{G}/U_J$. It is a scheme. The group G_J acts on ${}^w\mathcal{P}^{J,k}$ on the left and on the right, because G_J normalizes U_J and U_k^-. Both actions commute. The quotient ${}^w\mathcal{P}^{J,k} \to {}^w\mathcal{X}^{J,k}$ is a G_J-torsor.

2.11. The group $G \times \mathbb{C}^\times$ acts on $\mathfrak{g}$ by $\mathrm{ad}_{(g,\zeta)}x = \zeta^{-1}\mathrm{ad}_g x$, and on G by $\mathrm{ad}_{(g,\zeta)}h = \mathrm{ad}_g h$. To avoid confusion between the different one parameter subgroups we write $\mathbb{C}_q^\times$ for the subgroup $\{1\} \times \mathbb{C}^\times \subset G \times \mathbb{C}^\times$. Set $A = H \times \mathbb{C}_q^\times$. The group A acts on $\mathcal{T}$ by $(s, \zeta) \cdot [g : x] = [s \cdot g : \zeta^{-1}\mathrm{ad}_s x]$.

2.12. Convention. From now on we use the following conventions : $\underline{s} \in \underline{H}$, $s' \in H'$ with component in $\underline{H}$ equal to $\underline{s}$, $s = (s', \tau) \in H$ with $\tau \in \mathbb{C}_\delta^\times$, and $a = (s, \zeta) \in A$ with $\zeta \in \mathbb{C}_q^\times$. The corresponding fixed point sets are denoted as usual (i.e. G^s, $\mathcal{B}^s$, $\mathfrak{g}^s$, $\mathcal{T}^a$, etc). We also assume that τ is not a root of unity, although a few statements still hold without this assumption. Finally, an element in G is called *semisimple* if it belongs to $\mathrm{ad}_G H$.

2.13. The action of s on $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{X}$ takes $\mathfrak{p}$ to $\mathrm{ad}_s\mathfrak{p}$. The scheme ${}^w\mathcal{X}^k$ is endowed with the unique H-action such that the map $p^k : {}^w\mathcal{X} \to {}^w\mathcal{X}^k$ is H-equivariant. Similarly ${}^w\mathcal{T}^{k\ell}$ is endowed with the unique A-action such that the map ${}^w\mathcal{T} \to {}^w\mathcal{T}^{k\ell}$ is A-equivariant. We write ${}^w\mathcal{X}^s$ for $({}^w\mathcal{X})^s$, and ${}^w\mathcal{T}^a$ for $({}^w\mathcal{T})^a$. Finally, let $\{\mathcal{X}_i^s\,;\, i \in \Xi_s\}$ be the set of connected components of $\mathcal{X}^s$.

Lemma.

(i) G^s is connected, reductive, with Cartan subgroup H and Weyl group W_s.

(ii) $\mathcal{X}^s = \mathcal{B}^s$*; it is a smooth separated* G^s*-scheme locally of finite type. Each component* $\mathcal{X}^s_i \subset \mathcal{X}^s$ *is isomorphic to the flag variety of* G^s *as a* G^s*-variety.*

(iii) p^k *restricts to a closed immersion* ${}^w\mathcal{X}^s \to {}^w\mathcal{X}^k$ *onto* $({}^w\mathcal{X}^k)^s$ *if* $k \gg 0$*.*

(iv) $\mathcal{T}^a = \dot{\mathcal{N}}^a$*; it is a smooth separated* $G^s \times \mathbb{C}_q^\times$*-scheme locally of finite type.*

(v) $\mathcal{T}^a$ *is a vector bundle of finite rank over* $\mathcal{X}^s$*.*

(vi) p^k_ℓ *restricts to a closed immersion* ${}^w\mathcal{T}^a \to {}^w\mathcal{T}^{k\ell}$ *onto* $({}^w\mathcal{T}^{k\ell})^a$ *if* $k, \ell \gg 0$*.*

Proof: For any $w \in W$ we fix a representative n_w of w in $N_G(H)$. The Bruhat decomposition for G' implies that G^s is generated by H, the U_α's with α a real root such that $\mathfrak{g}_\alpha \subset \mathfrak{g}^s$, and the n_w's such that $w(s) = s$. Since τ is not a root of unity, the number of α and n_w as above is finite. Hence $G^s \subset G$ is a linear closed subgroup. It is reductive because $U_\alpha \subset G^s$ if and only if $U_{-\alpha} \subset G^s$ (if there was a nontrivial unipotent radical R, then R would be normalized by H and therefore contain some U_α; in turn, R would be normalized by $U_{-\alpha}$, yielding non-unipotent elements in R). In particular $G^s \subset G_{KM} \rtimes \mathbb{C}^\times_\delta$. Hence there are $g \in G_{KM}$, $J \subsetneq I$ such that $\mathrm{ad}_g G^s \subseteq G_J$, by [KcP, Proposition 3.1]. Then $\mathrm{ad}_g G^s = G_J^{\mathrm{ad}_g s}$, and G^s is connected by [SS, Theorem 3.9], because $\mathrm{ad}_g s$ is a semisimple element of G_J and G_J is a connected reductive group whose derived subgroup is simply connected, see 2.9. Claim (i) is proved.

Consider the open covering $\bigcup_{w' \leq w} (\mathcal{U}^{w'})^s$ of ${}^w\mathcal{X}^s$. We have $(\mathcal{U}^{w'})^s = w' V_{w'} \cdot 1_{\mathcal{X}}$ for a closed subscheme $V_{w'} \subset U^-$ of finite type. In particular $V_{w'} \subset G$. Thus $(\mathcal{U}^{w'})^s \subset \mathcal{B}$ and $\mathcal{X}^s = \mathcal{B}^s$. Moreover ${}^w\mathcal{X}^s$ is smooth and of finite type because $V_{w'}$ is of finite type.

If $k \gg 0$ the U^-_k-action on $\mathcal{U}^w$ is free, i.e. $\mathcal{U}^w \simeq U^-_k \times p^k(\mathcal{U}^w)$. The isomorphism commutes with the s-action. Hence $p^k(\mathcal{U}^w)^s = p^k((\mathcal{U}^w)^s)$. Thus $({}^w\mathcal{X}^k)^s = p^k({}^w\mathcal{X}^s)$. The map p^k restricts to an immersion ${}^w\mathcal{X}^s \to {}^w\mathcal{X}^k$, because ${}^w\mathcal{X}^s$ is of finite type. Let us prove that $p^k({}^w\mathcal{X}^s)$ is closed in ${}^w\mathcal{X}^k$. Since G^s and ${}^w\mathcal{X}^s$ are of finite type we may fix an element $w' \geq w$ such that $G^s \cdot {}^w\mathcal{X}^s \subset {}^{w'}\mathcal{X}$ and p^k yields an immersion of $G^s \cdot {}^w\mathcal{X}^s$ into ${}^{w'}\mathcal{X}^k$. The isomorphism $\mathcal{U}^{w'} \simeq U^-_k \times p^k(\mathcal{U}^{w'})$ takes $G^s \cdot {}^w\mathcal{X}^s$ onto $U^-_k \times p^k(G^s \cdot {}^w\mathcal{X}^s)$. Hence $U^-_k \times p^k(G^s \cdot {}^w\mathcal{X}^s)$ is closed in $\mathcal{U}^{w'}$, because $\mathcal{U}^{w'}$ is separated and $G^s \cdot {}^w\mathcal{X}^s$ is proper. Hence $p^k(G^s \cdot {}^w\mathcal{X}^s)$ is closed in ${}^{w'}\mathcal{X}^k$, because p^k is a quotient morphism. Therefore $p^k({}^w\mathcal{X}^s) = {}^w\mathcal{X}^k \cap p^k(G^s \cdot {}^w\mathcal{X}^s)$ is closed in ${}^w\mathcal{X}^k$. Thus (ii), (iii) are proved, and $(iv), (vi)$ follow, using (v).

Finally, to prove (v) it is enough to observe that the projection $\mathcal{T}^a \to \mathcal{X}^s$ is the restriction of the first projection $\mathcal{G} \times_B \mathfrak{u}^a \to \mathcal{G}/B$ to $\mathcal{T}^a$, and that $\mathfrak{u}^a$ is finite dimensional because τ is not a root of unity, see Lemma 2.14 below. □

The projection $\dot{\mathcal{N}}^a \to \mathcal{X}^s$ is surjective. For a future use, let $\dot{\mathcal{N}}^a_i \subset \dot{\mathcal{N}}^a$ be the unique component above $\mathcal{X}^s_i$ for each $i \in \Xi_s$.

Remark. It is essential to consider the group G (or G') rather than $\underline{G}(K)$. Otherwise Lemma 2.13.(i) does not hold : it was noticed by D. Vogan that if $\underline{G}$ is of type D_4, $\tau^{1/2}$ is a square root of τ, and $\underline{s} = (\alpha_1^\vee \otimes (-1))(\alpha_2^\vee \otimes \tau^{1/2})(\alpha_3^\vee \otimes (-1))(\alpha_4^\vee \otimes (-\tau^{1/2})) \in \underline{Y}^\vee \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C}^\times$, then the reductive group $\underline{G}(K)^s$ is not connected, see [BEG1, Section 5]. However G^s and $(G')^s$ are connected by Lemma 2.13.(i).

2.14. Recall that τ is not a root of unity by Convention 2.12.

Lemma. $(\underline{\mathfrak{g}} \otimes K)^a$ *is finite dimensional over* $\mathbb{C}$*,* $\mathcal{N}^a \subseteq (\underline{\mathfrak{g}} \otimes K)^a$ *is closed. If* ζ *is not a root of unity there are* d*,* $\gamma_d \in \underline{G}(K_d)$*,* $S \subset \underline{H} \times \mathbb{C}^\times_q$ *finite,* $r \in \mathbb{Q}$*, such that*

$\underline{\mathfrak{g}}^S \otimes \varepsilon^r = \mathrm{ad}_{\gamma_d}((\underline{\mathfrak{g}} \otimes K)^a)$ *and* $\underline{G}^S \subseteq \mathrm{ad}_{\gamma_d}(\underline{G}(K)^s)$.

(i) If $\zeta^{\mathbb{Z}\setminus\{0\}} \cap \tau^{\mathbb{Z}} = \emptyset$ *then* $r = 0$, $(\underline{\mathfrak{g}} \otimes K)^a = \mathcal{N}^a$ *consists of nilpotent elements, and there are a finite number of* G^s*-orbits in* $\mathcal{N}^a$.

(ii) If $\zeta^m = \tau^k$ *with* $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{<0}$, $(m,k) = 1$, *then* $r = k/m$, $\mathcal{N}^a$ *consists of nilpotent elements, and there are a finite number of* G^s*-orbits in* $\mathcal{N}^a$.

(iii) If $\zeta^m = \tau^k$ *with* $m, k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, $(m,k) = 1$, *then* $r = k/m$ *and* $\mathcal{N}^a = (\underline{\mathfrak{g}} \otimes K)^a$.

Proof: In the proof we use the following notations : $\mathfrak{g}_i = \{x \in \underline{\mathfrak{g}} \otimes K\,;\, \mathrm{ad}_s x = \zeta^i x\}$, $\mathrm{ad}^{(d)}$ is the adjoint action of G_d on $\underline{\mathfrak{g}} \otimes K_d$ and $\underline{G}(K_d)$, see 2.2, and $\mu_d = \exp(2i\pi/d)$. Finally, given $\gamma \in \underline{Y}^\vee$ we may view γ as a group homomorphism $K_d^\times \to \underline{H}(K_d)$ and write $\gamma(z)$ for the image of $z \in K_d^\times$.

The space $\mathfrak{g}_i$ is finite dimensional for each i, because τ is not a root of unity. Hence, $(\underline{\mathfrak{g}} \otimes K)^a$ is finite dimensional and $\mathcal{N}^a \subseteq (\underline{\mathfrak{g}} \otimes K)^a$ is a closed subset. Observe that if (i), (ii) or (iii) holds then ζ is not a root of unity.

Assume that $\zeta^m \notin \tau^{\mathbb{Z}}$ for all $m \neq 0$. Let $\Phi_{s,i} \subset \underline{\Phi}$ be the set of roots α such that $(\underline{\mathfrak{g}}_\alpha \otimes K) \cap \mathfrak{g}_i \neq 0$. Put $\Phi_s = \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \Phi_{s,i}$. The set Φ_s is closed and symmetric, i.e. $\alpha, \beta \in \Phi_s$, $\alpha + \beta \in \underline{\Phi} \Rightarrow \alpha + \beta \in \Phi_s$, and $\Phi_s = -\Phi_s$. Thus it is a root system, see [B, Ch. VI, §1, Proposition 23]. Fix a basis $\beta_1, ...\beta_r$ of Φ_s. Note that $\Phi_{s,i} \cap \Phi_{s,j} = \emptyset$ if $i \neq j$ because $\zeta^{i-j} \notin \tau^{\mathbb{Z}}$ if $i \neq j$. Hence there are unique $n_1, ...n_r \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $i_1, ...i_r \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\underline{\mathfrak{g}}_{\beta_t} \otimes \varepsilon^{n_t} \subset \mathfrak{g}_{i_t}$ for all $t = 1, 2, ..., r$. Fix $d \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, $\gamma \in \underline{Y}^\vee$ such that $(\beta_t : \gamma) = -dn_t$ for all t. Fix a d-th root of τ. Set

$$S_i = \{(\gamma(\mu_d), 1), (\underline{s}\gamma(\tau^{1/d})^{-1}, \zeta^i)\} \subset \underline{H} \times \mathbb{C}_q^\times.$$

Put $\gamma_d = \gamma(\varepsilon^{1/d}) \in \underline{G}(K_d)$. Then $\mathrm{ad}^{(d)}_{\gamma_d}\mathfrak{g}_i = \underline{\mathfrak{g}}^{S_i}$, because

$$\mathfrak{g}_i = \{x \in \underline{\mathfrak{g}} \otimes K_d\,;\, \mathrm{ad}^{(d)}_{(1,\mu_d)}x = \zeta^{-i}\mathrm{ad}^{(d)}_{(\underline{s},\tau^{1/d})}x = x\}.$$

Let $L \subset \underline{G}(K_d)$ be the subgroup consisting of the elements fixed by the automorphisms $\mathrm{ad}^{(d)}_{(1,\mu_d)}$ and $\mathrm{ad}^{(d)}_{(\underline{s},\tau^{1/d})}$. The Bruhat decomposition for $\underline{G}(K_d)$ implies that L is generated by the group $\underline{H}$, the root subgroups in the 'loop group' $\underline{G}(K_d)$ associated to the real affine root whose root subspace in $\underline{\mathfrak{g}} \otimes K_d$ is contained in $\mathfrak{g}_0$, and the elements in $N_{G_d}(\underline{H} \times \mathbb{C}_\delta^\times)$ fixing $(1, \mu_d)$ and $(\underline{s}, \tau^{1/d})$. Similarly $\underline{G}(K)^s$ is generated by $\underline{H}$, the U_α's with $\alpha \in \Phi$ a real root such that $\mathfrak{g}_\alpha \subset (\underline{\mathfrak{g}} \otimes K)^s$, and the n_w's such that $w(s) = s$. Hence $\underline{G}(K)^s = L$. Thus $\mathrm{ad}^{(d)}_{\gamma_d}(\underline{G}(K)^s) = \underline{G}(K_d)^{S_0}$. In particular $\mathrm{ad}^{(d)}_{\gamma_d}(\underline{G}(K)^s)$ contains $\underline{G}^{S_0}$. Further, we have $\underline{\mathfrak{g}}^{S_i} = \underline{\mathcal{N}}^{S_i}$ and there is a finite number of $\underline{G}^{S_0}$-orbits in $\underline{\mathcal{N}}^{S_i}$ for each $i \neq 0$ by [KL1, 5.4.(c)], because ζ is not a root of unity. Finally observe that $\underline{G}^{S_0} = \underline{G}^{S_1}$ because $\mathbb{C}_q^\times$ acts trivially on $\underline{G}$ by definition. Claim (i) is proved.

Assume that $\zeta^m = \tau^k$ with $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, and $(m,k) = 1$. Fix a m-th root of τ such that $\zeta = \tau^{k/m}$. We have

$$\mathfrak{g}_i = \{x \cdot \varepsilon^{ik/m}\,;\, x \in \underline{\mathfrak{g}} \otimes K_m,\, \mathrm{ad}^{(m)}_{(\underline{s},\tau^{1/m})}x = x\} \cap (\underline{\mathfrak{g}} \otimes K).$$

The set of roots $\alpha \in \underline{\Phi}$ such that

$$\{x \in \underline{\mathfrak{g}}_\alpha \otimes K_m\,;\, \mathrm{ad}^{(m)}_{(\underline{s},\tau^{1/m})}x = x\} \neq \{0\}$$

is a root system. Fix a basis $\beta_1, \beta_2, ...\beta_r$ of this root system. There are unique integers $n_1, ...n_r$ such that $\underline{\mathfrak{g}}_{\beta_t} \otimes \varepsilon^{n_t/m}$ is fixed by $\mathrm{ad}^{(m)}_{(\underline{s},\tau^{1/m})}$ for each $t = 1, 2, ...r$, because τ is not a root of unity. Fix $e \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, $\gamma \in \underline{Y}^\vee$ such that $(\beta_t : \gamma) = -en_t$ for all t. Then

$$\mathrm{ad}^{(d)}_{\gamma_d}(\mathfrak{g}_{\beta_t} \otimes \varepsilon^{n_t/m}) \subseteq \underline{\mathfrak{g}}$$

for each t, where $d = em$ and $\gamma_d = \gamma(\varepsilon^{1/d})$. Hence

$$\mathrm{ad}^{(d)}_{\gamma_d}\{x \in \underline{\mathfrak{g}} \otimes K_m \,;\, \mathrm{ad}^{(m)}_{(\underline{s},\tau^{1/m})} x = x\} = \underline{\mathfrak{g}}^{S'},$$

where $S' = \{\gamma(\mu_e), \underline{s}\gamma(\tau^{1/d})^{-1}\} \subset \underline{H}$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{ad}^{(d)}_{\gamma_d}(\mathfrak{g}_i) &= (\underline{\mathfrak{g}}^{S'} \otimes \varepsilon^{ik/m}) \cap \mathrm{ad}^{(d)}_{\gamma_d}\{x \in \underline{\mathfrak{g}} \otimes K_d \,;\, \mathrm{ad}^{(d)}_{(1,\mu_d)} x = x\}, \\ &= (\underline{\mathfrak{g}}^{S'} \otimes \varepsilon^{ik/m}) \cap \{x \in \underline{\mathfrak{g}} \otimes K_d \,;\, \mathrm{ad}^{(d)}_{(\gamma(\mu_d)^{-1},\mu_d)} x = x\}. \end{aligned}$$

Set $S = S' \cup \{(\gamma(\mu_d), (\mu_{dm})^k)\} \subset \underline{H} \times \mathbb{C}^\times_q$. Then $\mathrm{ad}^{(d)}_{\gamma_d}\mathfrak{g}_1 = \underline{\mathfrak{g}}^S \otimes \varepsilon^{k/m}$ and $\mathrm{ad}^{(d)}_{\gamma_d}\mathfrak{g}_0 = \underline{\mathfrak{g}}^S$. Using the Bruhat decomposition for $\underline{G}(K_d)$ and $\underline{G}(K)$ we get also $\underline{G}^S \subset \mathrm{ad}^{(d)}_{\gamma_d}(\underline{G}(K)^s)$. The last statement in (ii) follows from [KL1, 5.4.(c)]. Note that the condition in (ii) says that $r < 0$. Thus $\mathcal{N}^a$ consists of nilpotent elements, because any element in $\mathcal{N} \cap (\underline{\mathfrak{g}} \otimes \varepsilon^r)$ is nilpotent if $r < 0$. □

Definition. *Assume that τ, ζ are not roots of unity. If (i) or (ii) holds we say that the pair (τ, ζ) is regular. If (i) holds we say that (τ, ζ) is generic.*

3. Reminder on double affine Hecke algebras

3.1. Let $\mathbf{H}$ be the unital associative $\mathbb{C}[q, q^{-1}, t, t^{-1}]$-algebra generated by $\{t_i, x_\lambda \,;\, i \in I, \lambda \in X\}$ modulo the following defining relations

$$\begin{array}{ll} x_\delta = t & \\ x_\lambda x_\mu = x_{\lambda+\mu} & \\ (t_i - q)(t_i + 1) = 0 & \\ t_i t_j t_i \cdots = t_j t_i t_j \cdots & \text{if } i \neq j \text{ } (m_{ij} \text{ factors in both products}) \\ t_i x_\lambda - x_\lambda t_i = 0 & \text{if } (\lambda : \alpha_i^\vee) = 0 \\ t_i x_\lambda - x_{s_i(\lambda)} t_i = (q-1) x_\lambda & \text{if } (\lambda : \alpha_i^\vee) = 1 \end{array}$$

for all $i, j \in I$, $\lambda, \mu \in X$. The complexified Grothendieck ring $\mathbf{R}_H$ is naturally identified with the $\mathbb{C}$-linear span of $\{x_\lambda \,;\, \lambda \in X\}$, and $\mathbf{R}_A$ is identified with $\mathbf{R}_H[q, q^{-1}]$. In particular it is viewed as a subring of $\mathbf{H}$.

For each reduced decomposition $y = s_{i_1} s_{i_2} \cdots s_{i_\ell} \in W$ we set $t_y = t_{i_1} t_{i_2} \cdots t_{i_\ell}$. Then $(t_y \,;\, y \in W) \subset \mathbf{H}$ is a $\mathbf{R}_A$-basis.

Let $\mathrm{IM} : \mathbf{H} \to \mathbf{H}$ be the $\mathbb{C}[q, q^{-1}]$-linear involution such that $\mathrm{IM}(t_i) = -qt_i^{-1}$ and $\mathrm{IM}(x_\lambda) = x_\lambda^{-1}$.

For any $\zeta, \tau \in \mathbb{C}^\times$ we set $\mathbf{R}_{\tau,\zeta} = \mathbf{R}_A/(q - \zeta, t - \tau)$, and $\mathbf{H}_{\tau,\zeta} = \mathbf{H}/(q - \zeta, t - \tau)$.

On $\mathbf{H}_{\tau,\zeta}$ we introduce a filtration $\mathbf{H}_{\leq w}$, $w \in W$, setting $\mathbf{H}_{\leq w}$ to be the span of the basis elements $\{t_y x_\lambda \,;\, \lambda \in X,\, y \leq w\}$.

Remarks. (i) One important feature of the ring $\mathbf{H}$ is that it is not of finite type over its center. This is the reason why in the proof of Theorem 7.6 below we use the support in Spec$\mathbf{R}_A$ of some $\mathbf{H}$-module rather than the 'central character'.

(ii) Note that $\mathbf{H}$ differs slightly from the double affine Hecke algebra used by Cherednik. The latter is the $\mathbb{C}[q, q^{-1}]$-algebra $\mathbf{H}^{\mathrm{ch}}$ generated by $\{t_\omega, t_i, x_\lambda \,;\, \omega \in \Omega, i \in I, \lambda \in \underline{X} \oplus \mathbb{Z}\delta\}$ with relations analogous to the previous one, see also 8.3.

3.2. For any non empty subset $J \subset I$ let $\mathbf{H}_J$ be the unital associative $\mathbb{C}[q,q^{-1}]$-algebra generated by $\{t_i, x_\lambda\,;\, i \in J, \lambda \in X'\}$ modulo the same relations as above, with X' as in 2.2. If $J \neq I$ the $\mathbb{C}[q,q^{-1}]$-algebra $\mathbf{H}_J$ is the affine Hecke algebra associated to the group G_J. It is well-known that $\mathbf{H}_J$ is isomorphic to the $\mathbb{C}[q,q^{-1}]$-subalgebra of $\mathbf{H}$ generated by $\{t_i, x_\lambda\,;\, i \in J, \lambda \in X'\}$. The proof of Theorem 4.9 is based on the following easy lemma.

Lemma. *Assume that $|I| > 2$. Given any ring $\mathbf{A}$, the restriction map yields a bijection from the set of ring homomorphisms $\Psi : \mathbf{H} \to \mathbf{A}$ onto the set of famillies (Ψ_J) of ring homomorphisms $\Psi_J : \mathbf{H}_J \to \mathbf{A}$ such that $J \neq I$ and $(\Psi_{J_2})|_{J_1} = \Psi_{J_1}$ whenever $J_1 \subset J_2$.*

We will write $\underline{\mathbf{H}}$ for $\mathbf{H}_{\underline{I}}$, and $\underline{\mathbf{H}}_\zeta$ for $\underline{\mathbf{H}}/(q-\zeta)$. The induction functor $\mathbf{L} \to \mathbf{H}_{\zeta,\tau} \otimes_{\underline{\mathbf{H}}_\zeta} \mathbf{L}$ from $\underline{\mathbf{H}}_\zeta$-modules to $\mathbf{H}_{\zeta,\tau}$-modules is exact. The restriction functor is also exact.

4. The convolution algebra

The affine analogue, $\mathcal{Z}$, of the Steinberg variety is introduced in 4.1-3. Fix an element $a \in A$ as in 2.12. The scheme $\mathcal{Z}^a$ is the disjoint union of the connected components $\mathcal{Z}^a_{ij} = \mathcal{Z}^a \cap (\dot{\mathcal{N}}^a_i \times \dot{\mathcal{N}}^a_j)$ with $i,j \in \Xi_s$, see 2.13. Each component is a scheme of finite type. In 4.4 we introduce a subspace $\mathbf{K}_a \subseteq \prod_j \bigoplus_i \mathbf{K}^A(\mathcal{Z}^a_{ij})_a$, where $\mathbf{K}^A(\mathcal{Z}^a_{ij})$ is the complexified Grothendieck group, see 1.4. The convolution product on $\mathbf{K}_a$ is defined in 4.4. A ring homomorphism $\Psi_a : \mathbf{H} \to \mathbf{K}_a$ is given in 4.9. It is surjective after a suitable completion of $\mathbf{H}$, see the proof of Theorem 4.9.(iv). The main ingredient in the proof of Theorem 4.9 is the ring homomorphism $\Theta_J : \mathbf{K}^{G_J \times \mathbb{C}^\times_q}(\mathcal{Z}_J) \to \mathbf{K}_a$. It is the composition of a chain of maps

$$\mathbf{K}^{G_J \times \mathbb{C}^\times_q}(\mathcal{Z}_J) \to \mathbf{K}^A(\mathcal{Z}^0_{\leq w_J}) \to \mathbf{K}^A(\mathcal{Z}^a_{\leq w_J})_a \to \mathbf{K}_a,$$

where $\mathcal{Z}^0_{\leq w_J} = \mathcal{P}^J \times_{G_J} \mathcal{Z}_J$. The first map is induction. See (A.4.2). The convolution product on $\mathbf{K}^A(\mathcal{Z}^0_{\leq w_J})$ is defined in 4.5. The second map is the concentration map and the Thom isomorphism, because $\mathcal{Z}_{\leq w_J}$ is a vector bundle over $\mathcal{Z}^0_{\leq w_J}$, see 4.5, 4.7 and the appendix. The compatibility between the maps Θ_J, see Step 3 in 1.1, is proved by computing explicitely the images $D_{i,a}$, $D_{\lambda,a}$ of certain elements in $\mathbf{K}^{G_J \times \mathbb{C}^\times_q}(\mathcal{Z}_J)$. This is done in 4.6-7. Further results on the ring $\mathbf{K}_{a,\leq 1}$ are given in 4.8 for a future use.

Once again, Kashiwara's manifold $\mathcal{X}^J$ seems to be more appropriate than the ind-scheme $\mathcal{B}^J$, in particular to define $\mathbf{K}^A(\mathcal{X}^J)$ and the concentration map $\mathbf{K}^A(\mathcal{X}^J)_a \to \mathbf{K}^A((\mathcal{X}^J)^a)_a$, see Lemma 4.5.$(iv)$.

4.1. Given $y \in W$ we set

$$\mathcal{O}_y = \{(\pi(g \cdot \dot{y}^{-1}), \pi(g))\,;\, g \in \mathcal{G}\}, \text{ and } \mathcal{O}_{\leq y} = \bigcup_{y' \leq y} \mathcal{O}_{y'},$$

where π is as in 2.3. For each $w \in W$ we set also ${}^w\mathcal{O}_y = \mathcal{O}_y \cap (\mathcal{X} \times {}^w\mathcal{X})$, and ${}^w\mathcal{O}^k_y = (p^k \times p^k)({}^w\mathcal{O}_y)$. Let q_i, q_{ij} be as in 1.4.

Lemma. *Assume that* $w' \succeq (w, y^{-1})$, $y'' \succeq (y', y)$, *and* $k \gg 0$.

(i) $\mathcal{O}_{\leq y}$ *is closed in* $\mathcal{X}^2$, $\mathcal{O}_y$ *is open in* $\mathcal{O}_{\leq y}$.

(ii) ${}^w\mathcal{O}_{\leq y} \subset {}^{w'}\mathcal{X} \times {}^w\mathcal{X}$, *and* ${}^w\mathcal{O}_y^k$ *is locally closed in* ${}^{w'}\mathcal{X}^k \times {}^w\mathcal{X}^k$.

(iii) $q_{13}(q_{12}^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_{\leq y'}) \cap q_{23}^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_{\leq y})) \subset \mathcal{O}_{\leq y''}$.

(iv) $q_2|_{\mathcal{O}_y}$ *is a vector bundle of rank* $\ell(y)$, $q_2|_{\mathcal{O}_{\leq y}}$ *is a locally trivial fibration with fibers* $\simeq \mathcal{X}_{\leq y^{-1}}$.

Proof: The set $\mathcal{O}_y$ is preserved by the diagonal action of $\dot{W}$, U^- on $\mathcal{X}^2$. Hence,

$$\mathcal{O}_y \cap (\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{U}^w) = wU^- \cdot \{(\pi(1_{\mathcal{G}} \cdot u\dot{y}^{-1}), 1_{\mathcal{X}})\,;\, u \in U\}.$$

Thus, using Lemma 2.3.(i) we get

$$\mathcal{O}_y \cap (\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{U}^w) = wU^- \cdot (\mathcal{X}_{y^{-1}} \times \{1_{\mathcal{X}}\}) \simeq \mathcal{X}_{y^{-1}} \times U^-. \tag{4.1.1}$$

Claim (i) follows from (4.1.1) because the sets $\mathcal{U}^w$ with $w \in W$ form an open covering of $\mathcal{X}$.

By (4.1.1) we have

$$(\mathcal{O}_{\leq y'} \times \mathcal{U}^w) \cap (\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{O}_{\leq y}) \subset (\mathcal{O}_{\leq y'} \times \mathcal{X}) \cap (\mathcal{X} \times wU^- \cdot (\mathcal{X}_{\leq y^{-1}} \times \{1_{\mathcal{X}}\})).$$

By Lemma 2.3.(iii) we have

$$(\mathcal{O}_{\leq y'} \times \mathcal{X}) \cap (\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X}_{\leq y^{-1}} \times \{1_{\mathcal{X}}\}) \subset q_1^{-1}(\mathcal{X}_{\leq y''^{-1}}) \times \{1_{\mathcal{X}}\}.$$

Hence $q_{13}((\mathcal{O}_{\leq y'} \times \mathcal{U}^w) \cap (\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{O}_{\leq y})) \subset \mathcal{O}_{\leq y''}$ because $\mathcal{O}_{\leq y'}$ and $\mathcal{O}_{\leq y''}$ are preserved by the diagonal action of U^- and $\dot{W}$. Claim (iii) follows.

By Lemma 2.3.(ii) and (4.1.1) we have $\mathcal{O}_{\leq y} \cap (\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{U}^w) \subset {}^{w'}\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{U}^w$. The 1-st part of (ii) is proved.

The automorphism φ of $\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{U}^w$ such that $\varphi(\pi(g), \pi(wu)) = (\pi(u^{-1}w^{-1}g), \pi(wu))$ for all $u \in U^-$, $g \in \mathcal{G}$, takes $\mathcal{O}_y \cap (\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{U}^w)$ onto $\mathcal{X}_{y^{-1}} \times \mathcal{U}^w$. Claim (iv) follows.

By (iv) the restriction of q_2 to ${}^w\mathcal{O}_y$ is a morphism of finite type. Hence, if $k \gg 0$ the map $p^k \times \mathrm{Id}$ restricts to an immersion of ${}^w\mathcal{X}$-schemes ${}^w\mathcal{O}_y \to {}^{w'}\mathcal{X}^k \times {}^w\mathcal{X}$. The U_k^--action on ${}^{w'}\mathcal{X}^k \times {}^w\mathcal{X}$ on the right factor preserves $(p^k \times \mathrm{Id})({}^w\mathcal{O}_y)$ because ${}^w\mathcal{O}_y$ is preserved by the diagonal U^--action. The quotient is a locally closed subset of ${}^{w'}\mathcal{X}^k \times {}^w\mathcal{X}^k$ isomorphic to $\mathcal{X}_{y^{-1}} \times (U_k^- \setminus wU^-w^{-1})$. The 2-nd part of (ii) is proved. □

Remark. Note that $\mathcal{O}_y$, $\mathcal{O}_{\leq y}$ and ${}^w\mathcal{O}_y^k$ are endowed with the reduced scheme structures induced from $\mathcal{X}^2$ and ${}^{w'}\mathcal{X}^k \times {}^w\mathcal{X}^k$ respectively.

4.2. To simplify the notations, for any $w \in W$ it is convenient to write $w(x)$, $\dot{w}(x)$ for the adjoint action $\mathrm{ad}_w x$, $\mathrm{ad}_{\dot{w}} x$. See also 2.3. Set

$$\mathcal{Z}_y = \{([g \cdot \dot{y}^{-1} : \dot{y}(x)], [g : x])\,;\, g \in \mathcal{G},\, x \in \mathfrak{u} \cap y^{-1}(\mathfrak{u})\}.$$

Set also $\mathcal{Z}_{\leq y} = \bigcup_{y' \leq y} \mathcal{Z}_{y'}$, $\mathcal{Z} = \bigcup_y \mathcal{Z}_y$, ${}^w\mathcal{Z}_y = \mathcal{Z}_y \cap (\mathcal{T} \times {}^w\mathcal{T})$, ${}^w\mathcal{Z}_y^{k\ell} = (p_\ell^k \times p_\ell^k)({}^w\mathcal{Z}_y)$, etc.

Lemma. *Let y, y', y'', w, w' be as in Lemma 4.1.*

(i) $\mathcal{Z}_{\leq y}$ is closed in $\mathcal{T}^2$, $\mathcal{Z}_y$ is open in $\mathcal{Z}_{\leq y}$, the second projection $\mathcal{Z}_{\leq y} \to \mathcal{T}$ is proper, the natural projection $\mathcal{Z}_y \to \mathcal{O}_y$ is a vector bundle.

(ii) ${}^w\mathcal{Z}_y \subset {}^{w'}\mathcal{T} \times {}^w\mathcal{T}$, ${}^w\mathcal{Z}_y^{k\ell}$ is locally closed in ${}^{w'}\mathcal{T}^{k\ell} \times {}^w\mathcal{T}^{k\ell}$ if $k, \ell \gg 0$, the projection ${}^w\mathcal{Z}_y^{k\ell} \to {}^w\mathcal{O}_y^k$ is a vector bundle with fiber $(\mathfrak{u} \cap y^{-1}(\mathfrak{u}))/(\mathfrak{u}_\ell \cap y^{-1}(\mathfrak{u}_\ell))$.

(iii) q_{13} restricts to a proper map $q_{12}^{-1}({}^{w'}\mathcal{Z}_{\leq y'}) \cap q_{23}^{-1}({}^w\mathcal{Z}_{\leq y}) \to {}^w\mathcal{Z}_{\leq y''}$.

Proof: The set $\mathcal{Z}_y$ is preserved by the diagonal action of $\dot{W}$, U^- on $\mathcal{T}^2$. Hence Lemma 2.3.(i) gives

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{Z}_y \cap (\mathcal{T} \times \mathcal{V}^w) &= wU^- \cdot \{([1_\mathcal{G} \cdot u\dot{y}^{-1} : \dot{y}(\mathrm{ad}_u^{-1}x)], [1_\mathcal{G} : x])\,;\, u, x\} \\ &= wU^- \cdot \{([\dot{y}^{-1}u_2 \cdot 1_\mathcal{G} : \mathrm{ad}_{u_2}^{-1}\dot{y}(x)], [1_\mathcal{G} : x])\,;\, u_2, x\},\end{aligned}$$

where $u \in U$, $x \in \mathfrak{u} \cap \mathrm{ad}_u y^{-1}(\mathfrak{u})$, and $u_2 \in U(\Phi^- \cap y\Phi^+)$, $x \in \mathfrak{u} \cap y^{-1}(\mathrm{ad}_{u_2}\mathfrak{u})$ respectively. Hence the natural projection $\mathcal{Z}_y \to \mathcal{O}_y$ is a vector bundle whith fibers isomorphic to $\mathfrak{u} \cap y^{-1}(\mathfrak{u})$. The automorphism φ of $\mathcal{T} \times \mathcal{V}^w$ such that

$$(t, [\dot{w}u_1 \cdot 1_\mathcal{G} : x]) \mapsto (u_1^{-1}\dot{w}^{-1} \cdot t, [\dot{w}u_1 \cdot 1_\mathcal{G} : x]), \quad \forall u_1 \in U^-,$$

takes $\mathcal{Z}_y \cap (\mathcal{T} \times \mathcal{V}^w)$ to

$$\mathcal{Z}_y^\varphi = \{([\dot{y}^{-1}u_2 \cdot 1_\mathcal{G} : \mathrm{ad}_{u_2}^{-1}\dot{y}(x)], [\dot{w}u_1 \cdot 1_\mathcal{G} : x])\,;\, u_1, u_2, x\}$$

with u_1, u_2, x as above. Hence $\mathcal{Z}_y^\varphi$ is closed in $\mathcal{V}^{y^{-1}} \times \mathcal{V}^w$, because $\mathfrak{u} \cap y^{-1}(\mathrm{ad}_{u_2}\mathfrak{u})$ is closed in $\mathfrak{u}$. Thus $\mathcal{Z}_{\leq y}$ is closed in $\mathcal{T}^2$ and $\mathcal{Z}_y$ is open in $\mathcal{Z}_{\leq y}$, because the sets $\mathcal{V}^w$ with $w \in W$ form an open covering of $\mathcal{T}$. The map $\rho \times \mathrm{Id}$ restricts to a closed immersion $\mathcal{Z}_{\leq y}^\varphi \to \mathcal{X}_{\leq y^{-1}} \times \mathcal{V}^w$, where $\mathcal{Z}_{\leq y}^\varphi = \bigcup_{y' \leq y} \mathcal{Z}_{y'}^\varphi$. Hence the second projection $\mathcal{Z}_{\leq y}^\varphi \to \mathcal{V}^w$ is proper. Thus the second projection $\mathcal{Z}_{\leq y} \to \mathcal{T}$ is also proper.

The first part of (ii) follows from Lemma 4.1.(ii). The projection $\mathcal{Z}_y \to \mathcal{O}_y$ is a vector bundle, and the fiber at $(y^{-1}u_2 \cdot 1_\mathcal{X}, 1_\mathcal{X})$ is $\mathfrak{u} \cap y^{-1}(\mathrm{ad}_{u_2}\mathfrak{u})$. Since $\ell \gg 0$ we can assume that $\mathfrak{u}_\ell \subset \mathfrak{u} \cap y^{-1}(\mathrm{ad}_{u_2}\mathfrak{u})$. Set $\mathcal{Z}_y^\ell = (p_\ell \times p_\ell)(\mathcal{Z}_y)$. Then the projection $\mathcal{Z}_y^\ell \to \mathcal{O}_y$ is a vector bundle again. It is U^--equivariant and $\dot{W}$-equivariant, and the fiber over $(y^{-1}u_2 \cdot 1_\mathcal{X}, 1_\mathcal{X})$ is $(\mathfrak{u} \cap y^{-1}(\mathrm{ad}_{u_2}\mathfrak{u}))/(\mathfrak{u}_\ell \cap y^{-1}(\mathrm{ad}_{u_2}\mathfrak{u}_\ell))$. We have $\mathfrak{u}_\ell \cap y^{-1}(\mathrm{ad}_{u_2}\mathfrak{u}_\ell) = \mathrm{ad}_{\dot{y}^{-1}(u_2)}(\mathfrak{u}_\ell \cap y^{-1}(\mathfrak{u}_\ell))$ because $[\mathfrak{u}, \mathfrak{u}_\ell] \subset \mathfrak{u}_\ell$, and $\mathfrak{u} \cap y^{-1}(\mathrm{ad}_{u_2}\mathfrak{u}) = \mathrm{ad}_{\dot{y}^{-1}(u_2)}(\mathfrak{u} \cap y^{-1}(\mathfrak{u}))$. Taking the quotient by the left $(U_k^-)^2$-action we get (ii).

As $q_{23}^{-1}({}^w\mathcal{Z}_{\leq y})$ is contained in $\mathcal{T} \times {}^{w'}\mathcal{T} \times {}^w\mathcal{T}$ by (ii), Lemma 4.1.(iii) implies that $q_{13}\big(q_{12}^{-1}(\mathcal{Z}_{\leq y'}) \cap q_{23}^{-1}({}^w\mathcal{Z}_{\leq y})\big)$ is in ${}^w\mathcal{Z}_{\leq y''}$. Hence (iii) is a consequence of (i). □

Remarks. (i) $\mathcal{Z}_{\leq y}$, $\mathcal{Z}_{\leq y}^{k\ell}$, etc, are endowed with the reduced scheme structure induced by $\mathcal{T}^2$ and $({}^w\mathcal{T}^{k\ell})^2$ respectively.

(ii) We do not know if ${}^w\mathcal{Z}_{\leq y}^{k\ell}$ is closed in ${}^{w'}\mathcal{T}^{k\ell} \times {}^w\mathcal{T}^{k\ell}$ because ${}^w\mathcal{X}^k$ may be not separated.

4.3. Set $\mathcal{Z}_{ij}^a = \mathcal{Z}^a \cap (\dot{\mathcal{N}}_i^a \times \dot{\mathcal{N}}_j^a)$ for all $i, j \in \Xi_s$, see 2.13. In the rest of Section 4 we set also $\mathfrak{u}_\ell = \varepsilon^\ell \cdot \mathfrak{u}_J$ with $J \subsetneq I$. Moreover we assume assume that $w \in W^J w_J$.

Proposition. *Assume that $k, k_2, k_1 \gg 0$, $k_2 \geq k_1$, $\ell_2 \geq \ell_1$, and $y \in W_J$.*

(i) ${}^w\mathcal{Z}_{\leq y}$, ${}^w\mathcal{Z}^{\ell}_{\leq y}$, and ${}^w\mathcal{Z}^{k\ell}_{\leq y}$ are G_J-subschemes of $({}^w\mathcal{T})^2$, $({}^w\mathcal{T}^{\ell})^2$, and $({}^w\mathcal{T}^{k\ell})^2$.

(ii) q_{13} restricts to a proper map $q_{12}^{-1}({}^w\mathcal{Z}_{\leq w_J}) \cap q_{23}^{-1}({}^w\mathcal{Z}_{\leq w_J}) \to {}^w\mathcal{Z}_{\leq w_J}$.

(iii) The projections ${}^w\mathcal{Z}^{\ell_2}_{\leq y} \to {}^w\mathcal{Z}^{\ell_1}_{\leq y}$, ${}^w\mathcal{Z}^{k\ell_2}_{\leq y} \to {}^w\mathcal{Z}^{k\ell_1}_{\leq y}$ are vector bundles with fiber $\mathfrak{u}_{\ell_2}/\mathfrak{u}_{\ell_1}$.

(iv) $\mathcal{Z}^a = \{(x, \mathfrak{p}; x', \mathfrak{p}') \in \dot{\mathcal{N}}^a \times \dot{\mathcal{N}}^a \,;\, x = x'\}$.

(v) The map $\rho \circ q_2$ yields a locally trivial fibration $\mathcal{Z}^a_{ij} \cap \mathcal{Z}_{\leq y} \to \mathcal{X}^s_j$.

Proof: Immediate from Lemma 2.13.(*iv*) and Lemma 4.2. Note that our assumptions imply that $w \succeq (w, y^{-1})$ and $w_J \succeq (w_J, w_J)$. □

Remark. Note also the following, to be compared with (A.6), (A.7).

(*i*) $\mathrm{Id} \times p_{\ell_2\ell_1}$ restricts to an isomorphism ${}^w\mathcal{Z}^{k\ell_2}_y \to (p_{\ell_2\ell_1} \times \mathrm{Id})^{-1}({}^w\mathcal{Z}^{k\ell_1}_y)$.

(*ii*) $p^{k_2k_1} \times \mathrm{Id}$ restricts to an isomorphism ${}^w\mathcal{Z}^{k_2\ell}_y \to (\mathrm{Id} \times p^{k_2k_1})^{-1}({}^w\mathcal{Z}^{k_1\ell}_y)$.

We will not use it. Proof is left to the reader.

4.4. Fix y, y', y'', w, w' as in Lemma 4.1. Write ${}^w\mathcal{Z}^a_{\leq y}$ for the fixed points set $({}^w\mathcal{Z}_{\leq y})^a$, and similarly for the other sets we consider. Let $D \subset A$ be a closed subgroup. Then ${}^w\mathcal{Z}^a_{\leq y}$ is closed in ${}^{w'}\mathcal{T}^a \times {}^w\mathcal{T}^a$, and q_{13} restricts to a proper map $q_{12}^{-1}({}^{w'}\mathcal{Z}^a_{\leq y'}) \cap q_{23}^{-1}({}^w\mathcal{Z}^a_{\leq y}) \to {}^w\mathcal{Z}^a_{\leq y''}$, yielding a $\star$-product

$$\mathbf{K}^D({}^{w'}\mathcal{Z}^a_{\leq y'}) \times \mathbf{K}^D({}^w\mathcal{Z}^a_{\leq y}) \to \mathbf{K}^D({}^w\mathcal{Z}^a_{\leq y''}) \tag{4.4.1}$$

relative to $(\mathcal{T}^a)^3$, see A.2. If $w \leq w'$ the open immersion ${}^w\mathcal{T}^a \subset {}^{w'}\mathcal{T}^a$ gives a map

$$h^{w'w} \,:\, \mathbf{K}^D({}^{w'}\mathcal{Z}^a_{\leq y}) \to \mathbf{K}^D({}^w\mathcal{Z}^a_{\leq y}).$$

If $y \leq y'$ the closed immersion ${}^w\mathcal{Z}^a_{\leq y} \to {}^w\mathcal{Z}^a_{\leq y'}$ gives a map

$$h_{yy'} \,:\, \mathbf{K}^D({}^w\mathcal{Z}^a_{\leq y}) \to \mathbf{K}^D({}^w\mathcal{Z}^a_{\leq y'}).$$

The maps $h^{w'w}$ and $h_{yy'}$ commute. Set

$$\mathbf{K}^D(\mathcal{Z}^a_{\leq y}) = \lim_{\longleftarrow w} (\mathbf{K}^D({}^w\mathcal{Z}^a_{\leq y}), h^{w'w}).$$

Then we have $h_{yy'} \,:\, \mathbf{K}^D(\mathcal{Z}^a_{\leq y}) \to \mathbf{K}^D(\mathcal{Z}^a_{\leq y'})$, and we set

$$\mathbf{K}^D(\mathcal{Z}^a) = \lim_{\longrightarrow y} (\mathbf{K}^D(\mathcal{Z}^a_{\leq y}), h_{yy'}).$$

To simplify we write $\mathbf{K}_a = \mathbf{K}^A(\mathcal{Z}^a)_a$ and $\mathbf{K}_{a,\leq y} = \mathbf{K}^A(\mathcal{Z}^a_{\leq y})_a$. Recall that the subscript a means specialization at the maximal ideal $\mathbf{J}_a$, see 1.4.

Proposition. *(i) (4.4.1) induces a $\star$-product $\mathbf{K}_{a,\leq y'} \times \mathbf{K}_{a,\leq y} \to \mathbf{K}_{a,\leq y''}$, yielding a ring $(\mathbf{K}_a, \star)$. The natural map $\mathbf{K}_{a,\leq w_J} \to \mathbf{K}_a$ is a ring homomorphism.*

(ii) If $s_1 \in \mathrm{ad}_G(s_2)$ and $a_i = (s_i, \zeta)$ the rings $\mathbf{K}_{a_1}$, $\mathbf{K}_{a_2}$ are isomorphic.

Proof: The maps $h^{w'w}$ and $h_{yy'}$ are $\star$-homomorphisms, see Example (A.3.1). Thus the bilinear map $\star \,:\, \mathbf{K}_{a,\leq y'} \times \mathbf{K}_{a,\leq y} \to \mathbf{K}_{a,\leq y''}$ such that $(a'_w) \star (a_w) = (a'_w \star a_w)$

is well-defined and is compatible with the maps $h_{yy'}$. This yields Claim (i). It is known that $s_1 \in \mathrm{ad}_G(s_2)$ if and only if s_1, s_2 are W-conjugated. Hence $\mathbf{K}_{a_1} \simeq \mathbf{K}_{a_2}$, because $\dot{W}$ acts on $\mathcal{Z}$ and normalizes A. $\square$

Remark. Observe that, for each w there are finite subsets $S, T \subset \Xi_s$ such that

$$^w\mathcal{Z}^a_{\leq y} \subseteq \bigcup_{i\in S}\bigcup_{j\in T} \mathcal{Z}^a_{ij} \cap {}^w\mathcal{Z}_{\leq y}.$$

Inversely, given S, T there is a w such that the reverse inclusion holds. Thus

$$\mathbf{K}^D(\mathcal{Z}^a_{\leq y}) = \prod_{i,j} \mathbf{K}^D(\mathcal{Z}^a_{ij} \cap \mathcal{Z}_{\leq y}).$$

Given j, the group $\mathbf{K}^D(\mathcal{Z}^a_{ij} \cap \mathcal{Z}_{\leq y})$ vanishes except for a finite number of i. Thus

$$\prod_{i,j} \mathbf{K}^D(\mathcal{Z}^a_{ij} \cap \mathcal{Z}_{\leq y}) = \prod_j \bigoplus_i \mathbf{K}^D(\mathcal{Z}^a_{ij} \cap \mathcal{Z}_{\leq y}).$$

Moreover, for each $i, j \in \Xi_s$ there is an element y such that $\mathcal{Z}^a_{ij} \subseteq \mathcal{Z}^a_{\leq y}$. Therefore we have a natural injective map

$$\mathbf{K}_a \to \prod_j \varinjlim_y \bigoplus_i \mathbf{K}^A(\mathcal{Z}^a_{ij} \cap \mathcal{Z}_{\leq y})_a = \prod_j \bigoplus_i \mathbf{K}^A(\mathcal{Z}^a_{ij})_a.$$

4.5. Let ${}^w\mathcal{T}$, $\mathcal{T}^\ell$, ${}^w\mathcal{P}^{J,k}$ be as in 2.5, 2.6, and 2.10. Recall that $\mathfrak{u}_0 = \mathfrak{u}_J$, see 2.9, 4.3. There is an isomorphism

$${}^w\mathcal{P}^{J,k} \times_{G_J} \dot{\mathcal{N}}_J \to {}^w\mathcal{T}^{k0}, \quad [g \cdot U_J : g_J \cdot (x + \mathfrak{u}_J, \mathfrak{b})] \mapsto [g \cdot g_J : x + \mathfrak{u}_J]$$

where $g \in U_k^- \setminus {}^w\mathcal{G}$, $g_J \in G_J$, and $x \in \mathfrak{u}$. The composed map

$$^w\mathcal{P}^{J,k} \times_{G_J} \mathcal{Z}_J \to {}^w\mathcal{P}^{J,k} \times_{G_J} (\dot{\mathcal{N}}_J)^2 \to ({}^w\mathcal{T}^{k0})^2 \tag{4.5.1}$$

is an immersion.

Lemma. *Let $y \in W_J$.*
(i) (4.5.1) restricts to an isomorphism ${}^w\mathcal{P}^{J,k} \times_{G_J} \mathcal{Z}_{J,\leq y} \to {}^w\mathcal{Z}^{k0}_{\leq y}$.
(ii) ${}^w\mathcal{Z}^{k\ell}_{\leq y}$ is closed in ${}^w\mathcal{Z}^{k\ell}_{\leq w_J}$.

Proof: Observe that ${}^w\mathcal{Z}^{k0}_{\leq y}$ is a G_J-subscheme of $({}^w\mathcal{T}^{k0})^2$ by Proposition 4.3.(i). The immersion (4.5.1) takes $[g \cdot U_J : g_J \cdot (x + \mathfrak{u}_J, \mathfrak{b}_{y^{-1}}, \mathfrak{b})]$ to $([g \cdot g_J \dot{y}^{-1} : \dot{y}(x) + \mathfrak{u}_J], [g \cdot g_J : x + \mathfrak{u}_J])$ for any $x \in \mathfrak{b}_{y^{-1}} \cap \mathfrak{b}$. Hence ${}^w\mathcal{P}^{J,k} \times_{G_J} \mathcal{Z}_{J,y}$ surjects to ${}^w\mathcal{Z}^{k0}_y$, yielding (i). Claim (ii) is immediate because the natural projection ${}^w\mathcal{Z}^{k\ell}_{\leq y} \to {}^w\mathcal{Z}^{k0}_{\leq y}$ is a vector bundle, see 4.3, and ${}^w\mathcal{Z}^{k0}_{\leq y}$ is closed in ${}^w\mathcal{Z}^{k0}_{\leq w_J}$ by (i). $\square$

The $\star$-product on $\mathbf{K}^A({}^w\mathcal{Z}^{k0}_{\leq w_J})$ relative to ${}^w\mathcal{P}^{J,k} \times_{G_J} (\dot{\mathcal{N}}_J)^3$ makes sense, see (A.4.1), because ${}^w\mathcal{X}^{J,k}$ is smooth. Let $p^{k_2k_1}$ denote also the obvious projection ${}^w\mathcal{P}^{J,k_2} \to {}^w\mathcal{P}^{J,k_1}$. It is a smooth map. Set

$$\mathbf{K}^A({}^w\mathcal{Z}^0_{\leq w_J}) = \varinjlim_k \big(\mathbf{K}^A({}^w\mathcal{Z}^{k0}_{\leq w_J}), (p^{k_2k_1}_{\mathcal{Z}_J})^*\big),$$

where $p^{k_2k_1}_{\mathcal{Z}_J} = (p^{k_2k_1})_{\mathcal{Z}_J}$, see (A.4.4).

On the other hand, we endow $\mathbf{K}^A(({}^w\mathcal{Z}^0_{\leq w_J})^a)$ with the $\star$-product relative to $(({}^w\mathcal{T}^0)^a)^3$. This is possible, since if k is large then $({}^w\mathcal{T}^{k0})^a \simeq ({}^w\mathcal{T}^0)^a$ by Lemma 2.13.(*vi*). Thus $({}^w\mathcal{Z}^0_{\leq w_J})^a$ is a scheme of finite type and $\mathbf{K}^A(({}^w\mathcal{Z}^0_{\leq w_J})^a)$ is well-defined, without any use of direct or inverse limit, see 1.4. Moreover $({}^w\mathcal{Z}^0_{\leq w_J})^a$ is closed in $(({}^w\mathcal{T}^0)^a)^2$ and $({}^w\mathcal{T}^0)^a$ is smooth, see Proposition 4.3, hence the $\star$-product is well-defined. The concentration map

$$\mathbf{K}^A({}^w\mathcal{Z}^{k0}_{\leq w_J})_a \to \mathbf{K}^A(({}^w\mathcal{Z}^0_{\leq w_J})^a)_a \tag{4.5.2}$$

relative to ${}^w\mathcal{P}^{J,k} \times_{G_J} (\dot{\mathcal{N}}_J)^2$ commutes with $\star$, see (A.4.3).

Lemma. *(iii) $\star$ factorizes through a product on $\mathbf{K}^A({}^w\mathcal{Z}^0_{\leq w_J})$.*

(iv) (4.5.2) factorizes through a ring isomorphism

$$\mathbf{c}_a \,:\, \mathbf{K}^A({}^w\mathcal{Z}^0_{\leq w_J})_a \to \mathbf{K}^A(({}^w\mathcal{Z}^0_{\leq w_J})^a)_a.$$

Proof: Immediate by (A.4.4). Note that $\mathbf{K}^A(({}^w\mathcal{Z}^0_{\leq w_J})^a)$ is also the direct limit $\lim\limits_{\longrightarrow k} \mathbf{K}^A(({}^w\mathcal{Z}^{k0}_{\leq w_J})^a)$, because the limit stabilizes. □

Remark. We do not use a $\star$-product on $\mathbf{K}^A({}^w\mathcal{Z}^{k\ell}_{\leq w_J})$ relative to $({}^w\mathcal{T}^{k\ell})^3$, because the scheme ${}^w\mathcal{X}^k$ may be not separated, see Remark 4.2.(*ii*).

4.6. Following [KT2, 2.2], for any $\lambda \in X$ we define H-equivariant invertible sheaves ${}^wL^k_\lambda$ on ${}^w\mathcal{X}^k$ whose local sections are

$$\Gamma(U; {}^wL^k_\lambda) = \{f \in \Gamma((p^k\pi)^{-1}(U); \mathcal{O}_\mathcal{G})\,;\, f(ugb) = f(g)b^{-\lambda},\, \forall u, g, b\},$$

where $(u, g, b) \in U^-_k \times (p^k\pi)^{-1}(U) \times B$. Let ${}^wL^{k\ell}_\lambda$ denote also the pull-back of ${}^wL^k_\lambda$ to ${}^w\mathcal{T}^{k\ell}$. The sheaves ${}^wL^{k\ell}_\lambda$ are made A-equivariant with $\mathbb{C}^\times_q$ acting trivially. Set

$$\mathbf{K}_H(\mathcal{X}) = \lim_{\longleftarrow w} \mathbf{K}_H({}^w\mathcal{X}), \quad \mathbf{K}_A(\mathcal{T}) = \lim_{\longleftarrow w} \mathbf{K}_A({}^w\mathcal{T}),$$

where

$$\mathbf{K}_H({}^w\mathcal{X}) = \lim_{\longrightarrow k} (\mathbf{K}_H({}^w\mathcal{X}^k), p^{k_2k_1*}), \quad \mathbf{K}_A({}^w\mathcal{T}) = \lim_{\longrightarrow k,\ell} (\mathbf{K}_A({}^w\mathcal{T}^{k\ell}), p^{k_2k_1*}, p^*_{\ell_2\ell_1}),$$

see A.5. Let ${}^wL_\lambda \in \mathbf{K}_H({}^w\mathcal{X}), \mathbf{K}_A({}^w\mathcal{T})$ be the element representing the systems of sheaves $({}^wL^k_\lambda)$ and $({}^wL^{k\ell}_\lambda)$ respectively. Let $L_\lambda \in \mathbf{K}_H(\mathcal{X}), \mathbf{K}_A(\mathcal{T})$ be the element representing the system of sheaves $({}^wL_\lambda)$.

For a future use, we set also

$$\mathbf{K}_H(\mathcal{X}^s) = \lim_{\longleftarrow w} \mathbf{K}_H({}^w\mathcal{X}^s), \quad \mathbf{K}_A(\mathcal{T}^a) = \lim_{\longleftarrow w} \mathbf{K}_A({}^w\mathcal{T}^a).$$

Remark. Let $\mathcal{L}_\lambda$ be the pull-back to $\mathcal{T}$ of the H-equivariant invertible sheaf on $\mathcal{X}$ whose local sections on U are $\{f \in \Gamma(\pi^{-1}(U); \mathcal{O}_\mathcal{G})\,;\, f(gb) = f(g)b^{-\lambda},\ \forall (g, b) \in \pi^{-1}(U) \times B\}$. Then the inverse image of ${}^wL^{k\ell}_\lambda$ by the projection ${}^w\mathcal{T} \to {}^w\mathcal{T}^{k\ell}$ equals $\mathcal{L}_\lambda|_{{}^w\mathcal{T}}$. Thus ${}^wL_\lambda$ and L_λ are well-defined.

4.7. Fix $\lambda, \lambda', \lambda'' \in X$ such that $\lambda = \lambda' + \lambda''$. Let D_λ be the restriction of $L_{\lambda'} \boxtimes L_{\lambda''}$ to $\mathcal{Z}_1$, and ${}^w D^{k\ell}_\lambda$ be the restriction of ${}^w L^{k\ell}_{\lambda'} \boxtimes {}^w L^{k\ell}_{\lambda''}$ to ${}^w\mathcal{Z}^{k\ell}_1$. Since ${}^w\mathcal{Z}^{k\ell}_1$ is closed in ${}^w\mathcal{Z}^{k\ell}_{\leq w_J}$ by Lemma 4.5.(ii), we may view ${}^w D^{k0}_\lambda$ as an element in $\mathbf{K}^A({}^w\mathcal{Z}^{k0}_{\leq w_J})$. We have

$$(p^{k_2k_1}_{\mathcal{Z}_J})^*({}^w D^{k_1 0}_\lambda) = {}^w D^{k_2 0}_\lambda.$$

Let ${}^w D^0_\lambda$ represent the system $({}^w D^{k0}_\lambda)$ in $\mathbf{K}^A({}^w\mathcal{Z}^0_{\leq w_J})$.

Assume that $\lambda = -\alpha_i$ with $i \in J$, and that $(\lambda' : \alpha_i^\vee) = (\lambda'' : \alpha_i^\vee) = -1$. Let D_i be the restriction of $q^{-1} L_{\lambda'} \boxtimes L_{\lambda''}$ to $\bar{\mathcal{Z}}_{s_i} = \mathcal{Z}_{s_i} \cup \mathcal{Z}_1$. The set $({}^w\bar{\mathcal{Z}}_{s_i})^{k\ell} = (p^k_\ell \times p^k_\ell)(\bar{\mathcal{Z}}_{s_i} \cap (\mathcal{T} \times {}^w\mathcal{T}))$ is closed in ${}^w\mathcal{Z}^{k\ell}_{\leq w_J}$ (as for Lemma 4.5.(ii)). Let ${}^w D^{k\ell}_i$ be the restriction of $q^{-1}({}^w L^{k\ell}_{\lambda'} \boxtimes {}^w L^{k\ell}_{\lambda''})$ to $({}^w\bar{\mathcal{Z}}_{s_i})^{k\ell}$. We have

$$(p^{k_2k_1}_{\mathcal{Z}_J})^*({}^w D^{k_1 0}_i) = {}^w D^{k_2 0}_i.$$

Let ${}^w D^0_i \in \mathbf{K}^A({}^w\mathcal{Z}^0_{\leq w_J})$ represent the system $({}^w D^{k0}_i)$ in $\mathbf{K}^A({}^w\mathcal{Z}^0_{\leq w_J})$.

Let $p_a : \mathcal{T}^a \to (\mathcal{T}^0)^a$ be the restriction of the vector bundle $p_0 : \mathcal{T} \to \mathcal{T}^0$ in 2.6 to the fixed point subsets. The map ${}^\sharp p_a : \mathbf{K}^A(({}^w\mathcal{Z}^0_{\leq w_J})^a)_a \to \mathbf{K}^A({}^w\mathcal{Z}^a_{\leq w_J})_a$ is invertible. Put $D_{\lambda,a} = ({}^\sharp p_a \mathbf{c}_a({}^w D^0_\lambda))$ and $D_{i,a} = ({}^\sharp p_a \mathbf{c}_a({}^w D^0_i))$ in $\mathbf{K}_{a,\leq w_J}$, where $\mathbf{c}_a$ is as in Lemma 4.5.(iv). Let $D_{\lambda,a}$, $D_{i,a}$ denote also their images in $\mathbf{K}_a$ by the obvious map.

Lemma. *$D_{\lambda,a}$, $D_{i,a}$ do not depend on the choice of λ', λ'', or J.*

Proof: The independence on λ', λ'' is proved as in [L2, 7.19]. We prove the independence on J for $D_{\lambda,a}$ only. The case of $D_{i,a}$ is similar. Assume that $J_1 \subset J_2 \subsetneq I$, and that $w \in W^{J_2} w_{J_2}$. Fix $i = 1$ or 2. Given $\mathfrak{u}_\ell = \varepsilon^\ell \cdot \mathfrak{u}_{J_i}$, we write ${}^w\mathcal{T}^{k\ell}_i$ for ${}^w\mathcal{T}^{k\ell}$, and ${}^w\mathcal{Z}^{k\ell}_{i,\leq y}$ for ${}^w\mathcal{Z}^{k\ell}_{\leq y}$. Both schemes depend on J_i because the ideal $\mathfrak{u}_\ell$ depends on it. Write ${}^w L^{k\ell}_{\lambda i}$ for the element ${}^w L^{k\ell}_\lambda$ in $\mathbf{K}_A({}^w\mathcal{T}^{k\ell}_i)$, and ${}^w D^{k\ell}_{\lambda i}$ for the element ${}^w D^{k\ell}_\lambda$ in $\mathbf{K}^A({}^w\mathcal{Z}^{k\ell}_{i,\leq w_{J_1}})$. The pro-objects

$$({}^w\mathcal{T}^{k\ell}_1, p^{k_2k_1}, p_{\ell_2\ell_1}), \quad ({}^w\mathcal{T}^{k\ell}_2, p^{k_2k_1}, p_{\ell_2\ell_1})$$

are isomorphic, see 2.6 and A.5, and the systems $({}^w L^{k\ell}_{\lambda 1})$ and $({}^w L^{k\ell}_{\lambda 2})$ yield the same element in $\mathbf{K}_A({}^w\mathcal{T})$. Hence the ind-objects

$$\big(\mathbf{K}^A({}^w\mathcal{Z}^{k0}_{1,\leq w_{J_1}}), (p^{k_2k_1}_{\mathcal{Z}_{J_1}})^*\big), \quad \big(\mathbf{K}^A({}^w\mathcal{Z}^{k0}_{2,\leq w_{J_1}}), (p^{k_2k_1}_{\mathcal{Z}_{J_2}})^*\big),$$

are isomorphic, and the systems $({}^w D^{k0}_{\lambda 1})$ and $({}^w D^{k0}_{\lambda 2})$ yield the same element ${}^w D^0_\lambda$ in $\mathbf{K}^A({}^w\mathcal{Z}^0_{\leq w_{J_1}})$. See A.5 for generalities on pro-objects and ind-objects. Remark that ${}^\sharp p_a \circ \mathbf{c}_a : \mathbf{K}^A({}^w\mathcal{Z}^0_{\leq w_{J_1}})_a \to \mathbf{K}^A({}^w\mathcal{Z}^a_{\leq w_{J_1}})_a$ depends a priori on the choice of J ($=J_1$ or J_2). A direct computation yields

$$\begin{aligned} {}^\sharp p_a \mathbf{c}_a({}^w D^0_\lambda) &= {}^\sharp p_a \mathbf{c}_a((\mathbb{C} \boxtimes {}^w L^{k0}_\lambda)|_{{}^w\mathcal{Z}^{k0}_1}), \\ &= {}^\sharp p_a((\mathbb{C} \boxtimes \mathcal{L}_\lambda)|_{({}^w\mathcal{Z}^0_1)^a}), \\ &= (\mathbb{C} \boxtimes \mathcal{L}_\lambda)|_{{}^w\mathcal{Z}^a_1}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.7.1}$$

Hence the element $({}^\sharp p_a \mathbf{c}_a({}^w D^0_\lambda))$ in $\mathbf{K}_a$ does not depend on this choice indeed. $\square$

4.8. The $\mathbb{C}$-linear map $\mathbf{R}_H \to \mathbf{K}(\mathcal{X})$ such that $x_\lambda \mapsto L_\lambda$ is not surjective. Let ${}^w\beta_s : \mathbf{R}_H \to \mathbf{K}_H({}^w\mathcal{X}^s)_s$ be the unique $\mathbb{C}$-linear map taking x_λ to $\mathcal{L}_\lambda|_{{}^w\mathcal{X}^s}$. Let $I_{w,s} \subset \mathbf{R}_A$ be the ideal generated by $\mathrm{Ker}\,({}^w\beta_s)$ and $q-\zeta$, and let $\mathbf{I}_a \subset \mathbf{R}_A$ be the ideal generated by $q-\zeta$ and $\{f - f(s)\,;\, f \in (\mathbf{R}_H)^{W_s}\}$, where W_s is as in 2.2. If $w' \leq w$ then $I_{w,s} \subseteq I_{w',s}$ because ${}^{w'}\mathcal{X}^s \subset {}^w\mathcal{X}^s$. Set $\hat{\mathbf{R}}_a = \lim_{\longleftarrow w} \mathbf{R}_A/I_{w,s}$. Let $W(a)$ be the W-orbit of a.

Lemma. *(i) ${}^w\beta_s$ is a surjective ring homomorphism.*

(ii) The $\mathbf{R}_A$-algebras $\hat{\mathbf{R}}_a$, $\prod_{b\in W(a)} \mathbf{R}_A/\mathbf{I}_b$, and $\mathbf{K}_A(\mathcal{T}^a)_a$ are isomorphic.

(iii) The assignement $\mathcal{L}_\lambda|_{\mathcal{T}^a} \mapsto D_{\lambda,a}$, with $\lambda \in X$, extends uniquely to a $\mathbb{C}$-algebra isomorphism $(\mathbf{K}_A(\mathcal{T}^a)_a, \otimes) \to (\mathbf{K}_{a,\leq 1}, \star)$.

Proof: The map ${}^w\beta_s$ is a ring homomorphism. We must prove that it is surjective. The G-action on $\mathcal{B}$ restricts to a G^s-action on $\mathcal{X}_i^s$, and the G^s-schemes $\mathcal{X}_i^s$ are isomorphic to the flag variety of the connected reductive group G^s, see Lemma 2.13. Hence the ring homomorphism $\mathbf{R}_H \to \mathbf{K}_H(\mathcal{X}_i^s)_s$ taking x_λ to $\mathcal{L}_\lambda|_{\mathcal{X}_i^s}$ is surjective. It takes the element $t = x_\delta$ to τ. The RHS is a finite dimensional algebra. Hence it is the ring of functions of a finite length subscheme $\xi_i \subset H' \times \{\tau\}$. It is sufficient to prove that the supports of the schemes ξ_i are disjoint. Since $\mathbf{K}_H(\mathcal{X}_i^s)_s$ is isomorphic to the De Rham cohomology ring of $\mathcal{X}_i^s$, it is $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$-graded with a one-dimensional zero component. Thus ξ_i has a punctual support. Let $|\xi_i| \in H' \times \{\tau\}$ denote the support of ξ_i. Each connected component $\mathcal{X}_i^s$ contains an element $\mathfrak{b}_w$ for some $w \in W$. We have $|\xi_i| = w^{-1}(s)$ whenever $\mathfrak{b}_w \in \mathcal{X}_i^s$. The group W is the quotient of $N_{G_{KM}}(H')$ by H', and the $N_{G_{KM}}(H')$-action on H by conjugation coincides with the W-action. Hence if $w_1^{-1}(s) = w_2^{-1}(s)$, then $\mathfrak{b}_{w_1} = \mathrm{ad}_g(\mathfrak{b}_{w_2})$ for some element $g \in G^s$, and, thus, $\mathfrak{b}_{w_1}$, $\mathfrak{b}_{w_2}$ belong to the same connected component of $\mathcal{X}^s$ by Lemma 2.13.(i). This proves (i).

Using (i) we get $\mathbf{K}_H(\mathcal{X}^s)_s = \lim_{\longleftarrow w} \mathbf{R}_H/\mathrm{Ker}\,({}^w\beta_s)$. Hence $\mathbf{K}_A(\mathcal{T}^a)_a = \hat{\mathbf{R}}_a$ because ρ restricts to a vector bundle ${}^w\mathcal{T}^a \to {}^w\mathcal{X}^s$, see Lemma 2.13. By definition $\mathbf{K}_H(\mathcal{X}^s)_s = \prod_{i\in\Xi_s} \mathbf{K}_H(\mathcal{X}_i^s)_s$. Since $\mathcal{X}_i^s$ is isomorphic to the flag variety of G^s, the $\mathbf{R}_H$-algebra $\mathbf{K}_H(\mathcal{X}_i^s)_s$ is isomorphic to $\mathbf{R}_H/(f - f(w(s))\,;\, f \in (\mathbf{R}_H)^{W_{w(s)}})$, see Lemma 2.13 again, where $w \in W$ is such that $\mathfrak{b} \in \mathcal{X}_i^{w(s)}$. This proves (ii).

Let us prove (iii). The variety ${}^w\mathcal{T}^a$ is smooth, hence $\mathbf{K}_A({}^w\mathcal{T}^a) \simeq \mathbf{K}^A({}^w\mathcal{T}^a)$. The second projection ${}^w\mathcal{Z}_1^a \to {}^w\mathcal{T}^a$ is an isomorphism, hence $\mathbf{K}^A({}^w\mathcal{T}^a) \simeq \mathbf{K}^A({}^w\mathcal{Z}_1^a)$. The composed isomorphism $\mathbf{K}_A({}^w\mathcal{T}^a) \to \mathbf{K}^A({}^w\mathcal{Z}_1^a)$ takes the tensor product to $\star$. It takes also $\mathcal{L}_\lambda|_{{}^w\mathcal{T}^a}$ to $(\mathbb{C}\boxtimes\mathcal{L}_\lambda)|_{{}^w\mathcal{Z}_1^a}$, i.e. to ${}^\sharp p_a \mathbf{c}_a({}^wD_\lambda^0)$ by (4.7.1). The unicity in (iii) follows from (ii). □

4.9. For any y the space $\mathbf{K}_{a,\leq y}$ is endowed with the topology induced by the product $\prod_w \mathbf{K}^A({}^w\mathcal{Z}_{\leq y}^a)_a$, where each term is given the discrete topology. The ring $\mathbf{K}_a$ is endowed with the smallest topology such that the natural maps $\mathbf{K}_{a,\leq y} \to \mathbf{K}_a$ are continuous. Recall that a representation $\mathbf{M}$ of a topological ring $\mathbf{A}$ is *smooth* if for any $m \in \mathbf{M}$ the subset $\{x \in \mathbf{A}\,;\, x(m) = 0\}$ is open.

Theorem. *(i) There is a unique ring homomorphism $\Psi_a : \mathbf{H} \to \mathbf{K}_a$ such that $t_i \mapsto -1 - qD_{i,a}$, and $x_\lambda \mapsto D_{\lambda,a}$.*

(ii) $\mathbf{K}_{a,\leq y}$ is a free right $\mathbf{K}_{a,\leq 1}$-module of rank $\ell(y)$ with basis $(\Psi_a(t_{y'})\,;\, y' \leq y)$.

(iii) For any closed subgroup $D \subset A$ the forgetful map $\mathbf{K}^A(\mathcal{Z}_{\leq y}^a) \to \mathbf{K}^D(\mathcal{Z}_{\leq y}^a)$ is an isomorphism.

(iv) A smooth and simple $\mathbf{K}_a$*-module pulls-back to a simple* $\mathbf{H}$*-module. Non-isomorphic smooth* $\mathbf{K}_a$*-modules pull-back to non-isomorphic* $\mathbf{H}$*-modules.*

Proof of (i)*:* The group G_J is connected with a simply connected derived group. Thus there is a $\star$-homomorphism $\mathbf{H}_J \to \mathbf{K}^{G_J\times\mathbb{C}_q^\times}(\mathcal{Z}_J)$ such that $t_i \mapsto -1-qD_i$, $x_\lambda \mapsto D_\lambda$, and $D_\lambda, D_i \in \mathbf{K}^{G_J\times\mathbb{C}_q^\times}(\mathcal{Z}_J)$ are as in 4.7, see [L2, Theorem 7.25]. Therefore, due to Lemma 3.2 and Lemma 4.7, in order to prove (i) it is sufficient to construct a $\star$-homomorphism $\Theta_J : \mathbf{K}^{G_J\times\mathbb{C}_q^\times}(\mathcal{Z}_J) \to \mathbf{K}_a$ such that $\Theta_J(D_\lambda) = D_{\lambda,a}$ and $\Theta_J(D_i) = D_{i,a}$. To do so we first construct, for each w, a map

$$^w\Theta_J : \mathbf{K}^{G_J\times\mathbb{C}_q^\times}(\mathcal{Z}_J) \to \mathbf{K}^A({}^w\mathcal{Z}^a_{\leq w_J})_a$$

such that $^w\Theta_J$ commutes with $\star$ and $h^{w'w}\circ {}^{w'}\Theta_J = {}^w\Theta_J$ for each w, w'. Then we compose the resulting $\star$-homomorphism $\mathbf{K}^{G_J\times\mathbb{C}_q^\times}(\mathcal{Z}_J) \to \mathbf{K}_{a,\leq w_J}$ with the natural map $\mathbf{K}_{a,\leq w_J} \to \mathbf{K}_a$.

(a) Let $\varphi_k : {}^w\mathcal{P}^{J,k}\times\mathcal{Z}_J \to \mathcal{Z}_J$, $\psi_k : {}^w\mathcal{P}^{J,k}\times\mathcal{Z}_J \to {}^w\mathcal{P}^{J,k}\times_{G_J}\mathcal{Z}_J$ be the obvious projections. Set $\Gamma_k = (\psi_k^*)^{-1}\circ(\varphi_k)^* : \mathbf{K}^{G_J\times\mathbb{C}_q^\times}(\mathcal{Z}_J) \to \mathbf{K}^A({}^w\mathcal{Z}^{k0}_{\leq w_J})$, see 4.5. We have $(p^{k_2k_1}_{\mathcal{Z}_J})^*\circ\Gamma_{k_1} = \Gamma_{k_2}$. Hence we get a map $\Gamma : \mathbf{K}^{G_J\times\mathbb{C}_q^\times}(\mathcal{Z}_J) \to \mathbf{K}^A({}^w\mathcal{Z}^0_{\leq w_J})$.

(b) Let $\mathbf{c}_a : \mathbf{K}^A({}^w\mathcal{Z}^0_{\leq w_J})_a \to \mathbf{K}^A(({}^w\mathcal{Z}^0_{\leq w_J})^a)_a$ and $^\sharp p_a : \mathbf{K}^A(({}^w\mathcal{Z}^0_{\leq w_J})^a)_a \to \mathbf{K}^A({}^w\mathcal{Z}^a_{\leq w_J})_a$ be as in 4.5, 4.7.

We put $^w\Theta_J = {}^\sharp p_a\circ\mathbf{c}_a\circ\Gamma$. The compatibility with $h^{w'w}$ is immediate. The maps Γ, $\mathbf{c}_a$, and $^\sharp p_a$ commute with $\star$ by (A.4.2), (A.4.3), and (A.3.2) respectively. We have $\Theta_J(D_\lambda) = D_{\lambda,a}$, because $\Gamma_k(D_\lambda) = {}^wD^{k0}_\lambda$. Similarly $\Theta_J(D_i) = D_{i,a}$. □

Proof of (iii) *:* Fix $i,j \in \Xi_s$ such that $\mathcal{Z}^a_{ij}\cap\mathcal{Z}_y \neq \emptyset$. Composing the projections $\mathcal{Z}_y \to \mathcal{O}_y$ and $\mathcal{O}_y \to \mathcal{X}$, we get a vector bundle $\mathcal{Z}^a_{ij}\cap\mathcal{Z}_y \to \mathcal{X}^s_j$, see Lemma 2.14 and Lemma 4.2. Similarly, composing the projections $\mathcal{Z}_{\leq y} \to \mathcal{O}_{\leq y}$ and $\mathcal{O}_{\leq y} \to \mathcal{X}$, we get a locally trivial fibration $\mathcal{Z}^a_{ij}\cap\mathcal{Z}_{\leq y} \to \mathcal{X}^s_j$, see Proposition 4.3. Moreover the $\mathbf{R}_D$-module $\mathbf{K}^D(\mathcal{X}^s_j)$ is free and $\mathbf{K}^D_1(\mathcal{X}^s_j) = 0$, see Lemma 2.13. Hence

$$0 \to \mathbf{K}^D(\mathcal{Z}^a_{ij}\cap\mathcal{Z}_{<y}) \to \mathbf{K}^D(\mathcal{Z}^a_{ij}\cap\mathcal{Z}_{\leq y}) \to \mathbf{K}^D(\mathcal{Z}^a_{ij}\cap\mathcal{Z}_y) \to 0$$

is an exact sequence of $\mathbf{R}_D$-modules by the cellular fibration lemma, and the forgetful map $\mathbf{R}_D\otimes_{\mathbf{R}_A}\mathbf{K}^A(\mathcal{Z}^a_{ij}\cap\mathcal{Z}_{\leq y}) \to \mathbf{K}^D(\mathcal{Z}^a_{ij}\cap\mathcal{Z}_{\leq y})$ is an isomorphism, see [CG, 5.5]. Therefore

$$0 \to \mathbf{K}^D(\mathcal{Z}^a_{<y}) \to \mathbf{K}^D(\mathcal{Z}^a_{\leq y}) \to \mathbf{K}^D(\mathcal{Z}^a_y) \to 0$$

is an exact sequence of $\mathbf{R}_D$-modules, and the forgetful map $\mathbf{R}_D\otimes_{\mathbf{R}_A}\mathbf{K}^A(\mathcal{Z}^a_{\leq y}) \to \mathbf{K}^D(\mathcal{Z}^a_{\leq y})$ is an isomorphism, see Remark 4.4. □

Proof of (ii) *:* Set $D = A$. Then

$$0 \to \mathbf{K}^A(\mathcal{Z}^a_{<y})_a \to \mathbf{K}_{a,\leq y} \to \mathbf{K}^A(\mathcal{Z}^a_y)_a \to 0 \tag{4.9.1}$$

is an exact sequence of $\mathbb{C}$-vector spaces. The 2-nd map is a morphism of right $\mathbf{K}_{a,\leq 1}$-modules, relatively to $\star$. Let $\mathbf{K}_{a,\leq 1}$ act on $\mathbf{K}^A(\mathcal{Z}^a_y)_a$ so that

$$E\star D_{\lambda,a} = E\otimes(\mathbb{C}\boxtimes\mathcal{L}_\lambda),$$

see 4.8. Then (4.9.1) is an exact sequence of right $\mathbf{K}_{a,\leq 1}$-modules by (4.7.1). Note that $\mathbf{K}^A(\mathcal{Z}^a_y)_a$ is free of rank one over $\mathbf{K}_{a,\leq 1}$ by Lemma 4.8. Therefore $\mathbf{K}_{a,\leq y}$ is free of rank $\ell(y)$ over $\mathbf{K}_{a,\leq 1}$.

Fix $i_1 \in I$. Assume that $y \geq s_{i_1} y$. Let

$$\theta_{i_1} : \mathbf{K}_{a,\leq s_{i_1} y} \to \mathbf{K}_{a,\leq y} \to \mathbf{K}_{a,\leq y} \to \mathbf{K}(\mathcal{Z}^a_y)_a$$

be the composition of the direct image by the natural inclusion, the map $E \mapsto D_{i_1,a} \star E$, and the restriction to the open subset $\mathcal{Z}^a_y \subset \mathcal{Z}^a_{\leq y}$. Note that $D_{i_1,a} \star E$ still lies in $\mathbf{K}_{a,\leq y}$ because $s_{i_1} y \leq y$, see Proposition 4.4.(i).

The map θ_{i_1} commutes with the right $\mathbf{K}_{a,\leq 1}$-action. We have $\Psi_a(\mathbf{H}_{\leq y}) \subset \mathbf{K}_{a,\leq y}$ by an easy induction on $\ell(y)$. Moreover $\mathbf{K}_{a,\leq y}$ is free of rank $\ell(y)$ over $\mathbf{K}_{a,\leq 1}$. Therefore it is sufficient to prove that θ_{i_1} is surjective.

There are invertible elements $R, S \in \mathbf{K}_A(\mathcal{T}^a)_a$ such that the restriction of $D_{i_1,a}$ to the open subset $\mathcal{Z}^a_{s_{i_1}} \subset \bar{\mathcal{Z}}^a_{s_{i_1}}$ is $(R \boxtimes S)|_{\mathcal{Z}^a_{s_{i_1}}}$. The $\mathbb{C}$-vector space $\mathbf{K}^A(\mathcal{Z}^a_y)_a$ is spanned by $\{(R \boxtimes \mathcal{L}_\lambda)|_{\mathcal{Z}^a_y} \, ; \, \lambda \in X\}$ by Lemma 4.8.(i), because the second projection $\mathcal{Z}^a_{ij} \cap \mathcal{Z}_y \to \mathcal{X}^s_j$ is a vector bundle for each i, j. Hence it is sufficient to check that $(R \boxtimes \mathcal{L}_\lambda)|_{\mathcal{Z}^a_y}$ lies in the image of θ_{i_1}.

Let $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathcal{T}^3$ be open and such that

$$\mathcal{U} \cap (\bar{\mathcal{Z}}_{s_i} \times \mathcal{T}) = \mathcal{U} \cap (\mathcal{Z}_{s_i} \times \mathcal{T}),$$
$$\mathcal{U} \cap (\mathcal{T} \times \mathcal{Z}_{\leq s_i y}) = \mathcal{U} \cap (\mathcal{T} \times \mathcal{Z}_{s_i y}),$$
$$\mathcal{U} \cap q_{12}^{-1}(\bar{\mathcal{Z}}_{s_i}) \cap q_{23}^{-1}(\mathcal{Z}_{\leq s_i y}) = q_{12}^{-1}(\mathcal{Z}_{s_i}) \cap q_{23}^{-1}(\mathcal{Z}_{s_i y}),$$

see [L2, 8.3]. Put $E = (S^{-1} \boxtimes \mathcal{L}_\lambda)|_{\mathcal{Z}^a_{\leq s_{i_1} y}}$. Then

$$\theta_{i_1}(E) = q_{13*}((R \boxtimes S \boxtimes \mathbb{C}) \otimes_{\mathcal{U}^a} (\mathbb{C} \boxtimes S^{-1} \boxtimes \mathcal{L}_\lambda)).$$

The schemes $\mathcal{U} \cap (\mathcal{Z}_{s_{i_1}} \times \mathcal{T}), \mathcal{U} \cap (\mathcal{T} \times \mathcal{Z}_{s_{i_1} y})$ intersect transversally along $\mathcal{Z}_y$. Hence $\theta_{i_1}(E) = (R \boxtimes \mathcal{L}_\lambda)|_{\mathcal{Z}^a_y}$. □

Proof of (iv)*:* Let ${}^w\Psi_a : \mathbf{H} \to \mathbf{K}^A({}^w\mathcal{Z}^a)_a$ be the composition of Ψ_a and the restriction. The restriction of ${}^w\Psi_a$ to the subring $\mathbf{R}_H \subset \mathbf{H}$ is the composition of ${}^w\beta_s$, the pull-back by the vector bundle ${}^w\mathcal{T}^a \to {}^w\mathcal{X}^s$, and the isomorphism $\mathbf{K}_A({}^w\mathcal{T}^a)_a \to \mathbf{K}^A({}^w\mathcal{Z}^a_1)_a$ in Lemma 4.8. Hence it yields a ring isomorphism $\mathbf{R}_A/I_{w,s} \to \mathbf{K}^A({}^w\mathcal{Z}^a_1)_a$. Taking the limit over w, we get an isomorphism of topological rings $\hat{\Psi}_a : \hat{\mathbf{R}}_a \to \mathbf{K}_{a,\leq 1}$. Set $\hat{\mathbf{H}}_a = \mathbf{H} \otimes_{\mathbf{R}_A} \hat{\mathbf{R}}_a$, and $\hat{\mathbf{H}}_{a,\leq y} = \mathbf{H}_{\leq y} \otimes_{\mathbf{R}_A} \hat{\mathbf{R}}_a$. By (ii) there is a unique isomorphism of topological vector spaces $\hat{\mathbf{H}}_{a,\leq y} \to \mathbf{K}_{a,\leq y}$ such that $x \otimes f \mapsto \Psi_a(x) \star \hat{\Psi}_a(f)$. Let $\hat{\Psi}_a$ denotes also the resulting isomorphism $\hat{\mathbf{H}}_a \to \mathbf{K}_a$.

Let $\mathbf{M}$ be a smooth $\mathbf{K}_a$-module. Then $\Psi_a(\mathbf{R}_a)(m) = \mathbf{K}_{a,\leq 1}(m)$ for any element $m \in \mathbf{M}$. Thus $\Psi_a(\mathbf{H})(m) = \mathbf{K}_a(m)$. In particular $\Psi_a(\mathbf{M})$ is simple if $\mathbf{M}$ is simple. This yields the first part of (iv). The second part is obvious because an $\mathbf{H}$-isomorphism of smooth $\mathbf{K}_a$-modules is a linear isomorphism which commutes with the action of the subring $\Psi_a(\mathbf{H}) \subset \mathbf{K}_a$, hence with the action of $\mathbf{K}_a$ by density ($\Psi_a(\mathbf{H}) \subset \mathbf{K}_a$ is dense, and the $\mathbf{K}_a$ action on a smooth module is continuous). We are done. □

Remark. Probably $\bigcap_w \mathrm{Ker}\,({}^w\beta_s) = (t - \tau)$. Then the natural ring homomorphism $\mathbf{R}_{\tau,\zeta} \to \hat{\mathbf{R}}_a$ would be injective, and the proof of Theorem 4.9.(iv) would imply that $\mathrm{Ker}\,(\Psi_a) = (t - \tau, q - \zeta) \subset \mathbf{H}$. We will not use this statement.

5. Induced modules

Sections 5.1 to 5.3 contain definition and basic properties of a set $\mathcal{S}_\phi$. The group $\mathbf{K}^A(\mathcal{S}^a_\phi)$ and the $\mathbf{K}_a$-action on $\mathbf{K}^A(\mathcal{S}^a_\phi)$ is given in 5.4. Sections 5.5, 5.7 contain basic properties of $\mathbf{K}^A(\mathcal{S}^a_\phi)$. The induction theorem is proved in 5.6, 5.8. The arguments are adapted from [L3].

5.1. Let $\mathfrak{g}_{KM} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ be the Lie algebra of G_{KM}, see 2.2. Given a $\mathfrak{sl}_2$-triple $\phi = \{e, f, h\} \subset \mathfrak{g}_{KM}$, let $\mathfrak{g}_\phi$ be the linear span of $\{e, f, h\}$. It is a reductive Lie subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}_{KM}$ by [KcP, Proposition 3.4]. Hence it can be conjugated to $\mathfrak{g}_J$ for some $J \subsetneq I$ by [KcP]. In particular there is a unique connected algebraic subgroup $G_\phi \subset G_{KM}$ with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_\phi$ in the sense of [KcW, 2.11], by [KcW, Lemma 2.13 and Proposition 2.14]. Let $\phi : \mathrm{SL}_2 \to G_\phi$ denote also the homomorphism of algebraic groups whose tangent map at 1 takes $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ to e and $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ to f. Set $\phi(z) = \phi(\left(\begin{smallmatrix} z & 0 \\ 0 & 1/z \end{smallmatrix}\right))$ for any $z \in \mathbb{C}^\times$. Let $Z_\phi(G) \subset G$ be the centralizer of G_ϕ.

Set $A_e = \{a \in A\,;\, \mathrm{ad}_a e = e, \mathrm{ad}_a f = f\}$, and $C_\phi = \{(\phi(z), z^2)\,;\, z \in \mathbb{C}^\times_q\}$. Assume that $C_\phi \subset A_e$. If $a \in A_e$ we set $s_\phi = a \cdot (\phi(\zeta^{-1/2}), \zeta^{-1}) \in Z_\phi(G)$, where $\zeta^{1/2}$ is a square root of ζ.

From now on we assume that $\phi \subset \underline{\mathfrak{g}}$, so that $\mathfrak{g}_\phi \subset \underline{\mathfrak{g}}$.

5.2. Recall that ${}^\flat\mathcal{B}_{\leq y^{-1}} \subset {}^w\mathcal{X}$ whenever $y \in W^\flat$, $w \in W$, and $w \geq w_\flat y^{-1}$. See 2.9 for the meaning of the symbol $\flat$, and Lemma 2.3.(ii) for the proof of the inclusion. Fix $k \gg 0$. Then p^k yields a closed immersion ${}^\flat\mathcal{B}_{\leq y^{-1}} \to {}^w\mathcal{X}^k$. We may omit p^k and identify ${}^\flat\mathcal{B}_{\leq y^{-1}}$ with its image in ${}^w\mathcal{X}^k$. We set $\mathfrak{u}_\ell = \varepsilon^\ell \cdot \mathfrak{u}_\flat$, with $\ell \gg 0$.

5.3. Let $\mathfrak{z}(f) \subset \mathfrak{g}$ be the centralizer of f, $\underline{\mathfrak{s}}_\phi = \mathfrak{z}(f) \cap \underline{\mathfrak{g}} + e$, and $\underline{\mathcal{S}}_\phi \subset \underline{\dot{\mathcal{N}}}$ be the inverse image of $\underline{\mathfrak{s}}_\phi \cap \underline{\mathcal{N}}$ by the Springer map. The scheme $\underline{\mathcal{S}}_\phi$ is smooth.

Put $\mathfrak{s}_\phi = \mathfrak{z}(f) \cap \mathfrak{b}_\flat + e$. Note that $e \in \mathfrak{b}_\flat$ because $e \in \mathfrak{g}_\phi$ and $\mathfrak{g}_\phi \subset \underline{\mathfrak{g}}$ by hypothesis. We have $\mathfrak{s}_\phi \cap \mathcal{N} = \mathfrak{s}_\phi \cap (\underline{\mathcal{N}} + \mathfrak{u}_\flat)$, because $\mathfrak{b}_\flat \cap \mathcal{N} = \underline{\mathcal{N}} + \mathfrak{u}_\flat$. In particular $\mathfrak{s}_\phi \cap \mathcal{N}$ is closed in $\mathfrak{b}_\flat$. The A-action on $\mathfrak{g}$ restricts to a A_e-action on $\mathfrak{s}_\phi$.

Put $\mathcal{S}_{\phi,y} = \dot{\mathcal{N}} \cap (\mathfrak{s}_\phi \times {}^\flat\mathcal{B}_{y^{-1}})$. Then $\mathcal{S}_{\phi,y} \subset {}^w\mathcal{T}$. Moreover $\mathcal{S}_{\phi,y}$ is locally closed. It is endowed with the induced reduced scheme structure. The schemes $\mathcal{S}_{\phi,\leq y}$, $\mathcal{S}_{\phi,<y}$ are defined in the obvious way, and $\mathcal{S}_\phi = \bigcup_y \mathcal{S}_{\phi,y}$, see 2.3. Note that $\mathcal{S}_\phi$ is contained in the set $\dot{\mathcal{N}}$, which is itself naturaly embedded into the scheme $\mathcal{T}$, see 2.8.

Put $\mathcal{S}^{k\ell}_{\phi,y} = \{(x + \mathfrak{u}_\ell, \mathfrak{p}) \in {}^w\mathcal{T}^{k\ell}\,;\, (x, \mathfrak{p}) \in \mathcal{S}_{\phi,y}\}$. Since $\mathcal{S}^{k\ell}_{\phi,y}$, $\mathcal{S}^{k\ell}_{\phi,\leq y}$, and $\mathcal{S}^{k\ell}_{\phi,<y}$ are locally closed in ${}^w\mathcal{T}^{k\ell}$, see the lemma below, they are naturally subschemes of ${}^w\mathcal{T}^{k\ell}$. They are separated, because they are contained in $p^k_\ell \rho^{-1}(\mathcal{X}_{\leq w_\flat y^{-1}})$, and k is large enough for p^k to yield a closed immersion $\mathcal{X}_{\leq w_\flat y^{-1}} \to {}^w\mathcal{X}^k$ by 5.2.

Let $\gamma^{k\ell}_y : \mathcal{S}^{k\ell}_{\phi,y} \to \underline{\mathcal{S}}_\phi$ be the map $(x + \mathfrak{u}_\ell, \mathfrak{p}) \mapsto (x + \mathfrak{u}_\flat, \mathfrak{p}^!)$. The group A_e acts on $\mathcal{S}^{k\ell}_{\phi,y}$, $\underline{\mathcal{S}}_\phi$ and $\gamma^{k\ell}$ commutes with the A_e-action. Set also $\gamma_y = \gamma^{k\ell}_y \circ p^k_\ell : \mathcal{S}_{\phi,y} \to \underline{\mathcal{S}}_\phi$.

Lemma. *(i) $\mathcal{S}^{k\ell}_{\phi,\leq y}$ is closed in ${}^w\mathcal{T}^{k\ell}$, $\mathcal{S}^{k\ell}_{\phi,y}$ is open in $\mathcal{S}^{k\ell}_{\phi,\leq y}$.*

(ii) $(\mathcal{S}^{k\ell}_{\phi,y})^{\mathbb{C}^\times_\delta}, (\mathcal{S}^{k\ell}_{\phi,<y})^{\mathbb{C}^\times_\delta}$ are both open and closed in $(\mathcal{S}^{k\ell}_{\phi,\leq y})^{\mathbb{C}^\times_\delta}$.

(iii) $\gamma^{k\ell}_y$ is a A_e-equivariant vector bundle.

(iv) $p^{k_2 k_1}$ restricts to an isomorphism $\mathcal{S}^{k_2\ell}_{\phi,\leq y} \to \mathcal{S}^{k_1\ell}_{\phi,\leq y}$, and $p_{\ell_2\ell_1}$ to a vector bundle $\mathcal{S}^{k\ell_2}_{\phi,\leq y} \to \mathcal{S}^{k\ell_1}_{\phi,\leq y}$.

(v) C_ϕ acts on $\mathfrak{s}_\phi$ fixing e, and $z \mapsto (\phi(z), z^2)$ has < 0 weights on $\mathfrak{s}_\phi - e$.

Proof: Observe that the fixed points subset $\mathcal{X}^{\mathbb{C}^\times_\delta} \subset \mathcal{X}$ is a disjoint union of closed $\underline{G}$-orbits, see Lemma 2.13.(ii), and that ${}^\flat\mathcal{B}_{y^{-1}}, {}^\flat\mathcal{B}_{<y^{-1}}$ are preserved by $\underline{G}$. Thus $({}^\flat\mathcal{B}_{y^{-1}})^{\mathbb{C}^\times_\delta}, ({}^\flat\mathcal{B}_{<y^{-1}})^{\mathbb{C}^\times_\delta}$ are open and closed in $({}^\flat\mathcal{B}_{\leq y^{-1}})^{\mathbb{C}^\times_\delta}$. Hence $(\mathcal{S}_{\phi,y})^{\mathbb{C}^\times_\delta}, (\mathcal{S}_{\phi,<y})^{\mathbb{C}^\times_\delta}$ are open and closed in $(\mathcal{S}_{\phi,\leq y})^{\mathbb{C}^\times_\delta}$. This yields (ii), because p^k restricts to a closed immersion ${}^\flat\mathcal{B}_{\leq y^{-1}} \to {}^w\mathcal{X}^k$ by 5.2.

As for (i), note that $\mathcal{S}^{k\ell}_{\phi,\leq y}$ is contained in $p^k_\ell\rho^{-1}({}^\flat\mathcal{B}_{\leq y^{-1}})$ and that p^k restricts to a closed immersion $p_\ell\rho^{-1}({}^\flat\mathcal{B}_{\leq y^{-1}}) \to {}^w\mathcal{T}^{k\ell}$ by 5.2. Thus, to prove that $\mathcal{S}^{k\ell}_{\phi,\leq y}$ is closed in ${}^w\mathcal{T}^{k\ell}$ it is enough to check that $p_\ell(\dot{\mathcal{N}} \cap (\mathfrak{s}_\phi \times {}^\flat\mathcal{B}_{\leq y^{-1}}))$ is closed in $\mathcal{T}^\ell$. This is obvious, as well as the rest of (i). Claim (iv) is also obvious. Claim (v) follows from the representation theory of $\mathfrak{sl}_2$.

In order to prove (iii) we first prove that $\mathcal{S}^{k\ell}_{\phi,y}$ is a smooth scheme. The set $\mathcal{V}_y = \dot{\mathfrak{g}} \cap (\mathfrak{b}_\flat \times {}^\flat\mathcal{B}_{y^{-1}})$ is a closed subset of $\mathfrak{b}_\flat \times {}^\flat\mathcal{B}_{y^{-1}}$ containing $\mathcal{S}_{\phi,y}$. Set $\mathcal{V}^{k\ell}_y = \{(x+\mathfrak{u}_\ell, \mathfrak{p})\,;\, (x,\mathfrak{p}) \in \mathcal{V}_y\}$. Note that $\mathcal{V}^{k\ell}_y$ is a smooth scheme because the map $\epsilon : \mathcal{V}^{k\ell}_y \to \dot{\underline{\mathfrak{g}}}$, $(x+\mathfrak{u}_\ell, \mathfrak{p}) \mapsto (x+\mathfrak{u}_\flat, \mathfrak{p}^!)$ is smooth. Put $\mathcal{V}^{k\ell}_{\phi,y} = \{(x+\mathfrak{u}_\ell, \mathfrak{p}) \in \mathcal{V}^{k\ell}_y\,;\, x \in \mathfrak{s}_\phi\}$. It is a closed subset of $\mathcal{V}^{k\ell}_y$ such that

$$\mathcal{S}^{k\ell}_{\phi,y} = \{(x+\mathfrak{u}_\ell, \mathfrak{p}) \in \mathcal{V}^{k\ell}_{\phi,y}\,;\, x \in \underline{\mathcal{N}} + \mathfrak{u}_\flat\}.$$

Let $\underline{\mathfrak{c}}$ be the canonical Cartan Lie algebra of $\underline{\mathfrak{g}}$. Recall that $\underline{\mathfrak{c}} = \mathfrak{p}/[\mathfrak{p},\mathfrak{p}]$ for each $\mathfrak{p} \in \underline{\mathcal{B}}$ and that $\underline{\mathfrak{c}}$ is independent on the choice of $\mathfrak{p}$, up to a canonical isomorphism, see [CG, Lemma 3.1.26]. The map $\delta : \dot{\underline{\mathfrak{g}}} \to \underline{\mathfrak{c}}$, $(x,\mathfrak{p}) \mapsto x + [\mathfrak{p},\mathfrak{p}]$ is smooth. To prove that $\mathcal{S}^{k\ell}_{\phi,y}$ is smooth it is sufficient to check that the restriction of $\delta\circ\epsilon$ to $\mathcal{V}^{k\ell}_{\phi,y}$ is smooth because $\mathcal{S}^{k\ell}_{\phi,y} = \mathcal{V}^{k\ell}_{\phi,y} \cap (\delta\circ\epsilon)^{-1}(0)$. The element $g \in B_\flat$ acts on $\mathcal{V}^{k\ell}_y$ so that $g\cdot(x+\mathfrak{u}_\ell,\mathfrak{p}) = (\mathrm{ad}_g x + \mathfrak{u}_\ell, \mathrm{ad}_g\mathfrak{p})$. The subgroup $U_\ell \subset B_\flat$ is normal and $\mathrm{ad}_{U_\ell}\mathfrak{b}_{y^{-1}} = \mathfrak{b}_{y^{-1}}$. Hence U_ℓ acts trivially on ${}^\flat\mathcal{B}_{y^{-1}}$. Thus $B_\flat/U_\ell$ acts on $\mathcal{V}^{k\ell}_y$. The map $\delta\circ\epsilon$ is smooth because it is the composition of two smooth maps. It is constant along the $B_\flat/U_\ell$-orbits. Hence it is sufficient to prove that the map

$$\mathcal{V}^{k\ell}_{\phi,y} \times B_\flat/U_\ell \to \mathcal{V}^{k\ell}_y,\ (x+\mathfrak{u}_\ell,\mathfrak{p};g) \mapsto g\cdot(x+\mathfrak{u}_\ell,\mathfrak{p}) \tag{5.3.1}$$

is smooth. The group SL_2 acts on $\mathfrak{b}_\flat$ via ϕ. The subspace $\mathfrak{u}_\ell \subset \mathfrak{b}_\flat$ is a SL_2-submodule. The representation theory of SL_2 implies that

$$\mathfrak{b}_\flat/\mathfrak{u}_\ell = [\mathfrak{b}_\flat/\mathfrak{u}_\ell, e] \oplus (\mathfrak{z}(f) \cap \mathfrak{b}_\flat + \mathfrak{u}_\ell)/\mathfrak{u}_\ell,$$

i.e. $(\mathfrak{s}_\phi + \mathfrak{u}_\ell)/\mathfrak{u}_\ell$ is a transversal slice to the $B_\flat/U_\ell$-orbit of e in $\mathfrak{b}_\flat/\mathfrak{u}_\ell$ near e. The $\mathbb{C}^\times$-action in (v) descends to $(\mathfrak{s}_\phi + \mathfrak{u}_\ell)/\mathfrak{u}_\ell$. Hence, by (v), the space $(\mathfrak{s}_\phi + \mathfrak{u}_\ell)/\mathfrak{u}_\ell$ is a transversal slice to the $B_\flat/U_\ell$-orbit of e in $\mathfrak{b}_\flat/\mathfrak{u}_\ell$. In other words the map (5.3.1) is smooth. Hence $\mathcal{S}^{k\ell}_{\phi,y}$ is smooth.

Note that ϵ is a A-equivariant vector bundle, with $\mathbb{C}^\times_\delta$ acting trivially on the base. The fiber of $\epsilon\circ p^k_\ell : \mathcal{V}_y \to \dot{\underline{\mathfrak{g}}}$ containing the element $(x_1,\mathfrak{p}_1)$ is

$$\{g\cdot(x_1+x,\mathfrak{p}_1); (g,x) \in U_\flat \times (\mathfrak{u}_\flat \cap \mathfrak{p}_1)\}.$$

Hence $\mathbb{C}^\times_\delta$ acts with positive weights on the fibers of ϵ. Since $\mathcal{S}^{k\ell}_{\phi,y} \subset \mathcal{V}^{k\ell}_y$ is a smooth closed $\mathbb{C}^\times_\delta$-subscheme, the restriction of ϵ to $\mathcal{S}^{k\ell}_{\phi,y}$ is a vector bundle over $\epsilon(\mathcal{S}^{k\ell}_{\phi,y})$ by the following fact, which follows from [BH, Theorem 9.1] : if $f : V \to B$ is a vector bundle over a smooth variety, with a fiber preserving linear $\mathbb{C}^\times$-action with positive weights, and $M \subset V$ is a $\mathbb{C}^\times$-stable smooth closed subvariety, then M is a sub-bundle of V restricted to $f(M)$. Finally $\gamma^{k\ell}_y$ is the restriction of ϵ to $\mathcal{S}^{k\ell}_{\phi,y}$, and $\epsilon(\mathcal{S}^{k\ell}_{\phi,y}) = \underline{\mathcal{S}}_\phi$. □

Corollary. *Assume that $a \in A_e$.*

(i) $\mathcal{S}^a_{\phi,\leq y}$ is closed in ${}^w\mathcal{T}^a$, $\mathcal{S}^a_{\phi,y}$ is open in $\mathcal{S}^a_{\phi,\leq y}$.

(ii) $(\mathcal{S}^a_{\phi,y})^{\mathbb{C}^\times_\delta}, (\mathcal{S}^a_{\phi,<y})^{\mathbb{C}^\times_\delta}$ are both open and closed in $(\mathcal{S}^a_{\phi,\leq y})^{\mathbb{C}^\times_\delta}$.

(iii) γ_y restricts to an A_e-equivariant vector bundle $\gamma_{y,a} : \mathcal{S}^a_{\phi,y} \to \underline{\mathcal{S}}^a_\phi$.

5.4. From now on $D \subset A_e$ is a closed subgroup containing a. For any $y \in W^\flat$ the scheme $\mathcal{S}^a_{\phi,\leq y}$ is of finite type, hence $\mathbf{K}^D(\mathcal{S}^a_{\phi,\leq y})$ makes sense. Given $y', y'' \in W^\flat$, $w, w' \in W$ such that y'', w' are large enough and $w \geq w_\flat {y'}^{-1}$, the 1-st projection is a proper map ${}^w\mathcal{Z}_{\leq y} \cap ({}^{w'}\mathcal{T} \times \mathcal{S}_{\phi,\leq y'}) \to \mathcal{S}_{\phi,\leq y''}$ (as for Lemma 4.2.(*iii*)). Thus there is a $\star$-product

$$\mathbf{K}^D({}^w\mathcal{Z}^a_{\leq y}) \times \mathbf{K}^D(\mathcal{S}^a_{\phi,\leq y'}) \to \mathbf{K}^D(\mathcal{S}^a_{\phi,\leq y''})$$

relative to ${}^{w'}\mathcal{T}^a \times {}^w\mathcal{T}^a$. If $y \leq y'$ the closed immersion $\mathcal{S}^a_{\phi,\leq y} \to \mathcal{S}^a_{\phi,\leq y'}$ gives a map $h_{yy'} : \mathbf{K}^D(\mathcal{S}^a_{\phi,\leq y}) \to \mathbf{K}^D(\mathcal{S}^a_{\phi,\leq y'})$. We set

$$\mathbf{K}^D(\mathcal{S}^a_\phi) = \varinjlim_y (\mathbf{K}^D(\mathcal{S}^a_{\phi,\leq y}), h_{yy'}).$$

The ring $\mathbf{K}^D(\mathcal{Z}^a)$ acts on $\mathbf{K}^D(\mathcal{S}^a_\phi)$ because the map $h_{yy'}$ commutes with $\star$, see (A.3.1). This representation is smooth. See 4.9 for the definition of a smooth module. Using Ψ_a we get the following.

Proposition. *(i) There is a unique $\mathbf{H}$-action on $\mathbf{K}^D(\mathcal{S}^a_\phi)_a$ such that $-q^{-1}(t_i+1)$ takes E to $D_{i,a} \star E$, and x_λ takes E to $D_{\lambda,a} \star E$.*

(ii) $\underline{\mathbf{H}}$ takes $\mathbf{K}^D(\mathcal{S}^a_{\phi,1})_a$ into itself.

5.5. Lemma. *(i) $\mathbf{K}_{1,\mathrm{top}}(\underline{\mathcal{S}}^a_\phi) = 0$, and the comparison map $\mathbf{K}_0(\underline{\mathcal{S}}^a_\phi) \to \mathbf{K}_{\mathrm{top}}(\underline{\mathcal{S}}^a_\phi)$ is invertible. The same holds for $\mathcal{S}^a_\phi$.*

(ii) If $C_\phi \subset D$ and D is generated by $D^\circ \cup \{a\}$, then $\mathbf{K}^D(\underline{\mathcal{S}}^a_\phi)$ is a free $\mathbf{R}_D$-module, the forgetful map $\mathbf{K}^D_{\mathrm{top}}(\underline{\mathcal{S}}^a_\phi)_a \to \mathbf{K}^{\langle a\rangle}_{\mathrm{top}}(\underline{\mathcal{S}}^a_\phi)_a$ and the comparison map $\mathbf{K}^D(\underline{\mathcal{S}}^a_\phi) \to \mathbf{K}^D_{\mathrm{top}}(\underline{\mathcal{S}}^a_\phi)$ are invertible, and $\dim \mathbf{K}^D(\underline{\mathcal{S}}^a_\phi)_a = \dim \mathbf{K}_{\mathrm{top}}(\underline{\mathcal{S}}^a_\phi)$. The same holds for $\mathcal{S}^a_\phi$.

(iii) The natural sequence $\mathbf{K}^D(\mathcal{S}^a_{\phi,<y}) \xrightarrow{\nu} \mathbf{K}^D(\mathcal{S}^a_{\phi,\leq y}) \to \mathbf{K}^D(\mathcal{S}^a_{\phi,y}) \to 0$ is exact. If $C_\phi, \mathbb{C}^\times_\delta \subset D$ and D is generated by $D^\circ \cup \{a\}$, then ν is injective.

Proof: We say that a variety $\mathcal{X}$ has property $(S_\mathbb{Q})$ if $\mathbf{K}_{1,\mathrm{top}}(\mathcal{X}) = 0$, and the comparison map $\mathbf{K}_0(\mathcal{X}) \to \mathbf{K}_{\mathrm{top}}(\mathcal{X})$ is an isomorphism. Recall that a $\mathbb{C}^\times$-action on $\mathcal{X}$ is a contraction to the subset $\mathcal{Y} \subset \mathcal{X}$ if $\lim_{z\to 0} z \cdot x \in \mathcal{Y}$ for all $x \in \mathcal{X}$, or $\lim_{z\to\infty} z \cdot x \in \mathcal{Y}$ for all $x \in \mathcal{X}$. Using the Bialynicki-Birula decomposition we get the following, see [DLP, Section 1].

Claim 1. Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a smooth quasi-projective $\mathbb{C}^\times$-variety. Assume that the $\mathbb{C}^\times$-action is a contraction to a compact subset and that $\mathcal{X}^{\mathbb{C}^\times}$ has property $(S_\mathbb{Q})$, then $\mathcal{X}$ has property $(S_\mathbb{Q})$.

Recall that $a \in A_e$ and $e \in \mathfrak{s}_\phi$. Set $\underline{\mathcal{B}}^s_e = \underline{\mathcal{B}}_e \cap \underline{\mathcal{B}}^s$. Then $\underline{\mathcal{S}}^a_\phi \cap \underline{\mathcal{B}}_e = \underline{\mathcal{B}}^s_e$, where $\underline{\mathcal{B}}_e$ is viewed as a subset in the fiber at e of the Springer map $\underline{\mathcal{S}}_\phi \to \mathfrak{s}_\phi$. The C_ϕ-action on $\underline{\mathcal{S}}^a_\phi$ preserves $\underline{\mathcal{B}}^s_e$, and $(\underline{\mathcal{B}}^s_e)^{C_\phi} = (\underline{\mathcal{B}}^{s_\phi}_e)^{C_\phi}$ with s_ϕ as in 5.1. Since s_ϕ and e commute, each connected component of $\underline{\mathcal{B}}^{s_\phi}_e$ is isomorphic to the variety of

Borel subgroups of $\underline{G}^{s_\phi}$ containing e. Hence $(\underline{\mathcal{B}}_e^{s_\phi})^{C_\phi}$ has property $(S_{\mathbb{Q}})$ by [DLP]. Thus $\underline{\mathcal{S}}_\phi^a$ has property $(S_{\mathbb{Q}})$, because the C_ϕ-action on $\underline{\mathcal{S}}_\phi^a$ is a contraction to $\underline{\mathcal{B}}_e^s$ and $(\underline{\mathcal{S}}_\phi^a)^{C_\phi} = (\underline{\mathcal{B}}_e^{s_\phi})^{C_\phi}$. The proof for $\mathcal{S}_\phi^a$ is identical because G^{s_ϕ} is a reductive group. (i) is proved.

Given a linear group H, we say that a variety $\mathcal{X}$ has property (T_H) if $\mathbf{K}_{1,\mathrm{top}}^{H'}(\mathcal{X}) = 0$, $\mathbf{K}_{\mathrm{top}}^{H'}(\mathcal{X})$ is a free $\mathbf{R}_{H'}$-module, the comparison map $\mathbf{K}^{H'}(\mathcal{X}) \to \mathbf{K}_{\mathrm{top}}^{H'}(\mathcal{X})$ is an isomorphism, and the forgetful map $\mathbf{K}^H(\mathcal{X}) \otimes_{\mathbf{R}_H} \mathbf{R}_{H'} \to \mathbf{K}^{H'}(\mathcal{X})$ is an isomorphism, for any closed subgroup $H' \subset H$. Observe that if $\mathcal{X}$ has the property $(S_{\mathbb{Q}})$ and H acts trivially on $\mathcal{X}$, then $\mathcal{X}$ has also the property (T_H), because $\mathbf{K}_0^{H'}(\mathcal{X}) = \mathbf{R}_{H'} \otimes \mathbf{K}_0(\mathcal{X})$ and $\mathbf{K}_{i,\mathrm{top}}^{H'}(\mathcal{X}) = \mathbf{R}_{H'} \otimes \mathbf{K}_{i,\mathrm{top}}(\mathcal{X})$ for any H'. Using the Bialynicki-Birula decomposition we get the following equivariant annalogue of Claim 1, see [N, 7.1] for instance.

Claim 2. Given a diagonalizable group H, a one-parameter subgroup $\mathbb{C}^\times \subset H$, and a smooth quasi-projective H-variety $\mathcal{X}$ such that the $\mathbb{C}^\times$-action on $\mathcal{X}$ is a contraction to a compact subset, if $\mathcal{X}^{\mathbb{C}^\times}$ has property (T_H) then $\mathcal{X}$ has property (T_H).

Fix a one-parameter subgroup $\psi : \mathbb{C}^\times \to D^\circ$ in general position so that $(\underline{\mathcal{S}}_\phi^a)^{\mathbb{C}_\psi^\times} = (\underline{\mathcal{S}}_\phi^a)^{D^\circ}$, and such that the $\mathbb{C}_\psi^\times$-action on $\underline{\mathcal{S}}_\phi^a$ is a contraction to $\underline{\mathcal{B}}_e^s$ (this is possible by Lemma 5.3.(v) because $C_\phi \subset D^\circ$). Then D acts trivially on $(\underline{\mathcal{S}}_\phi^a)^{\mathbb{C}_\psi^\times}$, because D is generated by $D^\circ \cup \{a\}$. On the other hand $(\underline{\mathcal{S}}_\phi^a)^{\mathbb{C}_\psi^\times} = (\underline{\mathcal{B}}_e^{s_\phi})^{\mathbb{C}_\psi^\times}$. Hence $(\underline{\mathcal{S}}_\phi^a)^{\mathbb{C}_\psi^\times}$ has the property $(S_{\mathbb{Q}})$ by [DLP]. Thus it has the property (T_D) by the remark above. Hence $\underline{\mathcal{S}}_\phi^a$ has the property (T_D). The proof for $\mathcal{S}_\phi^a$ is identical.

The first part of (iii) follows from the localization long exact sequence and Corollary 5.3.(i). The second one follows by induction on y from (ii), Corollary 5.3.(ii), (iii), and the following general fact.

Claim 3. Let H be a diagonalizable group, $\mathcal{X}$ a H-variety, $\mathcal{Y} \subset \mathcal{X}$ a closed subset preserved by the H-action, $\mathbb{C}^\times \subset H$ a subgroup such that $\mathcal{Y}^{\mathbb{C}^\times}, \mathcal{X}^{\mathbb{C}^\times} \setminus \mathcal{Y}^{\mathbb{C}^\times} \subset \mathcal{X}^{\mathbb{C}^\times}$ are open and closed. If the $\mathbf{R}_H$-module $\mathbf{K}^H(\mathcal{Y})$ is free then the natural map $\mathbf{K}^H(\mathcal{Y}) \to \mathbf{K}^H(\mathcal{X})$ is injective.

Let ν be the map $\mathbf{K}^H(\mathcal{Y}) \to \mathbf{K}^H(\mathcal{X})$. In any connected component $H_i \subset H$ we can fix an element h_i such that $\mathcal{X}^{h_i} \subset \mathcal{X}^{\mathbb{C}^\times}$. Using the concentration theorem and the natural commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{K}_1^H(\mathcal{X}^{h_i} \setminus \mathcal{Y}^{h_i}) & \stackrel{0}{\longrightarrow} & \mathbf{K}^H(\mathcal{Y}^{h_i}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathbf{K}_1^H(\mathcal{X} \setminus \mathcal{Y}) & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{K}^H(\mathcal{Y}), \end{array}$$

we prove that for any $x \in \mathrm{Ker}\,(\nu)$ and for any i, there is an element $u_i \in \mathbf{R}_H \setminus \mathbf{J}_{h_i}$ such that $u_i \cdot x = 0$. Since the $\mathbf{R}_H$-module $\mathbf{K}^H(\mathcal{Y})$ is free, we get $x = 0$. Claim 3 is proved. □

From now on we assume that $C_\phi, \mathbb{C}_\delta^\times \subset D$ and that D is generated by $D^\circ \cup \{a\}$.

5.6. The group $\mathbf{K}^D(\underline{\mathcal{S}}_\phi)$ is endowed with the $\underline{\mathbf{H}}$-action in [L3, Proposition 4.4]. The ring $\underline{\mathbf{H}}$ acts also on $\mathbf{K}^D(\mathcal{S}_{\phi,1}^a)_a$ by Proposition 5.4.(ii). Note that $\underline{\mathcal{S}}_\phi \simeq \mathcal{S}_{\phi,1}^{k0}$

because k is large. In particular $\underline{\mathcal{S}}_\phi$ is identified with a closed subset in ${}^{w_\flat}\mathcal{T}^{k0}$. Let

$$\tilde{\mathbf{c}}_a \,:\, \mathbf{K}^D(\underline{\mathcal{S}}_\phi)_a \to \mathbf{K}^D(\underline{\mathcal{S}}^a_\phi)_a$$

be the concentration map relative to ${}^{w_\flat}\mathcal{T}^{k0}$, i.e. the map (A.3.3) with $\mathcal{Z} \subset \mathcal{T}_1 \times \mathcal{T}_2$ equal to $\underline{\mathcal{S}}_\phi$, $\mathcal{T}_1 = {}^{w_\flat}\mathcal{T}^{k0}$, and $\mathcal{T}_2 = \{\bullet\}$. Set $\tilde{\Theta}_\flat = (\gamma_{1,a})^* \circ \tilde{\mathbf{c}}_a$, where $\gamma_{1,a}$ is the value of $\gamma_{y,a}$ at $y = 1$, see Corollary 5.3.

Lemma. *$\tilde{\Theta}_\flat$ is an isomorphism of $\underline{\mathbf{H}}$-modules $\mathbf{K}^D(\underline{\mathcal{S}}_\phi)_a \to \mathbf{K}^D(\mathcal{S}^a_{\phi,1})_a$.*

Proof: $\tilde{\Theta}_\flat$ is invertible because $\gamma_{1,a}$ is an vector bundle, see Corollary 5.3. Let $\underline{\Psi} \,:\, \underline{\mathbf{H}} \to \mathbf{K}^{\underline{G}\times\mathbb{C}^\times_q}(\underline{\mathcal{Z}})$ be the ring homomorphism in [L2, Theorem 7.25]. The $\underline{\mathbf{H}}$-action on $\mathbf{K}^D(\underline{\mathcal{S}}_\phi)$ is the composition of $\underline{\Psi}$ and the $\star$-product

$$\mathbf{K}^D(\underline{\mathcal{Z}}) \times \mathbf{K}^D(\underline{\mathcal{S}}_\phi) \to \mathbf{K}^D(\underline{\mathcal{S}}_\phi)$$

relative to $\underline{\dot{\mathcal{N}}}^2$. The $\underline{\mathbf{H}}$-action on $\mathbf{K}^D(\mathcal{S}^a_{\phi,1})$ is the composition of Ψ_a and the $\star$-product

$$\mathbf{K}^D({}^{w_\flat}\mathcal{Z}^a_{\leq w_\flat}) \times \mathbf{K}^D(\mathcal{S}^a_{\phi,1}) \to \mathbf{K}^D(\mathcal{S}^a_{\phi,1})$$

relative to $(\mathcal{T}^a)^2$. Let $\Theta_\flat$, $\mathbf{c}_a$, Γ and p_a be as in 4.9. We must prove that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
\mathbf{K}^{\underline{G}\times\mathbb{C}^\times_q}(\underline{\mathcal{Z}}) & \times & \mathbf{K}^D(\underline{\mathcal{S}}_\phi)_a & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{K}^D(\underline{\mathcal{S}}_\phi)_a \\
{\scriptstyle\Theta_\flat}\downarrow & & {\scriptstyle\tilde{\Theta}_\flat}\downarrow & & {\scriptstyle\tilde{\Theta}_\flat}\downarrow \\
\mathbf{K}^D({}^{w_\flat}\mathcal{Z}^a_{\leq w_\flat})_a & \times & \mathbf{K}^D(\mathcal{S}^a_{\phi,1})_a & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{K}^D(\mathcal{S}^a_{\phi,1})_a
\end{array}$$

is commutative. Recall that

$${}^{w_\flat}\mathcal{T}^{k0} \simeq {}^{w_\flat}\mathcal{P}^{\flat,k} \times_{\underline{G}} \underline{\dot{\mathcal{N}}}, \quad {}^{w_\flat}\mathcal{Z}^{k0}_{\leq w_\flat} \simeq {}^{w_\flat}\mathcal{P}^{\flat,k} \times_{\underline{G}} \underline{\mathcal{Z}}.$$

We can complete the diagram as follows

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
\mathbf{K}^{\underline{G}\times\mathbb{C}^\times_q}(\underline{\mathcal{Z}}) & \times & \mathbf{K}^D(\underline{\mathcal{S}}_\phi)_a & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{K}^D(\underline{\mathcal{S}}_\phi)_a \\
{\scriptstyle\Gamma}\downarrow & & \| & & \| \\
\mathbf{K}^D({}^{w_\flat}\mathcal{Z}^{k0}_{\leq w_\flat})_a & \times & \mathbf{K}^D(\underline{\mathcal{S}}_\phi)_a & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{K}^D(\underline{\mathcal{S}}_\phi)_a \\
{\scriptstyle\mathbf{c}_a}\downarrow & & {\scriptstyle\tilde{\mathbf{c}}_a}\downarrow & & {\scriptstyle\tilde{\mathbf{c}}_a}\downarrow \\
\mathbf{K}^D(({}^{w_\flat}\mathcal{Z}^0_{\leq w_\flat})^a)_a & \times & \mathbf{K}^D(\underline{\mathcal{S}}^a_\phi)_a & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{K}^D(\underline{\mathcal{S}}^a_\phi)_a \\
{\scriptstyle\sharp p_a}\downarrow & & {\scriptstyle p^*_a}\downarrow & & {\scriptstyle p^*_a}\downarrow \\
\mathbf{K}^D({}^{w_\flat}\mathcal{Z}^a_{\leq w_\flat})_a & \times & \mathbf{K}^D(\mathcal{S}^a_{\phi,1})_a & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{K}^D(\mathcal{S}^a_{\phi,1})_a.
\end{array}$$

Note that $\gamma_{1,a}$ equals p_a. The intermediate horizontal maps are the $\star$-products relative to ${}^{w_\flat}\mathcal{P}^{\flat,k} \times_{\underline{G}} \underline{\dot{\mathcal{N}}}^2$ and $((\mathcal{T}^0)^a)^2$.

We have $\Gamma(E) \star F = E \star F$ by (A.4.2), $\mathbf{c}_a(E) \star \tilde{\mathbf{c}}_a(F) = \tilde{\mathbf{c}}_a(E \star F)$ by (A.4.3), and $\sharp p_a(E) \star p^*_a(F) = p^*_a(E \star F)$ by (A.3.2). Hence the diagram is commutative. □

5.7. Fix $y \in W^\flat$ and $i \in I$ with $s_i y < y$. Hence $s_i y \in W^\flat$. Let

$$\sigma_i \,:\, \mathbf{K}^D(\mathcal{S}^a_{\phi,\leq s_i y})_a \to \mathbf{K}^D(\mathcal{S}^a_{\phi,\leq y})_a \to \mathbf{K}^D(\mathcal{S}^a_{\phi,\leq y})_a \to \mathbf{K}^D(\mathcal{S}^a_{\phi,y})_a$$

be the composition of the direct image, of the map $E \mapsto D_{i,a} \star E$, and of the restriction.

Lemma. *σ_i is surjective.*

Proof: We have $\mathbf{K}^D(\mathcal{S}^a_{\phi,<s_iy})_a \subset \mathrm{Ker}\,(\sigma_i)$, because $\Psi_a(t_i)\star\mathbf{K}^D(\mathcal{S}^a_{\phi,\leq y'})_a \subset \mathbf{K}^D(\mathcal{S}^a_{\phi,\leq y'})_a$ whenever $s_iy'w_\flat < y'w_\flat$. The map σ_i factorizes uniquely through a map $\sigma_i' : \mathbf{K}^D(\mathcal{S}^a_{\phi,s_iy})_a \to \mathbf{K}^D(\mathcal{S}^a_{\phi,y})_a$ by Lemma 5.5.(*iii*). We have the map

$$(\gamma_{y,a})^* : \mathbf{K}^D(\underline{\mathcal{S}}^a_\phi)_a \to \mathbf{K}^D(\mathcal{S}^a_{\phi,y})_a.$$

It suffices to prove that $\sigma_i' \circ (\gamma_{s_iy,a})^*$ and $(\gamma_{y,a})^*$ have the same image, because $(\gamma_{s_iy,a})^*$ and $(\gamma_{y,a})^*$ are invertible by Corollary 5.3. Fix w, w' with $w \geq y^{-1}s_i$ and w' large enough. Hence $\mathcal{S}_{\phi,\leq s_iy} \subset {}^w\mathcal{T}$ and $\mathcal{S}_{\phi,\leq y} \subset {}^{w'}\mathcal{T}$. Fix an open subset $\mathcal{U} \subset {}^{w'}\mathcal{T} \times {}^w\mathcal{T}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{Z}_{s_i} = \mathcal{U} \cap \bar{\mathcal{Z}}_{s_i},\\
&{}^w\mathcal{Z}_{s_i} \cap (\mathcal{T} \times \mathcal{S}_{\phi,s_iy}) \subset \mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{Z}_{s_i},\\
&\mathcal{U} \cap (\mathcal{T} \times \mathcal{S}_{\phi,\leq s_iy}) = \mathcal{U} \cap (\mathcal{T} \times \mathcal{S}_{\phi,s_iy}).
\end{aligned}$$

The map $\mathfrak{p} \mapsto \mathfrak{p}^!$ is $B_\flat$-equivariant and takes $\mathfrak{b}_w$ to $\mathfrak{b}$ for all $w \in {}^\flat W$. Hence $\mathfrak{p}_i^! = \mathfrak{p}^!$ whenever $\mathfrak{p}_i = \mathrm{ad}_g(\mathfrak{b}_{y^{-1}s_i})$, $\mathfrak{p} = \mathrm{ad}_g(\mathfrak{b}_{y^{-1}})$, and $g \in B_\flat$. Thus we have the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
{}^w\bar{\mathcal{Z}}^a_{s_i} \cap (\mathcal{T}^a \times \mathcal{S}^a_{\phi,\leq s_iy}) & \xleftarrow{\iota} & {}^w\mathcal{Z}^a_{s_i} \cap (\mathcal{T}^a \times \mathcal{S}^a_{\phi,s_iy}) & \xrightarrow{q_2} & \mathcal{S}^a_{\phi,s_iy}\\
\downarrow & & q_1\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \gamma_{s_iy}}\\
\mathcal{S}^a_{\phi,\leq y} & \longleftarrow & \mathcal{S}^a_{\phi,y} & \xrightarrow{\gamma_y} & \underline{\mathcal{S}}^a_\phi.
\end{array} \tag{5.7.1}$$

Given an equivariant sheaf E on $\underline{\mathcal{S}}^a_\phi$, pick $F_i \in \mathbf{K}^D(\mathcal{S}^a_{\phi,\leq s_iy})_a$ whose restriction to $\mathcal{S}^a_{\phi,s_iy}$ is $(\gamma_{s_iy,a})^*(E)$. Let $F \in \mathbf{K}^D(\mathcal{S}^a_{\phi,\leq y})_a$ be the direct image of F_i. As in 4.9 we fix invertible elements $R_i \in \mathbf{K}_D({}^{w'}\mathcal{T}^a)_a$ and $S_i \in \mathbf{K}_D({}^w\mathcal{T}^a)_a$ such that the restriction of $D_{i,a}$ to ${}^w\mathcal{Z}^a_{s_i}$ is $(R_i \boxtimes S_i)|_{{}^w\mathcal{Z}^a_{s_i}}$. Since the left square in (5.7.1) is Cartesian we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma_i(S_i^{-1} \otimes F_i) &= q_{1*}\iota^*(D_{i,a} \otimes (\mathbb{C} \boxtimes (S_i^{-1} \otimes F)))\\
&= q_{1*}((R_i \boxtimes S_i) \otimes_{\mathcal{U}^a} (\mathbb{C} \boxtimes (S_i^{-1} \otimes (\gamma_{s_iy,a})^*(E)))).
\end{aligned}$$

The map q_1 in (5.7.1) is an isomorphism. The varieties $\mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{Z}^a_{s_i}$ and $\mathcal{U} \cap (\mathcal{T} \times \mathcal{S}_{\phi,s_iy})^a$ are smooth and intersect transversally along $\mathcal{S}^a_{\phi,y}$. Hence, $\sigma_i(S_i^{-1} \otimes F_i) = q_{1*}(R_i \boxtimes (\gamma_{s_iy,a})^*(E))|_{\mathcal{S}^a_{\phi,y}}$. We are done. □

5.8. Let $\Upsilon : \mathbf{H}_{\tau,\zeta} \otimes_{\underline{\mathbf{H}}_\zeta} \mathbf{K}^D(\underline{\mathcal{S}}_\phi)_a \to \mathbf{K}^D(\mathcal{S}^a_\phi)_a$ be the linear map such that $x \otimes y \mapsto \Psi_a(x) \star \tilde{\Theta}_\flat(y)$.

Theorem. *(i) Υ is invertible.*

(ii) The natural map $\mathbf{K}^D(\mathcal{S}^a_\phi)_a \to \mathbf{K}^{\langle a\rangle}_{\mathrm{top}}(\mathcal{S}^a_\phi)_a$ is an isomorphism. The same holds for $\underline{\mathcal{S}}^a_\phi$.

Proof: The map Υ is a $\mathbf{H}$-homomorphism by Lemma 5.6. Let us prove that it is invertible. For any $y \in W^\flat$ the subspace $\mathbf{H}_{\leq yw_\flat} \subset \mathbf{H}_{\tau,\zeta}$ is a free right $\underline{\mathbf{H}}_\zeta$-submodule of rank $\ell(y)$, see 3.1. We claim that Υ restricts to a surjective map

$$\Upsilon_y : \mathbf{H}_{\leq yw_\flat} \otimes_{\underline{\mathbf{H}}_\zeta} \mathbf{K}^D(\underline{\mathcal{S}}_\phi)_a \to \mathbf{K}^D(\mathcal{S}^a_{\phi,\leq y})_a.$$

The existence and invertibility of Υ_1 follows from Lemma 5.6. Assume that $\ell(y) > 0$ and that $\Upsilon_{y'}$ is defined and surjective for all $y' \in W^\flat$ such that $y' < y$. We can find $i \in I$ such that $\ell(s_i y) = \ell(y) - 1$. Then $s_i y \in W^\flat$. We get

$$\Upsilon_y\big(\mathbf{H}_{\leq y w_\flat} \otimes_{\underline{\mathbf{H}}_\zeta} \mathbf{K}^D(\underline{\mathcal{S}}_\phi)_a\big) = \{1, \Psi_a(t_i)\} \star \Upsilon_{s_i y}\big(\mathbf{H}_{\leq s_i y w_\flat} \otimes_{\underline{\mathbf{H}}_\zeta} \mathbf{K}^D(\underline{\mathcal{S}}_\phi)_a\big) =$$
$$= \{1, \Psi_a(t_i)\} \star \mathbf{K}^D(\mathcal{S}^a_{\phi,\leq s_i y})_a = \mathbf{K}^D(\mathcal{S}^a_{\phi,\leq y})_a,$$

where the second equality comes from the surjectivity of $\Upsilon_{s_i y}$, and the third from Lemma 5.7. The claim is proved.

The map Υ_y is invertible because $\mathbf{H}_{\leq y w_\flat} \otimes_{\underline{\mathbf{H}}_\zeta} \mathbf{K}^D(\underline{\mathcal{S}}_\phi)_a$ and $\mathbf{K}^D(\mathcal{S}^a_{\phi,\leq y})_a$ are finite dimensional vector spaces of the same dimension by Lemma 5.5.(iii) and Corollary 5.3.(iii). Claim (i) is proved.

Claim (ii) follows from Lemma 5.5.(ii). □

6. The regular case

In 6.1 we give a ring homomorphism $\mathbf{K}_a \to \mathbf{Ext}(L_a, L_a)$. It is injective with a dense image. The standard modules are introduced in 6.2. In the regular case, the simple modules of $\mathbf{Ext}(L_a, L_a)$ are given in 6.3. In 6.4-7 we compute the Jordan-Hölder multiplicities of the induced modules.

6.1. The schemes $\dot{\mathcal{N}}^a_i$ in 2.13 are smooth of finite type by Lemma 2.13. They are preserved by the G^s-action. The Springer map restricts to a G^s-equivariant proper map $p : \dot{\mathcal{N}}^a_i \to \mathcal{N}^a$. Set $L_{a,i} = p_! \mathbb{C}_{\dot{\mathcal{N}}^a_i} \in \mathbf{D}_{G^s}(\mathcal{N}^a)$. By the Beilinson-Deligne-Gabber decomposition theorem we have $L_{a,i} \doteq \bigoplus_\chi \mathbf{L}_{a,\chi,i} \otimes S_{a,\chi}$, where $S_{a,\chi}$ are simple G^s-equivariant perverse sheaves on $\mathcal{N}^a$ and $\mathbf{L}_{a,\chi,i}$ are finite dimensional $\mathbb{Z}$-graded vector spaces. Set $\mathbf{L}_{a,\chi} = \bigoplus_i \mathbf{L}_{a,\chi,i}$, and $L_a \doteq \bigoplus_\chi \mathbf{L}_{a,\chi} \otimes S_{a,\chi}$. Note that L_a is not well-defined as an object of $\mathbf{D}_{G^s}(\mathcal{N}^a)$ because Ξ_s is infinite. We only use it as a convenient notation. We shall write $\{\chi\}$ for the set of labels χ parametrizing the non-zero $\mathbb{Z}$-graded vector spaces $\mathbf{L}_{a,\chi}$. Observe that $\mathbf{L}_{a,\chi,i}$ may be $\{0\}$ for some i, although $\mathbf{L}_{a,\chi} \neq \{0\}$ by convention.

Consider the topological ring

$$\mathbf{Ext}(L_a, L_a) := \prod_i \bigoplus_j \mathbf{Ext}_{\mathbf{D}(\mathcal{N}^a)}(L_{a,i}, L_{a,j}).$$

The product is opposite to the Yoneda product, and the topology is the product topology. Recall that we have an injective continuous ring homomorphism

$$\mathbf{K}_a \to \prod_j \bigoplus_i \mathbf{K}^A(\mathcal{Z}^a_{ij})_a, \tag{6.1.1}$$

see Remark 4.4. Its image contains $\bigoplus_{i,j\in S} \mathbf{K}^A(\mathcal{Z}^a_{ij})_a$ for all finite subset $S \subset \Xi_s$. For each S we have the map

$$\Phi_S : \bigoplus_{i,j\in S} \mathbf{K}^A(\mathcal{Z}^a_{ij})_a \to \bigoplus_{i,j\in S} \mathbf{Ext}_{\mathbf{D}(\mathcal{N}^a)}(L_{a,i}, L_{a,j})$$

as in A.2, because $\mathcal{Z}^a_{ij} = \{(x, \mathfrak{p}; x', \mathfrak{p}') \in \dot{\mathcal{N}}^a_i \times \dot{\mathcal{N}}^a_j ; x = x'\}$ by Proposition 4.3. Composing Φ_S with the direct image by the flip $\mathcal{Z}^a_{ij} \to \mathcal{Z}^a_{ji}$ and taking the limit, we get a continuous ring homomorphism $\Phi_a : \mathbf{K}_a \to \mathbf{Ext}(L_a, L_a)$.

The ring $\mathbf{Ext}(L_a, L_a)$ is the sum $nilp \oplus \bigoplus_\chi \mathbf{End}(\mathbf{L}_{a,\chi})$, where $nilp$ is an ideal consisting of nilpotent elements. The topology on $\bigoplus_\chi \mathbf{End}(\mathbf{L}_{a,\chi})$ is the finite topology, because for each i there is a finite number of parameters χ such that $\mathbf{L}_{a,\chi,i} \neq \{0\}$. In particular $\mathbf{L}_{a,\chi}$ is endowed with a smooth action of $\mathbf{Ext}(L_a, L_a)$.

Lemma. *(i) Φ_a is injective, and $\Phi_a(\mathbf{K}_a)$ contains $\bigoplus_{i,j\in S}\mathbf{Ext}_{\mathbf{D}(\mathcal{N}^a)}(L_{a,i},L_{a,j})$ for all S.*

(ii) The set of smooth and simple $\mathbf{K}_a$-modules is $\{\Phi_a^\bullet(\mathbf{L}_{a,\chi})\}$.

Proof: The map (6.1.1) is injective. Hence to prove (i) it is sufficient to prove that Φ_S is invertible for all S. For any $y\in W$ the second projection $\mathcal{Z}_y^a\cap\mathcal{Z}_{ij}^a\to\mathcal{X}_j^s$ is an vector bundle by Lemma 4.1.(iv) and Lemma 4.2.(i). Moreover the Chern character $\mathbf{K}_0(\mathcal{X}_j^s)\to\mathbf{H}_*(\mathcal{X}_j^s)$ is an isomorphism because $\mathcal{X}_j^s$ is isomorphic to the flag variety of the group G^s. Hence the bivariant Riemann-Roch map $\mathrm{RR}:\mathbf{K}_0(\mathcal{Z}_{ij}^a)\to\mathbf{H}_*(\mathcal{Z}_{ij}^a)$ relative to $\dot{\mathcal{N}}_i^a\times\dot{\mathcal{N}}_j^a$ is invertible by [CG, Theorem 5.9.19]. The rest is standard, see A.2.

The set of smooth and simple $\mathbf{Ext}(L_a,L_a)$-modules is $\{\mathbf{L}_{a,\chi}\}$, see [J] and Appendix B. Thus (ii) follows from (i) as in the proof of Theorem 4.9.(iv). □

6.2. Given $e\in\mathcal{N}^a$ we set $\mathcal{B}_e^s=\mathcal{B}_e\cap\mathcal{B}^s$. Note that $\mathcal{B}_e^s\neq\emptyset$ because e is a nil-element, and the $\mathbb{C}^\times$-fixed point subset of a projective variety is non-empty. Thus $\mathcal{B}_e^s$ is a disjoint union of (reduced) projective schemes of finite type. For any $y\in W$ we set $\mathcal{B}_{e,\leq y}^s=\mathcal{B}_e^s\cap\mathcal{X}_{\leq y^{-1}}$. It is a projective scheme. Put $\mathbf{H}_*(\mathcal{B}_e^s)=\varinjlim_y\mathbf{H}_*(\mathcal{B}_{e,\leq y}^s)$. As in 5.4 we a have the $\star$-product

$$\mathbf{H}_*({}^w\mathcal{Z}_{\leq y}^a)\times\mathbf{H}_*(\mathcal{B}_{e,\leq y'}^s)\to\mathbf{H}_*(\mathcal{B}_{e,\leq y''}^s)$$

relative to $(\mathcal{T}^a)^2$ if $w\geq y'^{-1}$. The Riemann-Roch map $RR:\mathbf{K}^A({}^w\mathcal{Z}_{\leq y}^a)_a\to\mathbf{H}_*({}^w\mathcal{Z}_{\leq y}^a)$ yields a representation of $\mathbf{K}_a$ on $\mathbf{H}_*(\mathcal{B}_e^s)$.

Let $G(s,e)\subset G^s$ be the centralizer of e, and $\Pi(s,e)$ be the group of components of $G(s,e)$. It is finite because G^s is reductive. The natural $\Pi(s,e)$-action on $\mathbf{K}_a$ is trivial, because the group G^s acts on $\mathcal{Z}_{ij}^a$ and G^s is connected by Lemma 2.13.(i). Hence the natural $\Pi(s,e)$-action on $\mathbf{H}_*(\mathcal{B}_e^s)$ commutes with the $\mathbf{H}_{\tau,\zeta}$-action. For any irreducible representation χ of $\Pi(s,e)$ we have the *standard module*

$$\mathbf{N}_{a,e,\chi}=\mathbf{Hom}_{\Pi(s,e)}(\chi,\mathbf{H}_*(\mathcal{B}_e^s)).$$

Let $\Pi(s,e)^\vee$ be the set of irreducible representations of $\Pi(s,e)$ occuring in $\mathbf{H}_*(\mathcal{B}_e^s)$. Let ι be the inclusion $\{e\}\subset\mathcal{N}^a$. We have

$$\mathbf{N}_{a,e,\chi}\doteq\bigoplus_{\chi'}\mathbf{L}_{a,\chi'}\otimes\mathbf{Hom}_{\Pi(s,e)}(\chi,\mathbf{H}^*(\iota^!S_{a,\chi'})) \tag{6.2.1}$$

because $\mathbf{H}_*(\mathcal{B}_e^s)\doteq\bigoplus_i\mathbf{H}^*(\iota^!L_{a,i})$. Hence $\mathbf{N}_{a,e,\chi}\neq\{0\}$ if and only if $\chi\in\Pi(s,e)^\vee$.

6.3. In the rest of Section 6 we assume that (τ,ζ) is regular. By Proposition 2.14 there is a finite number of G^s-orbits in $\mathcal{N}^a$. Hence the complex $S_{a,\chi}$ is the intersection cohomology complex of an irreducible G^s-equivariant local system on a G^s-orbit $\mathcal{O}\subset\mathcal{N}^a$. Therefore, in the regular case, we may identify the set $\{\chi\}$ in 6.1 with a set of irreducible G^s-equivariant local systems on $\mathcal{N}^a$.

Given any $e\in\mathcal{O}$, a local system as above may also be viewed as a representation of the group $\Pi(s,e)$. Let $\Pi(a)^\vee$ be the set of all G^s-equivariant local systems corresponding to a representation in $\Pi(s,e)^\vee$ for some $e\in\mathcal{N}^a$.

Proposition. *Assume that (τ,ζ) is regular. The set $\{\chi\}$ is finite and coincides with $\Pi(a)^\vee$.*

Proof: The corresponding statement for affine Hecke algebras is due to [KL1]. Another proof due to Grojnowski is given in [CG, 8.8]. Our proof of the proposition is very similar to *loc. cit.*, to which we refer for some technical result. Fix a G^s-orbit $\mathcal{O}\subset\mathcal{N}^a$ and $e\in\mathcal{O}$. Let $\bar{\mathcal{O}}\subset\mathcal{N}^a$ be the Zariski closure.

Claim 1. There is a group homomorphism $v:(\mathbb{C}^\times,\times)\to(\mathbb{Q},+)$ such that $v(\zeta)>0$ and $v(\tau)<0$.

If $\zeta=\tau^{k/m}$ with $k<0$, $m>0$, the existence follows from [CG, Lemma 8.8.12]. Else, fix $t,z\in\mathbb{C}$ such that $\exp(2i\pi t)=\tau$, $\exp(2i\pi z)=\zeta$. The elements $1,t,z\in\mathbb{C}$ are $\mathbb{Q}$-independent because (τ,ζ) is regular. Hence there is a $\mathbb{Q}$-linear map $\mathbb{C}\to\mathbb{Q}$ such that $1\mapsto 0$, $t\mapsto 1$, $z\mapsto -1$. This map induces a group homomorphism $\mathbb{C}^\times\to\mathbb{Q}$ such that $\tau\mapsto -1$, $\zeta\mapsto 1$.

Claim 2. Up to conjugating e by an element of G^s, there is a $\mathfrak{sl}_2$-triplet $\phi\subset\mathfrak{g}_{KM}$ containing e such that $\mathbb{C}^\times_\phi\subset H$.

By Proposition 2.14 there are $S\subset\underline{H}\times\mathbb{C}^\times_q$, $d\in\mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, $r\in(1/d)\mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}$, and $\gamma_d\in\underline{G}(K_d)$ such that S is finite and the conjugation by γ_d takes s into H, e into $\underline{\mathcal{N}}^S\otimes\varepsilon^r$, and $\underline{G}(K)^s$ onto a group containing $\underline{G}^S$.

Given a $\mathfrak{sl}_2$-triple $\underline{\phi}=\{\underline{e},\underline{f},\underline{h}\}\subset\underline{\mathfrak{g}}$ such that $\underline{e}\otimes\varepsilon^r=\mathrm{ad}_{\gamma_d}e$, we set

$$\begin{aligned}\underline{M}&=\{(g,z)\in\underline{G}\times\mathbb{C}^\times_q\,;\,\mathrm{ad}_g\underline{e}=z\underline{e}\}\\ \underline{M}_{\underline{\phi}}&=\{(g,z)\in\underline{M}\,;\,\mathrm{ad}_g x=\mathrm{ad}_{\underline{\phi}(z^{1/2})}x,\,\forall x\in G_{\underline{\phi}}\}\end{aligned}$$

(the choice of a square root of z is irrelevant). The subgroup $\underline{M}_{\underline{\phi}}\subset\underline{M}$ is maximal reductive by [BV, 2.4], see also [CG, 8.8]. Therefore we can choose $\underline{\phi}$ such that $S\subset\underline{M}_{\underline{\phi}}$, because S generates a reductive subgroup of $\underline{M}$. Then $\mathbb{C}^\times_{\underline{\phi}}\subset\underline{G}^S$.

Consider the $\mathfrak{sl}_2$-triple $\underline{\phi}^r=\{\underline{e}\otimes\varepsilon^r,\underline{f}\otimes\varepsilon^{-r},\underline{h}\}\subset\underline{\mathfrak{g}}\otimes K_d$. Set $\phi'=\{e,f,h'\}=\mathrm{ad}^{-1}_{\gamma_d}(\underline{\phi}^r)$. Note that $h'\in(\underline{\mathfrak{g}}\otimes K)^s$ because $\underline{h}\in\underline{\mathfrak{g}}^S$. We have also $e\in\underline{\mathfrak{g}}\otimes\mathbb{C}[\varepsilon^{\pm 1}]$. Hence $\phi'\subset\underline{\mathfrak{g}}\otimes\mathbb{C}[\varepsilon^{\pm 1}]$. Thus there is a unique $h\in(\mathfrak{g}_{KM})^s$ such that $\phi=\{e,f,h\}$ is a $\mathfrak{sl}_2$-triple in $\mathfrak{g}_{KM}$. We have $\mathbb{C}^\times_\phi\subset G^s$ because $\mathbb{C}^\times_{\phi'}\subset\underline{G}(K)^s$. There is an element $g\in G^s$ such that $\mathrm{ad}_g(\mathbb{C}^\times_\phi)\subset H$ because $H\subset G^s$ is a maximal torus.

Claim 3. There is a non-empty subset $\hat{\mathcal{Q}}\subset\mathcal{B}^s_e$ such that $\mathrm{ad}_{G^s}(\mathfrak{n}^a)=\bar{\mathcal{O}}$ for any $\mathfrak{p}\in\hat{\mathcal{Q}}$, where $\mathfrak{n}\subset\mathfrak{p}$ is the pro-nilpotent radical.

Fix $\zeta^{1/2}$, s_ϕ as in 5.1, and put

$$\mathfrak{g}_{t,i}=\{x\in\mathfrak{g}\,;\,\mathrm{ad}_{s_\phi}x=t\,x,\,\mathrm{ad}_{\phi(z)}x=z^i x,\,\forall z\in\mathbb{C}^\times\}.$$

Set also $\mathfrak{q}=\bigoplus_{v(t)\leq 0}\bigoplus_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}\mathfrak{g}_{t,i}$. If $k\ll 0$ then $\bigoplus_{v(t)<k}\bigoplus_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}\mathfrak{g}_{t,i}$ is a finite codimensional Lie subalgebra of $\mathfrak{u}$ which is preserved by the adjoint action of $\mathfrak{q}$ and H. Hence there are $J\subsetneq I$ and $g\in G$ such that $\mathrm{ad}_g\mathfrak{b}_J$ is also preserved by $\mathfrak{q}$ and H by [KcW, Proposition 2.8]. Thus $\mathfrak{q}\subset\mathrm{ad}_g\mathfrak{b}_J$ because $\mathrm{ad}_g\mathfrak{b}_J$ is equal to its own normalizer in $\mathfrak{g}$ (see [KcW, Lemma 1.17]). Then $\mathrm{ad}_g\mathfrak{u}_J\subset\mathfrak{q}$, and there is g such that $\mathrm{ad}_g\mathfrak{b}\subset\mathfrak{q}$. Hence we may choose J and g such that $\mathrm{ad}_g\mathfrak{b}_J=\mathfrak{q}$ by [KcW, Lemma 1.5]. Then $\mathrm{ad}_g\mathfrak{g}_J=\mathfrak{l}$ with $\mathfrak{l}=\bigoplus_{v(t)=0}\bigoplus_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}\mathfrak{g}_{t,i}$. Set $Q=\mathrm{ad}_g B_J$ and $L=\mathrm{ad}_g G_J$.

The set $\{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{B}\,;\, \mathfrak{p} \subset \mathfrak{q}\}$ is non-empty and projective. It is preserved by the action of $s, \exp(e) \in G$, because $\mathrm{ad}_s\mathfrak{q} = \mathfrak{q}$ and $[e, \mathfrak{q}] \subset \mathfrak{q}$ (since $e \in \mathfrak{g}_{1,2}$). Hence the simultaneous fixed point set of s and $\exp(e)$ is non-empty. Set $\hat{\mathcal{Q}} = \{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{B}_e^s\,;\, \mathfrak{p} \subset \mathfrak{q}\}$.

Given $\mathfrak{p} \in \hat{\mathcal{Q}}$, and $\mathfrak{n} \subset \mathfrak{p}$ its pro-nilpotent radical, we have

$$\mathcal{O} = \mathrm{ad}_{G^s} e \subset \mathrm{ad}_{G^s}(\mathfrak{n}^a) \subset \mathrm{ad}_{G^s}(\mathfrak{q}^a).$$

Let $P = \mathrm{ad}_g B$ with $g \in G$ such that $\mathfrak{p} = \mathrm{ad}_g\mathfrak{b}$. The group G^s is reductive and $P^s, Q^s \subset G^s$ are parabolic. The subset $\mathrm{ad}_{G^s}(\mathfrak{n}^a) \subset \mathcal{N}^a$ is closed because $\mathrm{ad}_{P^s}(\mathfrak{n}^a) \subset \mathfrak{n}^a$ and G^s/P^s is projective. Similarly $\mathrm{ad}_{G^s}(\mathfrak{q}^a) \subset \mathcal{N}^a$ is closed because $\mathrm{ad}_{Q^s}(\mathfrak{q}^a) = \mathfrak{q}^a$ and G^s/Q^s is projective. To prove that $\mathrm{ad}_{G^s}(\mathfrak{n}^a) = \bar{\mathcal{O}}$ it is sufficient to prove that $\mathcal{O} \subset \mathrm{ad}_{G^s}(\mathfrak{q}^a)$ is dense, or that $\mathrm{ad}_{Q^s} e \subset \mathfrak{q}^a$ is dense. This follows from the equality $[e, \mathfrak{q}^s] = \mathfrak{q}^a$, which is a consequence of the theory of representations of $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ and the identities

$$e \in \mathfrak{g}_{1,2}, \quad \mathfrak{q}^s = \bigoplus_{i\geq 0} \mathfrak{g}_{\zeta^{-i/2},i}, \quad \mathfrak{q}^a = \bigoplus_{i\geq 0} \mathfrak{g}_{\zeta^{-i/2},i+2}.$$

Claim 3 is proved.

Let $\hat{\mathcal{N}}^a \subset \dot{\mathcal{N}}^a$ be the union of the connected components intersecting $\hat{\mathcal{Q}}$. Set also $\hat{\mathcal{B}}_e^s = \hat{\mathcal{N}}^a \cap \mathcal{B}_e^s$. Since $\hat{\mathcal{N}}^a \subset \dot{\mathcal{N}}^a$ is open, closed, and G^s-stable, we conclude that $\hat{\mathcal{B}}_e^s \subset \mathcal{B}_e^s$ is open, closed, and $G(s,e)$-stable. Therefore, $\mathbf{H}_*(\hat{\mathcal{B}}_e^s)$ is a $\Pi(s,e)$-submodule of $\mathbf{H}_*(\mathcal{B}_e^s)$.

Claim 4. Any representation $\chi \in \Pi(s,e)^\vee$ occurs in $\mathbf{H}_*(\hat{\mathcal{B}}_e^s)$.

Set $L(s,e) = G(s,e) \cap L$, and $Z_L^\circ \subset L$ equal to the connected center. We have $e \in \mathfrak{g}_{1,2} \subset \mathfrak{l}$. Similarly $s \in L$ because $s \in G^{s_\phi}$, G^{s_ϕ} is connected by Lemma 2.13.(i), and $\mathfrak{g}^{s_\phi} \subset \mathfrak{l}$. Therefore $Z_L^\circ \subset L(s,e)$. Thus the $G(s,e)$-action on $\mathcal{B}_e^s$ restricts to a Z_L°-action. The chain of inclusions $\hat{\mathcal{Q}} \subset \hat{\mathcal{B}}_e^s \subset \mathcal{B}_e^s$ gives a chain of inclusions of $L(s,e)$-varieties $\hat{\mathcal{Q}} \subset (\hat{\mathcal{B}}_e^s)^{Z_L^\circ} \subset (\mathcal{B}_e^s)^{Z_L^\circ}$. The fixed point set $\mathcal{B}^{Z_L^\circ}$ is a disjoint union of pieces, each L-equivariantly isomorphic to $\{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{B}\,;\, \mathfrak{p} \subset \mathfrak{q}\}$. Hence $(\mathcal{B}_e^s)^{Z_L^\circ}$ is a disjoint union of pieces, each $L(s,e)$-equivariantly isomorphic to $\hat{\mathcal{Q}}$. Hence any simple $L(s,e)$-module occuring in $\mathbf{H}_*((\mathcal{B}_e^s)^{Z_L^\circ})$ occurs in $\mathbf{H}_*(\hat{\mathcal{Q}})$. The set $\hat{\mathcal{Q}} \subset (\hat{\mathcal{B}}_e^s)^{Z_L^\circ}$ is open and closed, because it is open and closed in $(\mathcal{B}_e^s)^{Z_L^\circ}$. Hence the $L(s,e)$-module $\mathbf{H}_*(\hat{\mathcal{Q}})$ is a direct summand in $\mathbf{H}_*((\hat{\mathcal{B}}_e^s)^{Z_L^\circ})$. Thus any simple $L(s,e)$-module occuring in $\mathbf{H}_*((\mathcal{B}_e^s)^{Z_L^\circ})$ occurs also in $\mathbf{H}_*((\hat{\mathcal{B}}_e^s)^{Z_L^\circ})$. By [CG, Proposition 2.5.1] the $L(s,e)$-modules $\mathbf{H}_*((\mathcal{B}_e^s)^{Z_L^\circ})$ and $\mathbf{H}_*(\mathcal{B}_e^s)$ have the same class in the Grothendieck group. The same holds for the $L(s,e)$-modules $\mathbf{H}_*((\hat{\mathcal{B}}_e^s)^{Z_L^\circ})$ and $\mathbf{H}_*(\hat{\mathcal{B}}_e^s)$. Hence any irreducible $L(s,e)$-module that occurs in $\mathbf{H}_*(\mathcal{B}_e^s)$ occurs also in $\mathbf{H}_*(\hat{\mathcal{B}}_e^s)$. Therefore, to prove Claim 4 it is enough to prove that the projection $G(s,e) \to \Pi(s,e)$ restricts to a surjective group homomorphism $L(s,e) \to \Pi(s,e)$.

In order to prove this, we first check that $G(s,e) \subset Q^s$. Let $G(s_\phi, \phi)$ be the simultaneous centralizer of G_ϕ and s_ϕ. It is a reductive group because $G_\phi \subset G^{s_\phi}$, and G^{s_ϕ}, G_ϕ are reductive. Set

$$\begin{aligned} M^s &= \{(g,z) \in G^s \times \mathbb{C}_q^\times\,;\, \mathrm{ad}_g e = ze\} \\ M_\phi^s &= \{(g,z) \in M^s\,;\, \mathrm{ad}_g x = \mathrm{ad}_{\phi(z^{1/2})} x,\ \forall x \in G_\phi\}. \end{aligned}$$

The group M^s is linear. Let $M_u^s \subset M^s$ be the unipotent radical. We have $M_u^s \subset G(s,e) \times \{1\}$. Hence $\mathrm{Lie}(M_u^s) \subset \mathfrak{g}(s,e)$, where $\mathfrak{g}(s,e)$ is the Lie algebra of $G(s,e)$.

Thus $M^s_u \subset Q^s \times \{1\}$, because M^s_u is unipotent, $\mathfrak{g}(s,e) \subset \mathfrak{q}^s$ by the representation theory of $\mathfrak{sl}_2$, and $Q^s = N_{G^s}(\mathfrak{q}^s)$. Similarly, if $(g,z) \in M^s_\phi$ then g commutes with s_ϕ and $\mathbb{C}^\times_\phi$. Hence $\mathrm{ad}_g(\mathfrak{q}^s) \subset \mathfrak{q}^s$. Thus $M^s_\phi \subset Q^s \times \mathbb{C}^\times_q$, because $Q^s = N_{G^s}(\mathfrak{q}^s)$. The group M^s_ϕ is reductive because it is the central extension of $\mathbb{C}^\times_q$ by $G(s_\phi,\phi)$. We claim that $M^s_\phi \subset M^s$ is maximal reductive, i.e. $M^s = M^s_\phi \cdot M^s_u$. Then $G(s,e)\times\{1\} \subset M^s \subset Q^s \times \mathbb{C}^\times_q$, hence $G(s,e) \subset Q^s$.

To prove that $M^s_\phi \subset M^s$ is maximal reductive it is sufficient to prove that $G(s_\phi,\phi) \subset G(s,e)$ is maximal reductive, because, then, any element $(g,z) \in M^s$ has the form $u \cdot r$ where r is $(\phi(z^{1/2}),z)$ times the component in $G(s_\phi,\phi)$ of the element $\phi(z^{-1/2})g \in G(s,e)$, and u belongs to the unipotent radical of $G(s,e)$. By the representation theory of $\mathfrak{sl}_2$, we have $\mathrm{ad}_h x \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \cdot x$ whenever $x \in \mathfrak{g}(s,e)$. Let $\mathfrak{v} \subset \mathfrak{g}(s,e)$ be the Lie subalgebra linearly spanned by $\{x\,;\, \mathrm{ad}_h x \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0} \cdot x\}$, and $V \subset G(s,e)$ be the corresponding unipotent normal subgroup. The adjoint V-action on the affine space $h + \mathfrak{v}$ is transitive, see [CG, 3.7]. The group $G(s,e)$ acts naturally on $h+\mathfrak{v}$, and any reductive subgroup in $G(s,e)$ has a fixed point in $h+\mathfrak{v}$, hence is conjugated to a subgroup fixing h, hence ϕ. We are done.

We can now complete the proof of Claim 4 by proving that the projection $L(s,e) \to \Pi(s,e)$ is surjective. Let $g \in G(s,e)$ be a representative of an element $\bar{g} \in \Pi(s,e)$. The group G^s is reductive, $Q^s \subset G^s$ is parabolic, and $L^s \subset Q^s$ is a Levi subgroup. Set $g = ru$ with $r \in L^s$ and u in the unipotent radical of Q^s. Then $r \in L(s,e)$ because $e \in \mathfrak{l}$. Moreover r maps to $\bar{g}$ because $\Pi(s,e)$ is a finite group. This yields the surjectivity.

Using Claim 3 and 4 we can now prove the proposition. Fix $\hat{\Xi}_s \subset \Xi_s$ such that $\hat{\mathcal{N}}^a = \bigcup_{i\in\hat{\Xi}_s} \dot{\mathcal{N}}^a_i$. We have $e \in \mathcal{O}$, and $p(\dot{\mathcal{N}}^a_i) = \bar{\mathcal{O}}$ for any $i \in \hat{\Xi}_s$ by Claim 3. Therefore a representation χ of $\Pi(s,e)$ occurs in $\mathbf{H}_*(\hat{\mathcal{B}}^s_e)$ if and only if the complex IC_χ occurs in $L_{a,i}$ for some $i \in \hat{\Xi}_s$. Thus, for any $\chi \in \Pi(s,e)^\vee$ the complex IC_χ occurs in $L_{a,i}$ for some $i \in \Xi_s$ by Claim 4. □

6.4. Fix $\underline{e} \in \underline{\mathcal{N}}^a$. Fix a $\mathfrak{sl}_2$-triple $\underline{\phi} \subset \underline{\mathfrak{g}}$ containing $\underline{e}$. Up to conjugating $\underline{e}$ by an element in $\underline{G}^s$ we may assume that $\mathbb{C}^\times_{\underline{\phi}} \subset \underline{H}$. Let

$$\star\,:\, \mathbf{H}_*({}^w\mathcal{Z}^a_{\leq y}) \times \mathbf{H}_*(\mathcal{S}^a_{\underline{\phi},\leq y'}) \to \mathbf{H}_*(\mathcal{S}^a_{\underline{\phi},\leq y''})$$

be as in 6.2. It yields a representation of $\mathbf{K}_a$ on $\mathbf{H}_*(\mathcal{S}^a_{\underline{\phi}}) = \lim_{\longrightarrow y} \mathbf{H}_*(\mathcal{S}^a_{\underline{\phi},\leq y})$. The subspace $\mathbf{H}_*(\underline{\mathcal{S}}^a_{\underline{\phi}}) \subset \mathbf{H}_*(\mathcal{S}^a_{\underline{\phi}})$ is preserved by $\Psi_a(\underline{\mathbf{H}})$.

6.5. Let $\underline{\Pi}(\underline{s},\underline{e})$ be the group of components of $\underline{G}(\underline{s},\underline{e}) = \underline{G} \cap G(\underline{s},\underline{e})$. Let $\underline{\Pi}(\underline{s},\underline{e})^\vee \subset \underline{\Pi}(\underline{s},\underline{e})$ be the set of irreducible representations occuring in $\mathbf{H}_*(\underline{\mathcal{B}}^{\underline{s}}_{\underline{e}})$. Let $\underline{\Pi}(a)^\vee$ be the set of $\underline{G}^{\underline{s}}$-equivariant local systems on $\underline{\mathcal{N}}^a$ corresponding to a representation in $\underline{\Pi}(\underline{s},\underline{e})^\vee$ for some $\underline{e}$.

The simple representations of $\underline{\mathbf{H}}_\zeta$ are classified in [KL1, Theorem 7.12]. They are labelled by conjugacy classes of triples $\{s',\underline{e},\underline{\chi}\}$ where $s' \in \underline{G} \times \mathbb{C}^\times_{\omega_0}$ is semisimple, $\underline{e}$ is as above, and $\underline{\chi} \in \underline{\Pi}(\underline{s},\underline{e})^\vee$. Let $\underline{\mathbf{L}}_{a,\underline{\chi}}$ be the simple module labelled by $\{s',\underline{e},\underline{\chi}\}$.

Let $\underline{G}(\underline{s},\underline{\phi}) \subset \underline{G}$ be the simultaneous centralizer of $G_{\underline{\phi}}$ and $\underline{s}$. The group of connected components of $\underline{G}(\underline{s},\underline{\phi})$ is $\underline{\Pi}(\underline{s},\underline{e})$. The $\underline{G}(\underline{s},\underline{\phi})$-action on $\underline{\mathcal{S}}^a_{\underline{\phi}}$ gives a $\underline{\Pi}(\underline{s},\underline{e})$-action on $\mathbf{H}_*(\underline{\mathcal{S}}^a_{\underline{\phi}})$ which commutes with the $\underline{\mathbf{H}}_\zeta$-action. Set

$$\underline{\mathbf{M}}_{a,\underline{\phi},\underline{\chi}} = \mathbf{Hom}_{\underline{\Pi}(\underline{s},\underline{e})}\big(\underline{\chi}, \mathbf{H}_*(\underline{\mathcal{S}}^a_{\underline{\phi}})\big), \quad \underline{n}_{a,\underline{\chi},\underline{\chi}'} = \dim \mathbf{Hom}_{\underline{\Pi}(\underline{s},\underline{e})}\big(\underline{\chi}', \mathbf{H}^*(\iota^! IC_{\underline{\chi}})\big),$$

where ι is the inclusion $\{\underline{e}\} \subset \underline{\mathcal{N}}^a$.

Lemma. *(i) The Grothendieck group of finite dimensional $\underline{\mathbf{H}}_\zeta$-modules is spanned by $\{\underline{\mathbf{M}}_{a,\underline{\phi},\underline{\chi}}\}$.*

(ii) $[\underline{\mathbf{M}}_{a,\underline{\phi},\underline{\chi}} : \underline{\mathbf{L}}_{a,\underline{\chi}'}] = \underline{n}_{a,\underline{\chi},\underline{\chi}'}$.

Proof: This is standard, see [CG] for instance. For (ii) use the identity

$$\underline{n}_{a,\underline{\chi},\underline{\chi}'} = \dim \mathbf{Hom}_{\underline{\Pi}(\underline{s},\underline{e})}\big(\underline{\chi}', \mathbf{H}^*(\underline{\mathfrak{s}}^a_{\underline{\phi}}, \varepsilon^! IC_{\underline{\chi}})\big), \tag{6.5.1}$$

where ε is the natural inclusion $\underline{\mathfrak{s}}^a_{\underline{\phi}} \subset \underline{\mathcal{N}}^a$. Since $IC_{\underline{\chi}}$ is $C_{\underline{\phi}}$-equivariant (6.5.1) follows from the basic result below.

Let V be a finite dimensional vector space with a $\mathbb{C}^\times$-action contracting to 0. Let $Z \subset V$ be a closed subset preserved by $\mathbb{C}^\times$, $\iota : \{0\} \to Z$ and $p : Z \to \{0\}$ be the obvious inclusion and projection. Then the canonical map $\iota^! \mathcal{E} \to p_! \mathcal{E}$ is an isomorphism for any $\mathcal{E} \in \mathbf{D}_{\mathbb{C}^\times}(Z)$. See [KS, Proposition 3.7.5] for instance. □

6.6. The $\underline{\Pi}(\underline{s},\underline{e})$-action on $\mathbf{H}_*(\mathcal{S}^a_{\underline{\phi}})$ induced by the $\underline{G}(\underline{s},\underline{\phi})$-action on $\mathcal{S}^a_{\underline{\phi}}$ commutes with $\mathbf{H}_{\tau,\zeta}$ because $\underline{G}^{\underline{s}}$ is connected. Set $\mathbf{M}_{a,\underline{\phi},\underline{\chi}} = \mathbf{Hom}_{\underline{\Pi}(\underline{s},\underline{e})}\big(\underline{\chi}, \mathbf{H}_*(\mathcal{S}^a_{\underline{\phi}})\big)$ for each $\underline{\chi} \in \underline{\Pi}(\underline{s},\underline{e})^\vee$.

Lemma. $\mathbf{H}_{\tau,\zeta} \otimes_{\underline{\mathbf{H}}_\zeta} \underline{\mathbf{M}}_{a,\underline{\phi},\underline{\chi}} \simeq \mathbf{M}_{a,\underline{\phi},\underline{\chi}}$.

Proof: We have $a \in A_e$. Taking $D \subset A_e$ to be the closed subgroup generated by and $(A_e)^\circ \cup \{a\}$, Proposition 5.8 yields an isomorphism of $\mathbf{H}_{\tau,\zeta}$-modules

$$\mathbf{H}_{\tau,\zeta} \otimes_{\underline{\mathbf{H}}_\zeta} \mathbf{H}_*(\underline{\mathcal{S}}^a_{\underline{\phi}}) \simeq \mathbf{H}_*(\mathcal{S}^a_{\underline{\phi}}).$$

This isomorphism is $\underline{\Pi}(\underline{s},\underline{e})$-equivariant, because the vector bundle γ is $\underline{G}(\underline{s},\underline{\phi})$-equivariant. □

Using Lemma 6.5 and Lemma 6.6, it is enough to compute the Jordan-Hölder multiplicities of $\mathbf{M}_{a,\underline{\phi},\underline{\chi}}$ to get the Jordan-Hölder multiplicities of the induced module $\mathbf{H}_{\tau,\zeta} \otimes_{\underline{\mathbf{H}}_\zeta} \mathbf{L}$ for any simple $\underline{\mathbf{H}}_\zeta$-module $\mathbf{L}$.

6.7. For any $\chi \in \Pi(a)^\vee$, $\underline{\chi} \in \underline{\Pi}(a)^\vee$ we set $n_{a,\underline{\chi},\chi} = \dim \mathbf{Hom}_{\underline{\Pi}(\underline{s},\underline{e})}\big(\underline{\chi}, \mathbf{H}^*(\mathfrak{s}^a_{\underline{\phi}}, \varepsilon^! IC_\chi)\big)$, where ε is the natural inclusion $\mathfrak{s}^a_{\underline{\phi}} \subset \mathcal{N}^a$.

Lemma. *Assume that (τ,ζ) is regular. Then $\mathbf{M}_{a,\underline{\phi},\underline{\chi}}$ has a finite length, and* $[\mathbf{M}_{a,\underline{\phi},\underline{\chi}} : \mathbf{L}_{a,\chi}] = n_{a,\underline{\chi},\chi}$.

Proof: Fix $e \in \mathcal{N}^a$ such that $\chi \in \Pi(s,e)^\vee$. The Springer resolution restricts to a map $\mathcal{S}^a_{\underline{\phi}} \to \mathfrak{s}^a_{\underline{\phi}}$, yielding a Cartesian square

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathcal{S}^a_{\underline{\phi}} & \longrightarrow & \dot{\mathcal{N}}^a & & \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow p & & \\ \mathfrak{s}^a_{\underline{\phi}} & \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} & \mathcal{N}^a & \xleftarrow{\iota} & \{\underline{e}\}. \end{array}$$

Thus $\mathbf{H}_*(\mathcal{S}^a_{\underline{\phi}}) \doteq \bigoplus_i \mathbf{H}^*(\mathfrak{s}^a_{\underline{\phi}}, \varepsilon^! L_{a,i})$, yielding the lemma. □

Note that $n_{a,\underline{\chi},\chi} = \dim \mathbf{Hom}_{\underline{\Pi}(\underline{s},\underline{e})}\big(\underline{\chi}, \mathbf{H}^*(\iota^! IC_\chi)\big)$ because IC_χ is $C_{\underline{\phi}}$-equivariant. In particular $n_{a,\underline{\chi},\chi}$ does not depend on the choice of $\underline{\phi}$.

6.8. This subsection is given for the sake of completness. It is not used in the rest of the paper.

Lemma. *If $e \in \mathcal{N}^a$ is nilpotent then $\mathcal{B}_e^s$ has no odd rational homology.*

Proof: The proof is identical to the proof of [KL1, Theorem 4.1]. By Claim 2 in 6.3 there is a $\mathfrak{sl}_2$-triple $\phi \subset \mathfrak{g}_{KM}$ containing e with $C_\phi \subset A$. Then the element s_ϕ in Claim 3 belongs to $H' \times \{\tau\}$ and $e \in \mathfrak{g}^{s_\phi}$. In particular, $\mathcal{B}_e^{s_\phi}$ is locally of finite type and each connected component is isomorphic to the variety of Borel subgroups of G^{s_ϕ} containing e. Hence $\mathcal{B}_e^{s_\phi}$ has no odd rational homology. Therefore, to conclude it is sufficient to observe that $(\mathcal{B}_e^{s_\phi})^{C_\phi} = (\mathcal{B}_e^s)^{C_\phi}$, that the C_ϕ-action on $\mathcal{S}_\phi^a$ is a contraction to $\mathcal{B}_e^s$, and that $\mathcal{S}_\phi^a$ is locally of finite type and smooth. □

7. Induction of sheaves and Fourier transform

7.1. Assume that τ, ζ are not roots of unity. Fix $J \subsetneq I$ and $\mathfrak{q} \in (\mathcal{B}^J)^s$. Let $\mathfrak{n}$ be the pro-nilpotent radical of $\mathfrak{q}$, and $\mathfrak{l} \subset \mathfrak{q}$ a Levi Lie subalgebra. Let Q, N, L be the corresponding subgroups of G. We have the obvious projection $\mathfrak{q} \to \mathfrak{l}$, $x \mapsto x + \mathfrak{n}$, and the natural inclusion $\mathfrak{q} \subset \mathfrak{g}$. Taking the group L instead of $\underline{G}$ in 2.9 we get the varieties $\mathcal{B}_L$, $\mathcal{N}_L$, $\dot{\mathcal{N}}_L$ and $\dot{\mathfrak{l}}$. We may identify $\mathcal{B}_L$ with the subset $\{\mathfrak{b} \in \mathcal{B}\,;\, \mathfrak{b} \subset \mathfrak{q}\}$. It yields an inclusion $\dot{\mathfrak{l}} \subset \dot{\mathfrak{g}}$.

Set $\mathfrak{g}_\pm = \{x \in \mathfrak{g}\,;\, \mathrm{ad}_s x = \zeta^{\mp 1} x\}$. Idem for $\mathfrak{q}_\pm$, $\mathfrak{l}_\pm$, $\mathfrak{n}_\pm$. The groups Q^s, N^s act on $\mathfrak{q}_\pm$ in the obvious way. Put $\mathcal{E}_\pm = G^s \times_{N^s} \mathfrak{q}_\pm$ and $\mathcal{F}_\pm = G^s \times_{Q^s} \mathfrak{q}_\pm$. We have the map $p_1 : \mathcal{E}_\pm \to \mathfrak{l}_\pm$, $[g : x] \mapsto q_1(x)$, the map $p_2 : \mathcal{E}_\pm \to \mathcal{F}_\pm$, $[g : x] \mapsto [g : x]$, and the map $p_3 : \mathcal{F}_\pm \to \mathfrak{g}_\pm$, $[g : x] \mapsto \mathrm{ad}_g x$, yielding the diagram

$$\mathfrak{l}_\pm \xleftarrow{p_1} \mathcal{E}_\pm \xrightarrow{p_2} \mathcal{F}_\pm \xrightarrow{p_3} \mathfrak{g}_\pm.$$

The induction functor $\mathbf{Ind}_{\mathfrak{q}_\pm}^{\mathfrak{g}_\pm} : \mathbf{D}_{L^s}(\mathfrak{l}_\pm) \to \mathbf{D}_{G^s}(\mathfrak{g}_\pm)$ takes E to $p_{3!}(p_2^*)^{-1}p_1^*(E)$.

Fix connected components $\dot{\mathfrak{g}}_\pm \subset \{x \in \dot{\mathfrak{g}}\,;\, \mathrm{ad}_{(s,\zeta^{\pm 1})} x = x\}$, and $\dot{\mathfrak{l}}_\pm \subset \{x \in \dot{\mathfrak{l}}\,;\, \mathrm{ad}_{(s,\zeta^{\pm 1})} x = x\}$. Set $\dot{\mathcal{N}}_\pm = \dot{\mathcal{N}} \cap \dot{\mathfrak{g}}_\pm$ and $\dot{\mathcal{N}}_{L,\pm} = \dot{\mathcal{N}}_L \cap \dot{\mathfrak{l}}_\pm$. Recall the projection $p : \dot{\mathfrak{g}} \to \mathfrak{g}$ from 2.8. Then $\dot{\mathfrak{g}}_\pm$ is a connected component in $p^{-1}(\mathfrak{g}_\pm)$. Let p denote also the restriction of p to $\dot{\mathfrak{g}}_\pm$, $\dot{\mathcal{N}}_\pm$. Idem for $\dot{\mathfrak{l}}_\pm$, $\dot{\mathcal{N}}_{L,\pm}$.

7.2. Lemma. *If $\dot{\mathfrak{l}}_\pm \subset \dot{\mathfrak{g}}_\pm$ there are canonical isomorphisms $\mathbf{Ind}_{\mathfrak{q}_\pm}^{\mathfrak{g}_\pm}(p_!\mathbb{C}_{\dot{\mathfrak{l}}_\pm}) = p_!\mathbb{C}_{\dot{\mathfrak{g}}_\pm}$, and $\mathbf{Ind}_{\mathfrak{q}_\pm}^{\mathfrak{g}_\pm}(p_!\mathbb{C}_{\dot{\mathcal{N}}_{L,\pm}}) = p_!\mathbb{C}_{\dot{\mathcal{N}}_\pm}$.*

Proof: Fix an Iwahori Lie algebra $\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathfrak{q}$ such that $(0, \mathfrak{p}/\mathfrak{n}) \in \dot{\mathfrak{l}}_\pm$ and $(0, \mathfrak{p}) \in \dot{\mathfrak{g}}_\pm$. Set $P \subset G$ equal to the Iwahori subgroup associated to $\mathfrak{p}$, and $\mathfrak{p}_\pm = \mathfrak{p} \cap \mathfrak{g}_\pm$. We have $\dot{\mathfrak{g}}_\pm = G^s \times_{P^s} \mathfrak{p}_\pm$ and $\dot{\mathfrak{l}}_\pm = Q^s \times_{P^s} (\mathfrak{p}_\pm/\mathfrak{n}_\pm)$. Set $\dot{\mathfrak{q}}_\pm = Q^s \times_{P^s} \mathfrak{p}_\pm$, $\dot{\mathcal{E}}_\pm = G^s \times_{N^s} \dot{\mathfrak{q}}_\pm$, and $\dot{\mathcal{F}}_\pm = G^s \times_{Q^s} \dot{\mathfrak{q}}_\pm$. Note that $\dot{\mathcal{F}}_\pm \simeq \dot{\mathfrak{g}}_\pm$. We get the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
\dot{\mathfrak{l}}_\pm & \xleftarrow{\dot{p}_1} & \dot{\mathcal{E}}_\pm & \longrightarrow & \dot{\mathcal{F}}_\pm & = & \dot{\mathfrak{g}}_\pm \\
{\scriptstyle p}\downarrow & & {\scriptstyle p}\downarrow & & {\scriptstyle p}\downarrow & & {\scriptstyle p}\downarrow \\
\mathfrak{l}_\pm & \xleftarrow{p_1} & \mathcal{E}_\pm & \xrightarrow{p_2} & \mathcal{F}_\pm & \xrightarrow{p_3} & \mathfrak{g}_\pm,
\end{array}$$

where $\dot{p}_1([g_1 : g_2 : x]) = [g_2 : x + \mathfrak{n}_\pm]$ for all $g_1 \in G^s$, $g_2 \in Q^s$. Both left squares are Cartesian. By base change we get $p_2^* p_!(\mathbb{C}_{\dot{\mathcal{F}}_\pm}) = p_1^* p_!(\mathbb{C}_{\dot{\mathfrak{l}}_\pm})$. Hence

$$\mathbf{Ind}_{\mathfrak{q}_\pm}^{\mathfrak{g}_\pm}(p_!\mathbb{C}_{\dot{\mathfrak{l}}_\pm}) = (p_3 p)_!(\mathbb{C}_{\dot{\mathcal{F}}_\pm}) = p_!\mathbb{C}_{\dot{\mathfrak{g}}_\pm}. \tag{7.2.1}$$

Put $\mathcal{E}^{nil}_{\pm} = G^s \times_{N^s} (\mathfrak{q}_\pm \cap \mathcal{N})$ and $\mathcal{F}^{nil}_{\pm} = G^s \times_{Q^s} (\mathfrak{q}_\pm \cap \mathcal{N})$. The diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \mathfrak{l}_\pm & \xleftarrow{p_1} & \mathcal{E}_\pm & \xrightarrow{p_2} & \mathcal{F}_\pm & \xrightarrow{p_3} & \mathfrak{g}_\pm \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ \mathfrak{l}_\pm \cap \mathcal{N}_L & \longleftarrow & \mathcal{E}^{nil}_\pm & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{F}^{nil}_\pm & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{g}_\pm \cap \mathcal{N} \end{array}$$

is formed by Cartesian squares because $q_1^{-1}(\mathfrak{l}_\pm \cap \mathcal{N}_L) = \mathfrak{q}_\pm \cap \mathcal{N}$. Hence the lemma follows from (7.2.1) and base change. □

7.3. Fix a G-invariant nondegenerate pairing $\langle\ :\ \rangle$ on $\mathfrak{g}$. It restricts to a non-degenerate pairing $\mathfrak{g}_+ \times \mathfrak{g}_- \to \mathbb{C}$. The complexes $p_!\mathbb{C}_{\dot{\mathcal{N}}_\pm}$, $p_!\mathbb{C}_{\dot{\mathfrak{g}}_\pm}$ are $\mathbb{C}^\times_{\mathfrak{g}_\pm}$-equivariant. Let ρ be as in 2.5.

Lemma. *(i) If $E \in \mathbf{D}_{L^s}(\mathfrak{l}_\pm)$ is $\mathbb{C}^\times_{\mathfrak{l}_\pm}$-equivariant then $\mathbf{Ind}^{\mathfrak{g}_\pm}_{\mathfrak{q}_\pm}(E)$ is $\mathbb{C}^\times_{\mathfrak{g}_\pm}$-equivariant, and $\mathbf{F}_{\mathfrak{g}_\pm} \circ \mathbf{Ind}^{\mathfrak{g}_\pm}_{\mathfrak{q}_\pm}(E) \doteq \mathbf{Ind}^{\mathfrak{g}_\mp}_{\mathfrak{q}_\mp} \circ \mathbf{F}_{\mathfrak{l}_\pm}(E)$.*

(ii) If $\rho(\dot{\mathfrak{g}}_\pm) = \rho(\dot{\mathfrak{g}}_\mp)$ then $\mathbf{F}_{\mathfrak{g}_\pm}(p_!\mathbb{C}_{\dot{\mathcal{N}}_\pm}) \doteq p_!\mathbb{C}_{\dot{\mathfrak{g}}_\mp}$ and $\mathbf{F}_{\mathfrak{g}_\mp}(p_!\mathbb{C}_{\dot{\mathfrak{g}}_\mp}) \doteq p_!\mathbb{C}_{\dot{\mathcal{N}}_\pm}$.

Proof: Claim (i) is analogous to [L1, Corollary 10.5]. The first part of (ii) follows from (i) and Lemma 7.2 with $\mathfrak{q} \in \rho(\dot{\mathfrak{g}}_\pm)$, because

$$\mathbf{F}_{\mathfrak{g}_\pm}(p_!\mathbb{C}_{\dot{\mathcal{N}}_\pm}) = \mathbf{F}_{\mathfrak{g}_\pm} \circ \mathbf{Ind}^{\mathfrak{g}_\pm}_{\mathfrak{q}_\pm}(\mathbb{C}_{\{0\}}) \doteq \mathbf{Ind}^{\mathfrak{g}_\mp}_{\mathfrak{q}_\mp} \circ \mathbf{F}_{\mathfrak{l}_\pm}(\mathbb{C}_{\{0\}}) = \mathbf{Ind}^{\mathfrak{g}_\mp}_{\mathfrak{q}_\mp}(\mathbb{C}_{\mathfrak{l}_\mp}) = p_!\mathbb{C}_{\dot{\mathfrak{g}}_\mp}.$$

The second part follows from the first one because the complexes $p_!\mathbb{C}_{\dot{\mathfrak{g}}_\pm}$, $p_!\mathbb{C}_{\dot{\mathcal{N}}_\pm}$ are $\mathbb{C}^\times_{\mathfrak{g}_\pm}$-equivariant. □

7.4. For any pair (τ, ζ), possibly non regular, we set $\Pi(a)^\vee = \{\chi\}$, see 6.1. Hence $\Pi(a)^\vee$ may be viewed as a set consisting of simple G^s-equivariant perverse sheaves on $\mathcal{N}^a$. This notation is coherent with Proposition 6.3 if (τ, ζ) is regular.

Assume that (τ^{-1}, ζ) is singular. Hence (τ, ζ) is regular. Recall that $a = (s, \zeta)$, see 2.12. Set $\check{a} = (s^{-1}, \zeta)$. Fix $\chi \in \Pi(a)^\vee$. Note that $\Psi^\bullet_a \Phi^\bullet_a(\mathbf{L}_{a,\chi})$ is a simple integrable $\mathbf{H}_{\tau,\zeta}$-module by Lemma 6.1.(ii) and Claim 1 in 7.6 below. Hence $\mathrm{IM}^\bullet \Psi^\bullet_a \Phi^\bullet_a(\mathbf{L}_{a,\chi})$ is again is a simple integrable $\mathbf{H}_{\tau^{-1},\zeta}$-module. Therefore, by Lemma 6.1.(ii) and Claim 2 in 7.6 there is a unique element $\check{\chi} \in \Pi(\check{a})^\vee$ such that the $\mathbf{H}_{\tau^{-1},\zeta}$-modules $\Psi^\bullet_{\check{a}} \Phi^\bullet_{\check{a}}(\mathbf{L}_{\check{a},\check{\chi}})$ and $\mathrm{IM}^\bullet \Psi^\bullet_a \Phi^\bullet_a(\mathbf{L}_{a,\chi})$ are isomorphic. The map $\Pi(a)^\vee \to \Pi(\check{a})^\vee$, $\chi \mapsto \check{\chi}$ is a bijection. In particular $\Pi(\check{a})^\vee$ is a finite set by Proposition 6.3.

We claim that the Fourier transform yields another bijection $\Pi(a)^\vee \to \Pi(\check{a})^\vee$. More precisely, set $\bar{a} = (s, \zeta^{-1})$. We have $\Xi_s = \Xi_{s^{-1}}$ and $L_{\bar{a},i} = L_{\check{a},i}$, hence $\Pi(\bar{a})^\vee = \Pi(\check{a})^\vee$, because the fixed point sets $\mathfrak{g}^{\bar{a}}$, $\mathfrak{g}^{\check{a}}$ coincide. We have also $L_{\bar{a},i} \doteq \mathbf{F}_{\mathfrak{g}^a}(L_{a,i})$ by Lemma 7.3.(ii), and $S_{a,\chi} = IC_\chi$ for all $\chi \in \Pi(a)^\vee$. The complex IC_χ is $\mathbb{C}^\times_{\mathfrak{g}^a}$-equivariant, and $\mathbf{F}_{\mathfrak{g}^a}(IC_\chi)$ is a simple perverse sheaf. Hence we get a bijection $\Pi(a)^\vee \to \Pi(\bar{a})^\vee$, $\chi \mapsto \bar{\chi}$, such that $S_{\bar{a},\bar{\chi}} = \mathbf{F}_{\mathfrak{g}^a}(IC_\chi)$. The composed map $\Pi(a)^\vee \to \Pi(\bar{a})^\vee = \Pi(\check{a})^\vee$ is still denoted by $\chi \mapsto \bar{\chi}$.

Conjecture. *$\bar{\chi} = \check{\chi}$ for each $\chi \in \Pi(a)^\vee$.*

The corresponding statement for $\underline{\mathbf{H}}$ is proved in [EM]. Probably, this proof generalizes to the case of $\mathbf{H}$.

7.5. For any $\underline{\chi} \in \underline{\Pi}(a)^\vee$, $\chi \in \Pi(a)^\vee$ let $m_{a,\underline{\chi},\chi}$ be the Jordan-Hölder multiplicity of $\mathbf{L}_{a,\chi}$ in $\mathbf{H}_{\tau,\zeta} \otimes_{\underline{\mathbf{H}}_\zeta} \underline{\mathbf{L}}_{a,\underline{\chi}}$. If (τ, ζ) is regular then $m_{a,\underline{\chi},\chi}$ is known by 6.5-7. If (τ, ζ) is singular then $m_{a,\underline{\chi},\chi} = m_{\check{a},\underline{\check{\chi}},\check{\chi}}$, thus it is known because (τ^{-1}, ζ) is regular.

7.6. An $\mathbf{H}_{\tau,\zeta}$-module is said to be *integrable* if the action of the subring $\mathbf{R}_{\tau,\zeta}$ is locally finite. Let $\mathbf{Mod}^{int}_{\mathbf{H}_{\tau,\zeta}} \subset \mathbf{Mod}_{\mathbf{H}_{\tau,\zeta}}$ be the full subcategory consisting of integrable modules. The following theorem proves a refined version of [BEG1, Conjecture 6.5]. Note that this conjecture was known, at least to the author, before *loc. cit.*

Theorem. *Assume that τ, ζ are not roots of unity.*

(i) If (τ, ζ) is regular, the simple integrable $\mathbf{H}_{\tau,\zeta}$-modules are classified by the G-conjugacy classes of triples $\{s, e, \chi\}$ with $s \in G' \times \{\tau\}$ semisimple, $e \in \mathcal{N}$, $\chi \in \Pi(s,e)^\vee$, and $\mathrm{ad}_s e = \zeta e$.

(ii) If (τ, ζ) is not regular, the simple integrable $\mathbf{H}_{\tau,\zeta}$-modules are in one-to-one correspondence with the $\mathbf{H}_{\tau^{-1},\zeta}$-modules.

Proof: Note that part (ii) follows from part (i) by 7.4. The proof consists in three steps.

Claim 1. The pull-back by Ψ_a takes smooth and simple $\mathbf{K}_a$-modules to simple integrable $\mathbf{H}_{\tau,\zeta}$-modules supported on $W(s) \times \{\zeta\} \subset A$.

The restriction of the map $\Psi_a : \mathbf{H} \to \mathbf{K}_a$ to $\mathbf{R}_A$ factorizes through the obvious map $\mathbf{R}_A \to \prod_{b \in W(a)} \mathbf{R}_A/\mathbf{I}_b$ by Lemma 4.8.(ii). Therefore, given a smooth $\mathbf{K}_a$-module $\mathbf{M}$, the $\mathbf{R}_A$-action on $\Psi_a^\bullet(\mathbf{M})$ factorizes through $\bigoplus_{b \in W(a)} \mathbf{R}_A/\mathbf{I}_b$. Hence it is locally finite because $\mathbf{R}_A/\mathbf{I}_b$ is a finite dimensional $\mathbb{C}$-algebra, and the restriction of the $\mathbf{H}_{\tau,\zeta}$-action to $\mathbf{R}_{\tau,\zeta}$ takes $\Psi_a^\bullet(\mathbf{M})$ to a quasi-coherent sheaf on $H' \times \{\tau\}$ supported on $W(s)$. Thus $\Psi_a^\bullet(\mathbf{M})$ is integrable and the claim follows from Theorem 4.9.(iv).

Claim 2. For any simple integrable $\mathbf{H}_{\tau,\zeta}$-module $\mathbf{L}$ there is an element $s \in H' \times \{\tau\}$ and a smooth simple $\mathbf{K}_a$-module $\mathbf{L}'$ such that $\mathbf{L} = \Psi_a^\bullet(\mathbf{L}')$.

Taking $e = 0$ in Theorem 5.8 we get $\mathbf{K}^A(\mathcal{S}_\phi^a)_a = \mathbf{H}_{\tau,\zeta} \otimes_{\underline{\mathbf{H}}_\zeta} \mathbf{K}^A(\underline{\dot{\mathcal{N}}})_a$, with $\mathcal{S}_\phi = \dot{\mathcal{N}} \cap (\mathfrak{b}_\flat \times \mathcal{B})$. By [L3, Proposition 8.5] we have an isomorphism of $\underline{\mathbf{H}}_\zeta$-modules $\mathbf{K}^A(\underline{\dot{\mathcal{N}}})_a = \underline{\mathbf{H}}_\zeta \otimes_{\mathbf{R}_A} \mathbb{C}_a$. Hence $\mathbf{H}_{\tau,\zeta} \otimes_{\mathbf{R}_A} \mathbb{C}_a = \Psi_a^\bullet(\mathbf{K}^A(\mathcal{S}_\phi^a)_a)$ where $\mathbf{K}_a$ acts on $\mathbf{K}^A(\mathcal{S}_\phi)_a$ as in 5.4. If $\mathbf{L}$ is an integrable $\mathbf{H}_{\tau,\zeta}$-module there is a non-zero element $x \in \mathbf{L}$ which is an $\mathbf{R}_A$-eigenvector. Fix $s \in H' \times \{\tau\}$ such that the $\mathbf{R}_A$-module spanned by x is isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}_a$. If $\mathbf{L}$ is simple the linear map $\rho_x : \mathbf{H}_{\tau,\zeta} \otimes_{\mathbf{R}_A} \mathbb{C}_a \to \mathbf{L}$ such that $\rho_x(y \otimes 1) = y(x)$ is surjective. The subspace $\mathrm{Ker}\,(\rho_x) \subset \mathbf{H}_{\tau,\zeta} \otimes_{\mathbf{R}_A} \mathbb{C}_a$ is preserved by $\mathbf{H}_{\tau,\zeta}$, hence by $\mathbf{K}_a$ because $\Psi_a(\mathbf{H}) \subset \mathbf{K}_a$ is dense and $\mathbf{K}^A(\mathcal{S}_\phi)_a$ is a smooth $\mathbf{K}_a$-module. We are done.

Claim 3. $\Psi_{a_1}^\bullet(\mathbf{L}_{a_1,\chi_1}) \simeq \Psi_{a_2}^\bullet(\mathbf{L}_{a_2,\chi_2})$ if and only if the corresponding triples are G-conjugated.

By Claim 2 a simple integrable $\mathbf{H}_{\tau,\zeta}$-module $\mathbf{L}$ is isomorphic to $\Psi_a^\bullet(\mathbf{L}')$ for an element $s \in H' \times \{\tau\}$ and a simple smooth $\mathbf{K}_a$-module $\mathbf{L}'$. The support of $\mathbf{L}$, viewed as a $\mathbf{R}_A$-module, is contained in a W-orbit because $\mathbf{L}$ is simple. By Claim 1 this orbit is precisely $W(s) \times \{\zeta\}$. Hence a is uniquely determined, up to conjugation by W. Given a, there is a unique module $\mathbf{L}'$ such that $\mathbf{L} = \Psi_a^\bullet(\mathbf{L}')$ by Theorem 4.9.(iv). Assume that (τ, ζ) is regular. Then, by Lemma 6.1 and Proposition 6.3, the set of simple $\mathbf{K}_a$-modules is $\{\mathbf{L}_{a,\chi}\,;\, \chi \in \Pi(a)^\vee\}$. Hence the theorem follows from Proposition 4.4.(ii). □

Given a simple integrable $\mathbf{H}_{\tau,\zeta}$-module $\mathbf{L}$, the corresponding G-conjugacy class of triples $\{s, e, \chi\}$, or, abusively, any element in this conjugacy class, are called the *Langlands parameters* of $\mathbf{L}$.

8. The type A case

In Sections 8.1 to 8.3 we compare our classification of the simple modules with the classification announced by Cherednik, in [C2], in type A. In Sections 8.4 to 8.5 we make a link with representations of the cyclic quiver and with Kazhdan-Lusztig polynomials.

8.1. Lemma. *Assume that $\underline{G} = SL_d$ and (τ, ζ) is regular. Then $\Pi(s,e)^\vee$ is reduced to the trival representation of $\Pi(s,e)$ for all s, e.*

Proof: Up to conjugation by an element of G there is a maximal proper subset $J \subset I$ such that $s \in G_J$ and $G^s = G^s_J$, see the proof of Lemma 2.13. Let $Z_J, G'_J \subset G_J$ be the center and the derived subgroup of G_J. We write Z_J° for $(Z_J)^\circ$. We have $G_J = Z_J^\circ \cdot G'_J$, $G'_J \simeq \mathrm{SL}_d$, and $G^s_J = Z_J^\circ \cdot (G'_J)^s$. The restriction of the G^s_J-action on $\dot{\mathcal{N}}^a$ to Z_J is trivial. Hence the $(G'_J)^s$-action on $\dot{\mathcal{N}}^a$ extends to an action of $(\mathrm{GL}_d)^s$. Thus the G^s_J-equivariant local systems on $\mathcal{N}^a$ which belong to $\Pi(a)^\vee$ are $(\mathrm{GL}_d)^s$-equivariant. Hence they are trivial. □

Remark. The group $\Pi(s,e)$ may be non trivial, see Remark 9.3.(ii) for instance.

8.2. A multi-segment is a familly $\sigma = (\sigma_{ij})$ with $\sigma_{ij} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$, $i \leq j$. Given σ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ let $\sigma[n]$ be the multi-segment such that $(\sigma[n])_{ij} = \sigma_{n+i,n+j}$. Fix $d, m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. The multi-segment σ is m-periodic of order d if $\sigma[m] = \sigma$ and $\sum_i \sum_{1 \leq j \leq m} (j + 1 - i)\sigma_{ij} = d$.

A m-periodic pair of order d is a pair $\{(\sigma_a), (z_a)\}$, where (σ_a) is a familly of m-periodic multisegments such that the sum of the orders of each of them is d, and (z_a) is a familly of complex numbers such that $z_a/z_b \notin \tau^{(1/m)\mathbb{Z}}$ for all $a \neq b$. Let $\mathcal{S}$ be the set of m-periodic pairs of order d. Two pairs are equivalent if they can be obtained from each other by a simultaneous permutation of (σ_a) and (z_a), combined with a translation $\{(\sigma_a), (z_a)\} \to \{(\sigma_a), (z_a \tau^{m_a})\}$, and with a simultaneous translation $\{(\sigma_a), (z_a)\} \to \{(\sigma_a[-m_a]), (z_a \zeta^{m_a})\}$ with $m_1, ..., m_r \in \mathbb{Z}$. Let $\mathcal{S}/\sim$ be the set of equivalence classes in $\mathcal{S}$.

From now on we fix $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{<0}$ with $(m, k) = 1$, a m-th root of τ, and $\zeta = \tau^{k/m}$. Hence $\tau^{\mathbb{Z}} \zeta^{\mathbb{Z}} = \tau^{(1/m)\mathbb{Z}}$. Set $\underline{G} = \mathrm{GL}_d$. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be the set of pairs (s, e), with $s = (s', \tau) \in G_1$ semisimple and $e \in \underline{\mathfrak{g}} \otimes K$ nilpotent such that $\mathrm{ad}_s e = \zeta e$. Let $\mathcal{C}/\sim$ be the set of equivalence classes in $\mathcal{C}$, where $(s_1, e_1) \sim (s_2, e_2)$ if they are G_1-conjugated.

Proposition. *There is a natural bijection $\mathcal{C}/\sim \to \mathcal{S}/\sim$.*

Proof: The group $G_1 = L\underline{G} \rtimes \mathbb{C}^\times_\delta$ acts naturally on $\mathbb{C}^d[\varepsilon, \varepsilon^{-1}]$, so that $(g, z) \cdot (v \otimes \varepsilon^j) = z^j g(v) \otimes \varepsilon^j$. For any semisimple element $s \in G_1$ we fix a familly of complex numbers $({}^s z_a)$ such that $\mathrm{Spec}(s) = \{{}^s z_a\} \bmod \tau^{(1/m)\mathbb{Z}}$ and ${}^s z_a / {}^s z_b \notin \tau^{(1/m)\mathbb{Z}}$ for all $a \neq b$, and we set ${}^s V_{ai} = \{v \in \mathbb{C}^d[\varepsilon, \varepsilon^{-1}]\,;\, s(v) = {}^s z_a \tau^{i/m} v\}$, ${}^s V_a = \bigoplus_i {}^s V_{ai}$. If $s \in \underline{G}(K) \times \{\tau\}$ then ${}^s V_a$ is a $\mathbb{Z}$-graded $\mathbb{C}[\varepsilon, \varepsilon^{-1}]$-module such that ${}^s V_{ai}$ is the degree i subspace. Set ${}^s d_a = \sum_i (\dim {}^s V_{ai}) t^i$. Let $\mathcal{D}$ be the set of pairs $\{(d_a), (z_a)\}$ where (z_a) is as above, $d_a \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}[[t, t^{-1}]]$, $\sum_a d_a = p(t) \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} t^{im}$ with $p(t) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}[t, t^{-1}]$ such that $p(1) = d$. Two pairs in $\mathcal{D}$ are equivalent if they can be obtained from each other by a simultaneous permutation of (d_a) and (z_a), combined with a translation

$\{(d_a),(z_a)\} \mapsto \{(d_a t^{-mm_a}),(z_a\tau^{m_a})\}$ or $\{(d_a),(z_a)\} \mapsto \{(d_a t^{-km_a}),(z_a\zeta^{m_a})\}$ with $m_1,...,m_r \in \mathbb{Z}$. The map $s \mapsto \{({}^s d_a),({}^s z_a)\}$ gives a bijection from the set of G_1-conjugacy classes of semisimple elements in $\underline{G}(K)\times\{\tau\}$ to the set of equivalence classes in $\mathcal{D}$, because $(m,k)=1$.

For any pair $\{s,e\} \in \mathcal{C}$ the element e acts naturally on $\mathbb{C}^d[\varepsilon,\varepsilon^{-1}]$. Let $e_a \in \mathrm{End}({}^s V_a)$ be the restriction of e. The operator e_a is a nilpotent endomorphism of degree k commuting with ε. Let ${}^e\sigma_{aij}$ be the number of Jordan blocks of e_a of multi-degree $\{ki, ki+k, ..., kj\}$. Hence ${}^e\sigma_a = ({}^e\sigma_{aij})$ is a m-periodic multi-segment of order the rank of the $\mathbb{C}[\varepsilon,\varepsilon^{-1}]$-module ${}^s V_a$, such that ${}^s d_a = \sum_{i,j} {}^e\sigma_{aij}(t^{ki} + t^{k(i+1)} + \cdots + t^{kj})$.

The map $\mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{S}/\sim$ taking the conjugacy class of $\{s,e\}$ to the class of $\{({}^e\sigma_a),({}^s z_a)\}$ gives a map $\mathcal{C}/\sim \to \mathcal{S}/\sim$. It is a bijection. □

8.3. In this subsection we compare the simple modules of different versions of the double affine Hecke algebra when $\underline{G}$ is of type A_{d-1}, with $d \geq 2$:

(*i*) $\mathbf{H}^{\mathrm{ch}}_{\tau,\zeta}$ is the $\mathbb{C}$-algebra generated by $x_1^{\pm 1}$,...,$x_d^{\pm 1}$,$\pi^{\pm 1}$, t_1,...,t_{d-1}, modulo the relations

$$x_i x_j = x_j x_i,\ \pi x_i = x_{i+1}\pi,\ \pi^d x_i = \tau^{-1} x_i \pi^d,$$
$$(t_i - \zeta)(t_i + 1) = 0,\ \pi t_i = t_{i+1}\pi,\ \pi^d t_i = t_i \pi^d,$$
$$t_i t_j t_i \cdots = t_j t_i t_j \cdots \text{ if } i \neq j \text{ } (m_{ij} \text{ factors in both products}),$$
$$t_i x_i t_i = \zeta x_{i+1},\ t_i x_j = x_j t_i \text{ if } j \neq i, i+1.$$

(*ii*) $\mathbf{H}'_{\tau,\zeta} \subset \mathbf{H}^{\mathrm{ch}}_{\tau,\zeta}$ is the subalgebra generated by $x_1^{\pm 1}$,...,$x_d^{\pm 1}$, t_1,...,t_{d-1}, and the element $t_0 = \pi^{-1} t_1 \pi$.

(*iii*) $\mathbf{H}_{\tau,\zeta}$ is obtained by taking $\underline{G} = \mathrm{SL}_d$ in 3.1. In particular the subring spanned by $\{x_\lambda\,;\,\lambda \in X'\}$ is isomorphic to $\mathbf{R}_{H'}$.

Since the algebras $\mathbf{H}_{\tau,\zeta}$ and $\mathbf{H}'_{\tau,\zeta}$ differ only in the polynomial subalgebras $\mathbf{R}_{H'}$ and $\mathbb{C}[x_1^{\pm 1},...x_d^{\pm 1}]$, a simple integrable $\mathbf{H}'_{\tau,\zeta}$-module may be viewed as a pair $\{\mathbf{L},\alpha\}$ where $\mathbf{L}$ is a simple integrable $\mathbf{H}_{\tau,\zeta}$-module, and α is a locally finite representation of $\mathbb{C}[x_1^{\pm 1},...x_d^{\pm 1}]$ in $\mathbf{L}$ compatible with the $\mathbf{R}_{H'}$-action in the obvious way. Two pairs $\{\mathbf{L},\alpha\}$, $\{\mathbf{L},\alpha'\}$ can only differ by a scalar $z \in \mathbb{C}^\times$ such that $\alpha'(x_1) = z\alpha(x_1)$, because $\mathbf{L}$ is simple and integrable. The $\mathbf{H}'_{\tau,\zeta}$-modules $\{\mathbf{L},\alpha\}$ and $\{\mathbf{L}',\alpha'\}$ are isomorphic if and only if there is a linear isomorphism $\mathbf{L} \to \mathbf{L}'$ commuting to $\mathbf{H}_{\tau,\zeta}$ up to x_{ω_0}, and taking α to α'.

Let $c_\pi : \mathbf{H}'_{\tau,\zeta} \to \mathbf{H}'_{\tau,\zeta}$ be the conjugation by π. For any $\mathbf{H}'_{\tau,\zeta}$-module $\mathbf{M}$ set $\mathbf{M}^\pi = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\ell-1} (c_\pi^i)^\bullet(\mathbf{M})$, where $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ is minimal such that $(c_\pi^\ell)^\bullet(\mathbf{M}) = \mathbf{M}$. A simple integrable $\mathbf{H}^{\mathrm{ch}}_{\tau,\zeta}$-module may be viewed as a pair $\{\mathbf{M}^\pi,\beta\}$, where $\mathbf{M} = \{\mathbf{L},\alpha\}$ is simple and integrable, and β is a representation of $\mathbb{C}[\pi^{\pm 1}]$ in $\mathbf{M}^\pi$ compatible with the $\mathbf{H}'_{\tau,\zeta}$-action in the obvious way. If β, β' are two such representations then $\beta'(\pi)\circ\beta(\pi)^{-1}$ is a scalar because $\mathbf{M}$ is simple and integrable.

The simple integrable $\mathbf{H}_{\tau,\zeta}$-modules are classified by Theorem 7.6. Thus the simple integrable $\mathbf{H}^{\mathrm{ch}}_{\tau,\zeta}$-modules, modulo the automorphisms $\pi \mapsto z\pi$ for any $z \in \mathbb{C}^\times$, are classified by $\mathcal{S}/\sim$ by Proposition 8.2. While we were writing this paper Cherednik announced a classification of the simple integrable $\mathbf{H}^{\mathrm{ch}}$-modules for $\underline{G}$ of type A and $\zeta^m = \tau^k$, similar to the Bernstein-Rogawski-Zelevinsky classification for affine Hecke algebras of type A, see [C2]. The set $\mathcal{S}/\sim$ is precisely the set of new pairs of generalized m-periodic infinite skew-diagrams of total order d entering into Cherednik's classification. Cherednik gives also a classification of the simple

$\mathbf{H}^{\mathrm{ch}}$-modules such that the action of the subring $\mathbf{R}_A$ is semisimple. This last result can not be deduced from our work.

8.4. Let $\mathcal{C}_d \subset \mathcal{C}/\sim$ be the subset consisting of the conjugacy classes of pairs (s,e) such that $\mathrm{Spec}(s) \subset \tau^{(1/m)\mathbb{Z}}$. The bijection in 8.2 identifies $\mathcal{C}_d$ with the subset $\mathcal{S}_d \subset \mathcal{S}/\sim$ consisting of equivalence classes of m-periodic pairs of order d such that (z_a) consists of a single element of $\tau^{(1/m)\mathbb{Z}}$.

Let $\mathbf{Q}_m$ be the quiver of type $A^{(1)}_{m-1}$ with the cyclic orientation, i.e. the set of vertices is $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ and there is one arrow $i \to i+1$ for each $i \in \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$. There is a unique bijection from $\mathcal{S}_d$ to the set $Q_d/\sim$ of isomorphism classes of nilpotent representations of $\mathbf{Q}_m$ of dimension d taking the m-periodic multisegment σ to the representation with σ_{ij} Jordan blocks of multi-degree $\{i \bmod m, i+1 \bmod m, ..., j \bmod m\}$.

Assume that $\mathrm{Spec}(s) \subset \tau^{(1/m)\mathbb{Z}}$. Set ${}^s d_i = \dim\{v \in \mathbb{C}^d[\varepsilon,\varepsilon^{-1}]\,;\, s(v) = \tau^{i/m}v\}$. Let $G_1^s \subset G_1$ be the centraliser of s. Note that ${}^s d_{i+m} = {}^s d_i$ for all i. Let $\mathrm{Rep}\,{}^s d(\mathbf{Q}_m)$ be the space of ${}^s d$-dimensional representation of $\mathbf{Q}_m$. The group $\mathrm{GL}_{{}^s d} = \prod_{i\in\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}} \mathrm{GL}_{{}^s d_i}$ acts on $\mathrm{Rep}\,{}^s d(\mathbf{Q}_m)$. The bijection $\mathcal{C}_d \to Q_d/\sim$ lifts to an equivariant isomorphism of varieties $\{e\,;\,(s,e) \in \mathcal{C}_d\} \to \mathrm{Rep}\,{}^s d(\mathbf{Q}_m)$. In particular the closure of the G^s-orbit of e in $\mathcal{N}^a$ is isomorphic to the closure of the corresponding $\mathrm{GL}_{{}^s d}$-orbit in $\mathrm{Rep}\,{}^s d(\mathbf{Q}_m)$. Note that the intersection cohomology of the latter is expressed by Kazhdan-Lusztig polynomials of type $A^{(1)}$ by [L4, Corollary 11.6]. The appearence of Kazhdan-Lusztig polynomials was already mentioned in a less precise way in [AST].

8.5. Let $\mathbf{U}_m$ be the specialization at $q=1$ of the generic Hall algebra of the category of nilpotent finite dimensional representations of $\mathbf{Q}_m$. The $\mathbb{C}$-algebra $\mathbf{U}_m$ admits two natural $\mathbb{C}$-bases. We use the conventions in [VV, Section 3]. Let $\mathcal{S}_\infty = \bigcup_{d>0} \mathcal{S}_d$. The first basis, $\mathbf{B} = (\mathbf{b}_x\,;\, x \in \mathcal{S}_\infty)$, is given by the Euler characteristic of the stalks of the cohomology sheaves of the intersection cohomology complex of the constant local systems on the isomorphism classes. The second one, $\mathbf{F} = (\mathbf{f}_x\,;\, x \in \mathcal{S}_\infty)$, is formed by the characteristic functions of the isomorphism classes of representations of $\mathbf{Q}_m$. For any m-uple of non-negative integers $\mathbf{d}$, let $\mathbf{f_d}$ be the element in $\mathbf{F}$ labelled by the zero representation with graded dimension $\mathbf{d}$. The algebra $\mathbf{U}_m$ is generated by the elements $\mathbf{f_d}$, see [VV, Proposition 3.5].

Let $\mathbf{U}_\infty$ be the negative part of the enveloping algebra of type A_∞, i.e. $\mathbf{U}_\infty$ is the $\mathbb{C}$-algebra generated by elements $\underline{\mathbf{f}}_i$ with $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ satisfying the Serre relations. Let $\mathbf{Q}_\infty$ be the quiver of type A_∞. The algebra $\mathbf{U}_\infty$ is identified with the specialization at $q=1$ of the generic Hall algebra of the category of finite-dimensional representations of $\mathbf{Q}_\infty$. It admits two natural bases analoguous to $\mathbf{B}$ and $\mathbf{F}$. Let us denote them by $\underline{\mathbf{B}} = (\underline{\mathbf{b}}_x\,;\, x \in \underline{\mathcal{S}}_\infty)$ and $\underline{\mathbf{F}} = (\underline{\mathbf{f}}_x\,;\, x \in \underline{\mathcal{S}}_\infty)$ respectively. For any sequence $\underline{\mathbf{d}}$ of non-negative integers, let $\mathbf{f}_{\underline{\mathbf{d}}}$ be the element in $\underline{\mathbf{F}}$ labelled by the zero representation with graded dimension $\underline{\mathbf{d}}$.

Set $\mathbf{H}_d = \mathbf{H}^{\mathrm{ch}}_{\tau,\zeta}$ if $d \geq 2$, and let $\mathbf{H}_1$ be the $\mathbb{C}$-algebra generated by $x_1^{\pm 1}$ and $\pi^{\pm 1}$, modulo the relation $\pi x_i = \tau^{-1} x_i \pi$. Let $\mathbf{G}_d$ be the complexified Grothendieck group of the category of integrable $\mathbf{H}_d$-modules of finite length whose Jordan-Hölder factors are labelled by $\mathcal{S}_d$. Set $\mathbf{G}_\infty = \bigoplus_{d>0} \mathbf{G}_d$, and $\mathbf{T}_\infty = (\mathbf{G}_\infty)^*$ (=the restricted dual). For any $x \in \mathcal{S}_d$ let $\mathbf{L}_x$ denote the corresponding simple $\mathbf{H}_d$-module. Then $(\mathbf{L}_x\,;\, x \in \mathcal{S}_\infty)$ is a basis of $\mathbf{G}_\infty$. Let $(\mathbf{L}^x\,;\, x \in \mathcal{S}_\infty)$ be the dual basis of $\mathbf{T}_\infty$. A conjugacy class $x \in \mathcal{C}_d$ contains a pair (s,e) with $e \in \underline{\mathfrak{g}}$. Given a $\mathfrak{sl}_2$-triple $\phi \subset \underline{\mathfrak{g}}$ containing e, let $\mathbf{M}_x$ be the class of the module $\mathbf{M}_{a,\phi,1}$ in $\mathbf{G}_d$. It depends only on

x, see 6.7. Then $(\mathbf{M}_x\,;\,x\in\mathcal{S}_\infty)$ is a basis of $\mathbf{G}_\infty$. Let $(\mathbf{M}^x\,;\,x\in\mathcal{S}_\infty)$ be the dual basis.

For any $\ell\in\mathbb{Z}$ let $\underline{\mathcal{C}}_d[\ell]$ be the set of conjugacy classes of pairs $(\underline{s},\underline{e})$ such that $\underline{e}\in\mathfrak{sl}_d$ is nilpotent, $\underline{s}\in\mathrm{GL}_d$ is semisimple with $\mathrm{Spec}(\underline{s})\subset\tau^{\ell/m}\zeta^{\mathbb{Z}}$, and $\mathrm{ad}_{\underline{s}}\underline{e}=\zeta\underline{e}$. We write $\underline{\mathcal{C}}_d$ for $\underline{\mathcal{C}}_d[0]$. The set $\underline{\mathcal{S}}_d$ of isomorphism classes of d-dimensional representations of $\mathbf{Q}_\infty$ is naturally identified with $\underline{\mathcal{S}}_d$. Set $\underline{\mathcal{S}}_\infty=\bigcup_{d>0}\underline{\mathcal{S}}_d$. Let $\underline{\mathbf{H}}_d\subset\mathbf{H}_d$ be the subalgebra generated by $x_1^{\pm1},...,x_d^{\pm1},t_1,...,t_{d-1}$. Let $\underline{\mathbf{G}}_d$ be the complexified Grothendieck group of the category of finite dimensional $\underline{\mathbf{H}}_d$-modules whose Jordan-Hölder factors are labelled by $\underline{\mathcal{S}}_d$. Set $\underline{\mathbf{G}}_\infty=\bigoplus_{d>0}\underline{\mathbf{G}}_d$, and $\underline{\mathbf{T}}_\infty=(\underline{\mathbf{G}}_\infty)^*$. For any $x\in\underline{\mathcal{S}}_d$ let $\underline{\mathbf{L}}_x$ denote the corresponding simple $\underline{\mathbf{H}}_d$-module. Let $(\underline{\mathbf{L}}^x\,;\,x\in\underline{\mathcal{S}}_\infty)$ be the dual basis of $\underline{\mathbf{T}}_\infty$. Given a pair $(\underline{s},\underline{e})$ in a conjugacy class in $\underline{\mathcal{C}}_d$ and a $\mathfrak{sl}_2$-triple $\underline{\phi}\subset\underline{\mathfrak{g}}$ containing $\underline{e}$, let $\underline{\mathbf{M}}_x$ be the class in $\underline{\mathbf{G}}_d$ of the module $\underline{\mathbf{M}}_{(\underline{s},\zeta),\underline{\phi},1}$. Then $(\underline{\mathbf{M}}_x\,;\,x\in\underline{\mathcal{S}}_\infty)$ is a basis of $\underline{\mathbf{G}}_\infty$. Let $(\underline{\mathbf{M}}^x\,;\,x\in\underline{\mathcal{S}}_\infty)$ be the dual basis.

There is a unique $\mathbb{C}$-linear isomorphism $\mathbf{U}_m\to\mathbf{T}_\infty$ such that $\mathbf{L}^x\mapsto\mathbf{b}_x$ and $\mathbf{M}^x\mapsto\mathbf{f}_x$, and a unique $\mathbb{C}$-linear isomorphism $\mathbf{U}_\infty\to\underline{\mathbf{T}}_\infty$ such that $\underline{\mathbf{L}}^x\mapsto\underline{\mathbf{b}}_x$ and $\underline{\mathbf{M}}^x\mapsto\underline{\mathbf{f}}_x$. There is a unique algebra homomorphism

$$\Delta\,:\,\mathbf{U}_m\to\hat{\mathbf{U}}_\infty\text{ such that }\mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{d}}\mapsto\textstyle\sum_{\underline{\mathbf{d}}}\underline{\mathbf{f}}_{\underline{\mathbf{d}}},$$

where $\mathbf{d}=(d_j)$ and the sum is over all $\underline{\mathbf{d}}=(\underline{d}_j)$ such that $d_i=\sum_{j=i\bmod m}\underline{d}_j$, see [VV, Remark 6.1]. Here $\hat{\mathbf{U}}_\infty$ is a completion of $\mathbf{U}_\infty$. Given $x\in\mathcal{S}_d$ and $\underline{x}\in\underline{\mathcal{S}}_d$ let $m_{x,\underline{x}}$ be the coefficient of $\underline{\mathbf{b}}_{\underline{x}}$ in the infinite sum $\Delta(\mathbf{b}_x)$.

Theorem. *(i) If $\underline{\mathbf{L}}$ is a simple $\underline{\mathbf{H}}_d$-module and $\mathbf{L}_x$ is a Jordan-Hölder factor in $\mathbf{H}_d\otimes_{\underline{\mathbf{H}}_d}\underline{\mathbf{L}}$, then the Langlands parameter of $\underline{\mathbf{L}}$ belongs to $\underline{\mathcal{C}}_d[\ell]$ for some ℓ.*

(ii) $m_{x,\underline{x}}$ is the Jordan-Hölder multiplicity of $\mathbf{L}_x$ in $\mathbf{H}_d\otimes_{\underline{\mathbf{H}}_d}\underline{\mathbf{L}}_{\underline{x}}$.

Proof: The induction from $\underline{\mathbf{H}}_d$-modules to $\mathbf{H}_d$-modules is an exact functor. It yields a linear map $\Gamma\,:\,\underline{\mathbf{G}}_\infty\to\mathbf{G}_\infty$. Let $\gamma\,:\,\underline{\mathcal{S}}_\infty\to\mathcal{S}_\infty$ be the map taking the $\underline{G}$-conjugacy class of $(\underline{s},\underline{e})$ to the G_1-conjugacy class of $((\underline{s},\tau),\underline{e})$. We have $\Gamma(\underline{\mathbf{M}}_{\underline{x}})=\mathbf{M}_{\gamma(\underline{x})}$ by Lemma 6.6. Let $\delta\,:\,\mathbf{T}_\infty\to\hat{\underline{\mathbf{T}}}_\infty$ be the map dual to Γ, where $\hat{\underline{\mathbf{T}}}_\infty$ is a completion of $\underline{\mathbf{T}}_\infty$. Hence $\delta(\mathbf{f}_x)=\sum_{\gamma(\underline{x})=x}\underline{\mathbf{f}}_{\underline{x}}$. The square

$$\begin{array}{ccc}\mathbf{T}_\infty & \stackrel{\delta}{\longrightarrow} & \hat{\underline{\mathbf{T}}}_\infty\\ \| & & \|\\ \mathbf{U}_m & \stackrel{\Delta}{\longrightarrow} & \hat{\mathbf{U}}_\infty\end{array}$$

is commutative, because $\Delta(\mathbf{f}_x)=\sum_{\gamma(\underline{x})=x}\underline{\mathbf{f}}_{\underline{x}}$ by [VV, Lemma 6.4]. Therefore $m_{x,\underline{x}}$ is the Jordan-Hölder multiplicity of the simple $\mathbf{H}_d$-module $\mathbf{L}_x$ in the induced module $\Gamma(\underline{\mathbf{L}}_{\underline{x}})$. Let $(\underline{s},\underline{e})$ be the Langlands parameters of $\underline{\mathbf{L}}_{\underline{x}}$. Claim (i) is obvious because the Langlands parameters (s,e) of a Jordan-Hölder factor of $\mathbf{H}_d\otimes_{\underline{\mathbf{H}}_d}\underline{\mathbf{L}}_{\underline{x}}$ are such that $\mathrm{Spec}(\underline{s})=\mathrm{Spec}(s)\bmod\tau^{\mathbb{Z}}$. □

Remark. It would be interesting to give a representation theoretic construction of the product in $\mathbf{U}_m$ via the Grothendieck group $\mathbf{G}_\infty$ as for the affine case, see [A] for instance.

9. Other examples

Let $\mathbf{Mod}_a = \Psi_a^\bullet(\mathbf{Mod}_{\mathbf{K}_a}) \subset \mathbf{Mod}_{\mathbf{H}_{\zeta,\tau}}$. Let $\underline{\mathbf{Mod}}_a$ be the category of finite-dimensional $\underline{\mathbf{H}}_\zeta$-modules whose central character belongs to the orbit $W(s')$.

9.1. The generic case. Assume that $1, \zeta \notin \{\tau^n \underline{s}^\alpha\,;\, \alpha \in \underline{\Phi}, n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}\}$. Then the induction functor $\mathbf{L} \to \mathbf{H}^{\mathrm{ch}}_{\zeta,\tau} \otimes_{\underline{\mathbf{H}}_\zeta} \mathbf{L}$ takes simple modules in $\underline{\mathbf{Mod}}_a$ to simple modules in $\mathbf{Mod}_a$ by [C1, Proposition 6.6]. The proof of Cherednik uses intertwining operators. A geometric proof of the same result is as follows : we have $\Pi(a)^\vee = \underline{\Pi}(a)^\vee$ and $n_{a,\chi,\chi'} = \underline{n}_{a,\chi,\chi'}$, because $G^s = \underline{G}^s$ and $\mathcal{N}^a = \underline{\mathcal{N}}^a$; hence the claim follows from Lemmas 6.5, 6.6 and 6.7.

9.2. The case $s' = 1$. Set $s' = 1$. The Fourier transform gives an equivalence of categories $\mathbf{Mod}_a \to \mathbf{Mod}_{\bar{a}}$ by 7.3. Hence we may assume that (τ, ζ) is regular. Then $\mathcal{N}^a = \{0\}$ unless $\zeta = \tau^k$ with $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{<0}$, and we get $\mathcal{N}^a = \underline{\mathcal{N}} \otimes \varepsilon^k$, $G^s = H \cdot \underline{G}$. Therefore the map $x \mapsto x \otimes \varepsilon^k$ gives a bijection from the set of $\underline{G}$-orbits in $\underline{\mathcal{N}}$ to the set of G^s-orbits in $\mathcal{N}^a$. Conjecturally, $\Pi(a)^\vee$ is equal to the set of irreducible $\underline{G}$-equivariant local systems on $\underline{\mathcal{N}}$ which occur in the homology of the Springer fibers in $\underline{\mathcal{B}}$. Then, if $\underline{G}$ is a classical group, there would be a natural bijection between the simple $\underline{W}$-modules and the simple objects in $\mathbf{Mod}_a$ by [S, Theorem 2.5]. However the category $\mathbf{Mod}_a$ is not semisimple. Probably $\mathbf{Mod}_a$ is equivalent to the category of W-modules with trivial central character. Note also that the induction functor $\underline{\mathbf{Mod}}_a \to \mathbf{Mod}_a$ is trivial because $\underline{\mathbf{Mod}}_a$ is trivial.

9.3. Finite dimensional modules. Fix $k, m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ with $(m, k) = 1$. Assume that $\zeta^m = \tau^k$. Fix $e \in \mathcal{N}^a$. The nil-element e may be not nilpotent. Recall that e is regular semisimple *nil-elliptic* if it is regular semisimple and the group of cocharacters of the centralizer of e in $\underline{G}(K)$ is trivial. Then $\mathcal{B}_e$ is an algebraic variety by[KL2, Corollary 3.2]. Thus $\mathbf{N}_{a,e,\chi}$ is finite dimensional for any χ.

Let $\mathrm{ht} : \underline{\Phi} \to \mathbb{Z}$ be the height, and $h = \mathrm{ht}(\theta) + 1$. Let r be the rank of $\underline{\mathfrak{g}}$, and $\rho^\vee = \sum_{i \in \underline{I}} \omega_i^\vee$. Hence $2\rho^\vee \in \underline{Y}^\vee$. Fix $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ with $b < h$ and $k = ah + b$. Set $\Pi_k = \{(\alpha, \ell) \in \underline{\Phi} \times \mathbb{Z}\,;\, (\mathrm{ht}(\alpha), \ell) = (b, a), (b - h, 1 + a)\}$. For any $\alpha \in \underline{\Phi}$ we fix a non-zero element $e_\alpha \in \underline{\mathfrak{g}}_\alpha$. Assume that $m = h$. Fix a $2h$-th root of τ such that $\zeta = \tau^{k/h}$. Put

$$e_k = \sum_{(\alpha,\ell) \in \Pi_k} e_\alpha \otimes \varepsilon^\ell, \quad \underline{s} = (2\rho^\vee) \otimes \tau^{1/2h}, \quad s = (\underline{s}, \tau), \quad a_k = (s, \zeta).$$

Lemma. *(i) $\mathfrak{g}^{a_k}$ is the linear span of $\{e_\alpha \otimes \varepsilon^\ell\,;\, (\alpha, \ell) \in \Pi_k\}$, and $G^s = H$.*

(ii) There is a finite number of G^s-orbits in $\mathfrak{g}^{a_k}$. The orbit containing e_k is dense.

Proof: The first part of (i) is immediate because

$$\mathrm{ad}_s(e_\alpha \otimes \varepsilon^\ell) = \tau^{\ell + \mathrm{ht}(\alpha)/h} e_\alpha \otimes \varepsilon^\ell$$

for all α, ℓ. For the second part it is sufficient to observe that G^s is generated by H and the U_β's with $\mathfrak{g}^s_\beta \neq \{0\}$ because it is connected by Lemma 2.13, and that $\mathrm{ad}_s(e_\alpha \otimes \varepsilon^\ell) \neq e_\alpha \otimes \varepsilon^\ell$ for all $(\alpha, \ell) \in \underline{\Phi} \times \mathbb{Z}$ because τ, ζ are not roots of unity.

Let us prove (ii). Assume first that $k = 1$. Recall that $H = \underline{H} \times \mathbb{C}^\times_\delta \times \mathbb{C}^\times_{\omega_0}$ with $\mathbb{C}^\times_{\omega_0}$ acting trivially on $\mathfrak{g}$. We have $\Pi_1 = \{\alpha_i\,;\, i \in I\}$. Thus we must count the

orbits of a $(r+1)$-torus acting on a $(r+1)$-vector space. The set of weights of $\mathfrak{g}^{a_1}$ is Π_1, and $\mathrm{Lie}(\underline{H}\times\mathbb{C}^\times_\delta)$ is linearly spanned by $\{\omega_i^\vee\,;\,i\in I\}$. Thus there are 2^{r+1} orbits. Let $R_k\subset\underline{\Phi}$ be the image of Π_k by the first projection. By [F, Lemma 2.2] there is an element $w\in\underline{W}$ such that $w(R_k)=R_1$. Set $\rho^\vee=\sum_{i\in\underline{I}}\omega_i^\vee\in\underline{Y}^\vee$, and $g=\rho^\vee\otimes\varepsilon^{1/h}\in\underline{G}(K_h)$. Then $\dot w\circ\mathrm{ad}_g(\mathfrak{g}^{a_k}),\mathrm{ad}_g(\mathfrak{g}^{a_1})\subset\underline{\mathfrak{g}}\otimes K_h$ are linearly spanned by $\{e_\alpha\otimes\varepsilon^{k/h}\,;\,\alpha\in R_1\}$, $\{e_\alpha\otimes\varepsilon^{1/h}\,;\,\alpha\in R_1\}$ respectively. Thus there is the same number of H-orbits in $\dot w\circ\mathrm{ad}_g(\mathfrak{g}^{a_k})$ and $\mathrm{ad}_g(\mathfrak{g}^{a_1})$. The lemma follows. □

We have $\mathcal{N}^{a_k}=\mathfrak{g}^{a_k}$ by Proposition 2.14.(*iii*). Since there are a finite number of G^s-orbits in $\mathcal{N}^{a_k}$ the discussion before Proposition 6.3 still holds. In particular the simple perverse sheaves $S_{a_k,\chi}$ occuring in L_{a_k} are intersection cohomology complexes of irreducible G^s-equivariant local systems on $\mathcal{N}^{a_k}$, and the corresponding representations of $\Pi(s,e)$ must occur in $\mathbf{H}_*(\mathcal{B}^s_e)$ for any $e\in\mathcal{N}^{a_k}$. It can be shown that $\mathcal{B}^s=\{\mathfrak{b}_w\,;\,w\in W\}$ by using the Bruhat decomposition for G'. Hence G^s acts trivially on $\mathcal{B}^s$, because it is connected. Thus $\Pi(s,e)$ acts trivially on $\mathbf{H}_*(\mathcal{B}^s_e)$. Therefore the local systems above are all trivial. Moreover the $\mathbf{H}_{\tau,\zeta}$-module $\mathbf{H}_*(\mathcal{B}^s_{e_k})$ is simple because the orbit of e_k is dense. Obviously $\mathcal{B}^s_{e_k}$ has no odd cohomology. Thus $\dim\mathbf{H}_*(\mathcal{B}^s_{e_k})=k^r$ by [F, Proposition 1]. Therefore we get the following.

Proposition. $\mathbf{H}_*(\mathcal{B}^s_{e_k})$ *is a simple* $\mathbf{H}_{\tau,\zeta}$*-module of dimension* k^r.

Remarks. (*i*) Probably $\mathbf{H}_*(\mathcal{B}^s_{e_k})$ and $\mathrm{IM}^\bullet(\mathbf{H}_*(\mathcal{B}^s_{e_k}))$, with $k>0$, $m=h$, and $(k,h)=1$, are the only finite dimensional simple $\mathbf{H}$-modules if τ, ζ are not roots of unity and $\underline{G}$ is of type A. This is false in general : for instance, if $\underline{G}=\mathrm{SP}(2r)$, $e=\sum_{(\alpha,\ell)\in\Pi_1}e_\alpha\otimes\varepsilon^{r\ell}$, $\underline{s}=(2\rho^\vee)\otimes\tau^{1/4}$, and $\zeta=\tau^{1/2}$, then $\mathrm{ad}_s e=\zeta e$ and the element e is regular semisimple nil-elliptic. This yields a finite dimensional $\mathbf{H}_{\tau,\zeta}$-representation on $\mathbf{H}_*(\mathcal{B}^s_e)$ which can not be of the previous type because $h=2r$.

(*ii*) A direct computation gives $\Pi(s,e_k)=\mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}$ if $\underline{G}=\mathrm{SL}_{r+1}$.

9.4. Comparison with [L5]**.** Let us compare our construction with Lusztig's W-action on the homology of the affine Springer fibers. This comparison is not used in the rest of the paper. Proofs are not given. The W-action in [L5] is described via a familly of compatible W_J-actions, for each $J\subsetneq I$.

Let $\mathbf{H}'_J$ be the graded Hecke algebra associated to $\mathbf{H}_J$, see [EM] for instance. There is an obvious surjective $\mathbb{C}$-algebra homomorphism $\mathbf{H}'_J\to\mathbb{C}W_J$.

Let $\mathcal{N}_J$ the nilpotent cone of G_J, and $p_J:\dot{\mathcal{N}}_J\to\mathcal{N}_J$ be the Springer map. There is a commutative square of algebra homomorphisms

$$\begin{array}{ccc}\mathbf{H}'_J & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \mathbf{Ext}_{D_{G_J\times\mathbb{C}^\times_q}(\mathcal{N}_J)}\big((p_J)_!\mathbb{C},(p_J)_!\mathbb{C}\big)\\ \downarrow & & \downarrow\\ \mathbb{C}W_J & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \mathbf{Hom}_{D_{G_J\times\mathbb{C}^\times_q}(\mathcal{N}_J)}\big((p_J)_!\mathbb{C},(p_J)_!\mathbb{C}\big).\end{array}$$

Set

$$^w\mathcal{T}^{k\ell}_J=(U_k^-\setminus{}^w\mathcal{G})\times_{B_J}(\mathcal{N}_J+\mathfrak{u}_J/\mathfrak{u}_\ell),\quad {}^w\mathcal{T}_J={}^w\mathcal{G}\times_{B_J}(\mathcal{N}_J+\mathfrak{u}_J),$$

with $\mathfrak{u}_\ell=\varepsilon^\ell\cdot\mathfrak{u}_J$, $\ell,k\geq 0$, and $w\in W^Jw_J$. The group A acts on $^w\mathcal{T}^{k\ell}_J$, $^w\mathcal{T}^{k\ell}$. The obvious projection $p:{}^w\mathcal{T}^{k\ell}\to{}^w\mathcal{T}^{k\ell}_J$ is proper, and commutes with A-action. Fix a non empty, separated, open subset $\mathcal{U}_J\subset{}^w\mathcal{T}^{k\ell}_J$ which is preserved by the A-action.

Set $\mathcal{U}=p^{-1}\mathcal{U}_J$. Let $p_{\mathcal{U}}$ be the restriction of p to $\mathcal{U}$. From [L5, 5.3] we get a graded algebra homomorphism

$$\mathbf{Ext}_{D_{G_J\times\mathbb{C}_q^\times}(\mathcal{N}_J)}\big((p_J)_!\mathbb{C},(p_J)_!\mathbb{C}\big)\to\mathbf{Ext}_{D_A(\mathcal{U}_J)}\big((p_{\mathcal{U}})_!\mathbb{C},(p_{\mathcal{U}})_!\mathbb{C}\big).$$

The right hand side is $\mathbf{H}_*^A(\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{U}})$, where $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{U}}={}^w\mathcal{Z}^{k\ell}_{\leq w_J}\cap\mathcal{U}^2$. We get the commutative square of algebra homomorphisms

$$\begin{array}{ccc}\mathbf{H}'_J & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{H}_*^A(\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{U}})\\ \downarrow & & \downarrow\\ \mathbb{C}W_J & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{H}_{top}(\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{U}}),\end{array}\tag{9.4.1}$$

where the subscript 'top' means that we only consider the homology groups of maximal degrees. The right vertical map is evaluation at 0 in $\mathrm{Lie}(A)$.

Fix $e\in\mathcal{N}$. Set $\mathcal{B}_{e,\leq w}=\mathcal{B}_e\cap\mathcal{B}_{\leq w}$. Fix w such that $\mathcal{B}_{e,\leq w}\neq\emptyset$. Fix k such that $\mathcal{B}_{e,\leq w}\subset{}^w\mathcal{T}^{k\ell}$. Fix $\mathcal{U}_J$ such that $\mathcal{B}_{e,\leq w}\subset\mathcal{U}$. The ring $(\mathbf{H}_{top}(\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{U}}),\star)$ acts on $\mathbf{H}_*(\mathcal{B}_{e,\leq w})$, yielding a W_J-action on $\mathbf{H}_*(\mathcal{B}_e)=\varinjlim_w\mathbf{H}_*(\mathcal{B}_{e,\leq w})$. This is Lusztig's action.

Let $a=(s,\zeta)$ be as in 2.12. Fix k,ℓ and $\mathcal{U}_J$ such that

$$\mathcal{U}^a=({}^w\mathcal{T})^a=({}^w\mathcal{T}^{k\ell})^a,$$

see 2.13. Note that $\mathcal{U}$ is a smooth scheme of finite type. Composing the upper horizontal map in (9.4.1) with the concentration map relative to $\mathcal{U}^2$ we get an algebra homomorphism

$$\psi:\mathbf{H}'_J\to\mathbf{H}_*(\mathcal{Z}^a_{\leq w_J}).$$

Hence $\mathbf{H}'_J$ acts on $\mathbf{H}_*(\mathcal{B}_e^s)$ by convolution whenever $e\in\mathcal{N}^a$. In 6.2 we defined a $\mathbf{H}_J$-action on $\mathbf{H}_*(\mathcal{B}_e^s)$.

Claim. *There are increasing $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$-filtrations on $\mathbf{H}_J$ and $\mathbf{H}_*(\mathcal{B}_e^s)$ such that the graded ring associated to $\mathbf{H}_J$ is $\mathbf{H}'_J$, and the representations of $\mathbf{H}_J$ and $\mathbf{H}'_J$ on $\mathbf{H}_*(\mathcal{B}_e^s)$ coincide modulo lower terms in the filtration.*

Remark. Clearly the representations of $\mathbf{H}'_J$ on $\mathbf{H}_*(\mathcal{B}_e^s)$ are compatible, when J varies, yielding a representation of the degenerated double affine Hecke algebra.

A. Appendix : K-theory

A.1. Generalities. Let G be a linear group. In A.1 all schemes are Noetherian. Given a G-scheme $\mathcal{X}$, we say that $(G,\mathcal{X})$ has the resolution property if any G-equivariant coherent sheaf on $\mathcal{X}$ is the quotient of a G-equivariant locally free sheaf, and the quotient map commutes with the G-action. It is known that $(G,\mathcal{X})$ has the resolution property if $\mathcal{X}$ is smooth and separated, see [T1]. If the scheme $\mathcal{X}$ is smooth and not separated the pair $(G,\mathcal{X})$ has not the resolution property in general, see [TT, Exercice 8.6]. If $(G,\mathcal{X})$ has the resolution property and $\mathcal{X}$ is smooth then the natural group homomorphism $\mathbf{K}^i_G(\mathcal{X})\to\mathbf{K}^G_i(\mathcal{X})$ is an isomorphism. In the following we give a reminder on equivariant K-theory for non separated schemes.

(A.1.1) Let $f:\mathcal{P}\to\mathcal{X}$ be a G-equivariant map of G-schemes which is a H-torsor, with $H\subset G$ a closed normal subgroup. Then Lf^* is an isomorphism

$\mathbf{K}_i^{G/H}(\mathcal{X}) \to \mathbf{K}_i^G(\mathcal{P})$, see [T3]. In particular if $G = G_1 \times G_2$ and $\mathcal{Y}$ is a G_1-scheme, then $\mathcal{P} \times_{G_1} \mathcal{Y}$ is a G_2-scheme and there is the map

$$\mathbf{K}_i^{G_1}(\mathcal{Y}) \to \mathbf{K}_i^G(\mathcal{P} \times \mathcal{Y}) \to \mathbf{K}_i^{G_2}(\mathcal{P} \times_{G_1} \mathcal{Y}),$$

such that the first arrow takes E to $\mathbb{C} \boxtimes E$, and the second arrow is the inverse of the pull-back by the projection $\mathcal{P} \times \mathcal{Y} \to \mathcal{P} \times_{G_1} \mathcal{Y}$. The homotopy invariance and the existence of localization long exact sequences are proved in [T3].

(A.1.2) The following lemma and its proof are taken from the proof of [T2, Lemma 4.3].

Lemma. *$\mathcal{X}$ contains an open dense subset which is G-invariant and separated.*

Proof: Fix a dense open affine subset $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathcal{X}$. The map $G \times \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{X}$, $(g, x) \mapsto g \cdot x$ is flat of finite type. Hence it is open. Thus $G \cdot \mathcal{U} \subset \mathcal{X}$ is an open dense subset. The open dense subset $G^\circ \cdot \mathcal{U} \subset \mathcal{X}$ is separated by the following argument taken from [R, Lemma V.3.11] that we reproduce for the comfort of the reader. Assume that S is the spectrum of a discrete valuation field whith generic point x and closed point y, and assume that f_1, f_2 are maps $S \to G^\circ \cdot \mathcal{U}$ such that $f_1(x) = f_2(x)$. Set $U_i = \{g \in G^\circ \, ; \, g \cdot f_i(y) \in \mathcal{U}\}$. They are non-empty open subsets of G°. Hence $U_1 \cap U_2 \neq \emptyset$. Fix $g \in U_1 \cap U_2$. Then $f_1(y), f_2(y) \in g^{-1} \cdot \mathcal{U}$. Thus $f_1 = f_2$ because $g^{-1} \cdot \mathcal{U}$ is separated. Since G/G° is finite, $G \cdot (\mathcal{X} \setminus G^\circ \cdot \mathcal{U})$ is a finite union of nowhere dense closed subspaces of $\mathcal{X}$. Hence $\mathcal{X} \setminus G \cdot (\mathcal{X} \setminus G^\circ \cdot \mathcal{U})$ is a G-invariant open dense subset. It is separated because it is contained in $G^\circ \cdot \mathcal{U}$. □

(A.1.3) Assume that the group G is diagonalizable and $g \in G$. Recall that $\mathbf{K}_j^G(\mathcal{X})_g$ is the specialization of the $\mathbf{R}_G$-module $\mathbf{K}_j^G(\mathcal{X})$ at the maximal ideal associated to g, see 1.4.

Theorem. *If the natural inclusion $f : \mathcal{X}^g \to \mathcal{X}$ is a closed immersion, then the direct image $Rf_* : \mathbf{K}_i^G(\mathcal{X}^g)_g \to \mathbf{K}_i^G(\mathcal{X})_g$ is an isomorphism.*

Proof: The proof of [T4, Theorem 2.1] extends easily to the case of non separated schemes. Since f is a closed immersion and localization long exact sequences do exist, we are reduced to check that $\mathbf{K}_i^G(\mathcal{X})_g = 0$ for any G-scheme such that $\mathcal{X}^g = \emptyset$. To do so, it is sufficient to construct a non empty open subset $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathcal{X}$ which is preserved by the G-action and such that $\mathbf{K}_i^G(\mathcal{U})_g = 0$ because, then, a Noetherian induction on closed G-subsets of $\mathcal{X}$ implies that $\mathbf{K}_i^G(\mathcal{X} \setminus \mathcal{U})_g = 0$, and thus $\mathbf{K}_i^G(\mathcal{X})_g = 0$ by the localization long exact sequence. By Lemma A.1.2, $\mathcal{X}$ contains an open dense subset $\mathcal{U}$ which is G-invariant and separated. Hence $\mathbf{K}_i^G(\mathcal{U})_g = 0$ by the concentration theorem for separated G-schemes. We are done. □

Assume that $\mathcal{X}$ is smooth and f is a closed immersion. Then $\mathcal{X}^g$ is smooth, possibly non separated, because smoothness is a local condition and $\mathcal{X}$ can be covered by G-invariant open and separated subschemes. Let N be the conormal bundle of $\mathcal{X}^g$ in $\mathcal{X}$. The element $\lambda(N) = \sum_{i \geq 0} (-1)^i \bigwedge^i(N) \in \mathbf{K}_G(\mathcal{X}^g)_g$ is invertible. For any G-equivariant vector bundle E on $\mathcal{X}$ we have $f_*(\lambda(N)^{-1} \otimes (E|_{\mathcal{X}^g})) = E$ in $\mathbf{K}^G(\mathcal{X})_g$.

(A.1.4) The tor-product $\otimes^L : \mathbf{K}^G(\mathcal{X}) \times \mathbf{K}^G(\mathcal{X}) \to \mathbf{K}^G(\mathcal{X})$ is well-defined if $\mathcal{X}$ is smooth, possibly non separated. A naive proof is that the local Tor exist because $\mathcal{X}$ can be covered by G-invariant separated open subsets, see above. More

rigorously, let $\mathbf{Qcoh}^G(\mathcal{X})$ be the Abelian category of G-equivariant quasi-coherent sheaves on $\mathcal{X}$. Let $\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{Qcoh}^G(\mathcal{X}))$ be its derived category. Let $\mathbf{D}^b(\mathbf{Qcoh}^G(\mathcal{X})) \subset \mathbf{D}(\mathbf{Qcoh}^G(\mathcal{X}))$ be the full subcategory whose objects are the complexes with bounded cohomology. Let also $\mathbf{D}^G(\mathcal{X})_{pf} \subset \mathbf{D}^b(\mathbf{Qcoh}^G(\mathcal{X}))$ be the full subcategory whose objects are perfect complexes (i.e. complexes locally quasi-isomorphic to a bounded complex of locally free sheaves of finite type), and let $\mathbf{D}^G(\mathcal{X})_{coh} \subset \mathbf{D}^b(\mathbf{Qcoh}^G(\mathcal{X}))$ be the full subcategory whose objects are the complexes with coherent cohomology. Then $\mathbf{K}^G(\mathcal{X}) = \mathbf{K}(\mathbf{D}^G(\mathcal{X})_{coh})$ because $\mathcal{X}$ is Noetherian, and $\mathbf{K}(\mathbf{D}^G(\mathcal{X})_{coh}) = \mathbf{K}(\mathbf{D}^G(\mathcal{X})_{pf})$ because $\mathcal{X}$ is smooth and Noetherian, see [SGA6, Ch IV, §2.4-5]. Note that [SGA6] considers only the non-equivariant case. The general case follows from the resolution property of smooth separated G-schemes and the fact that $\mathcal{X}$ can be covered by G-invariant separated open subsets by Lemma A.1.2. Since the derived tensor product is well-defined on $\mathbf{D}^G(\mathcal{X})_{pf}$, we have a derived tensor product on $\mathbf{K}^G(\mathcal{X})$.

(A.1.5) Let $\mathcal{Y}_1, \mathcal{X}_1$ be G-schemes with $\mathcal{Y}_1 \subset \mathcal{X}_1$ closed. Let $f : \mathcal{X}_2 \to \mathcal{X}_1$ be a closed immersion of G-schemes, and $\mathcal{Y}_2 = f^{-1}(\mathcal{Y}_1) \subset \mathcal{X}_2$. If $\mathcal{X}_1$ is smooth then the pull-back $Lf^* : \mathbf{K}^G(\mathcal{Y}_1) \to \mathbf{K}^G(\mathcal{Y}_2)$, $\mathcal{E} \mapsto \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_2} \otimes^L \mathcal{E}$, respectively to the tor-product on $\mathcal{X}_1$, is well-defined.

Other conventions. We may identify a sheaf on $\mathcal{X}$ and its class in $\mathbf{K}^G(\mathcal{X})$. We write $\otimes$, f_*, f^* instead of $\otimes^L$, Rf_*, Lf^*. To avoid confusion we may also write $\otimes_{\mathcal{X}}$ for $\otimes$.

A.2. The $\star$-product. Let $\mathcal{S}$ be a smooth Noetherian G-scheme. Fix closed G-subschemes ${}^{12}\tilde{\mathcal{Z}}, {}^{13}\tilde{\mathcal{Z}}, {}^{23}\tilde{\mathcal{Z}} \subset \mathcal{S}$ such that ${}^{12}\tilde{\mathcal{Z}} \cap {}^{23}\tilde{\mathcal{Z}} \subset {}^{13}\tilde{\mathcal{Z}}$. Let $g_{ij} : {}^{ij}\tilde{\mathcal{Z}} \to {}^{ij}\mathcal{Z}$ be smooth G-maps such that the restriction of g_{13} to ${}^{12}\tilde{\mathcal{Z}} \cap {}^{23}\tilde{\mathcal{Z}}$ is proper. The $\star$-product relative to $\mathcal{S}$ is

$$\mathbf{K}^G({}^{12}\mathcal{Z}) \times \mathbf{K}^G({}^{23}\mathcal{Z}) \to \mathbf{K}^G({}^{13}\mathcal{Z}),\ (E,F) \mapsto g_{13*}(g_{12}^*E \otimes_{\mathcal{S}} g_{23}^*F).$$

The following situation is standard : $\mathcal{T}$ is a smooth Noetherian G-scheme, ${}^{12}\mathcal{Z}, {}^{13}\mathcal{Z}, {}^{23}\mathcal{Z}$ are closed subsets in $\mathcal{T}^2$ preserved by the diagonal G-action, $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{T}^3$, and $q_{ij} : \mathcal{S} \to \mathcal{T}^2$ is the projection along the factor not named such that q_{13} restricts to a proper map $q_{12}^{-1}({}^{12}\mathcal{Z}) \cap q_{23}^{-1}({}^{23}\mathcal{Z}) \to {}^{13}\mathcal{Z}$. Then the $\star$-product relative to $\mathcal{S}$ takes (E,F) to $q_{13*}(q_{12}^*E \otimes_{\mathcal{S}} q_{23}^*F)$. If ${}^{12}\mathcal{Z} = {}^{23}\mathcal{Z} = {}^{13}\mathcal{Z} = \mathcal{Z}$ then $(\mathbf{K}^G(\mathcal{Z}), \star)$ is a $\mathbf{R}_G$-algebra. This example adapts easily to the case where $\mathcal{S}$ is the product of three distinct smooth schemes. This generalization is left to the reader.

If $G = \{1\}$, $p : \mathcal{T} \to \mathcal{N}$ is proper with $\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{N}$ separated, and $\mathcal{Z} = \mathcal{T} \times_{\mathcal{N}} \mathcal{T}$, there is a linear map $\Phi : \mathbf{K}_0(\mathcal{Z}) \to \mathbf{Ext}_{\mathbf{D}(\mathcal{N})}(p_*\mathbb{C}_{\mathcal{T}}, p_*\mathbb{C}_{\mathcal{T}})$ taking the $\star$-product to the Yoneda product. It is the composition of the bivariant Riemann-Roch map RR : $\mathbf{K}_0(\mathcal{Z}) \to \mathbf{H}_*(\mathcal{Z})$ relative to $\mathcal{T}^2$, see [CG, 5.11], and of the chain of isomorphisms

$$\mathbf{H}_*(\mathcal{Z}) \doteq \mathbf{H}^*(\mathcal{Z}, \mathbb{D}_{\mathcal{Z}}) \doteq \mathbf{Ext}_{\mathbf{D}(\mathcal{Z})}(q_1^*\mathbb{C}_{\mathcal{T}}, q_2^!\mathbb{C}_{\mathcal{T}}) =$$

$$= \mathbf{Ext}_{\mathbf{D}(\mathcal{T})}(q_{2!}q_1^*\mathbb{C}_{\mathcal{T}}, \mathbb{C}_{\mathcal{T}}) = \mathbf{Ext}_{\mathbf{D}(\mathcal{T})}(p^*p_!\mathbb{C}_{\mathcal{T}}, \mathbb{C}_{\mathcal{T}}) = \mathbf{Ext}_{\mathbf{D}(\mathcal{N})}(p_*\mathbb{C}_{\mathcal{T}}, p_*\mathbb{C}_{\mathcal{T}}).$$

Moreover Φ is invertible if RR is invertible.

A.3. Functoriality of $\star$. For each $k = 1, 2$ we fix $\mathcal{T}_k$, $\mathcal{Z}_k \subset (\mathcal{T}_k)^2$, and $\mathcal{S}_k = (\mathcal{T}_k)^3$ as in A.2. Let $f : \mathcal{T}_2 \to \mathcal{T}_1$ be a flat G-map such that $(f \times f)(\mathcal{Z}_2) \subset \mathcal{Z}_1$.

(A.3.1) Assume that $f \times \mathrm{Id}$ restricts to a proper map $\mathcal{Z}_2 \to (\mathrm{Id} \times f)^{-1}(\mathcal{Z}_1)$. Then the maps $(f \times \mathrm{Id})_* : \mathbf{K}^G(\mathcal{Z}_2) \to \mathbf{K}^G((\mathrm{Id} \times f)^{-1}(\mathcal{Z}_1))$ and $(\mathrm{Id} \times f)^* : \mathbf{K}^G(\mathcal{Z}_1) \to \mathbf{K}^G((\mathrm{Id} \times f)^{-1}(\mathcal{Z}_1))$ are well-defined. If $(f \times \mathrm{Id})_*(E_2) = (\mathrm{Id} \times f)^*(E_1)$, and $(f \times \mathrm{Id})_*(F_2) = (\mathrm{Id} \times f)^*(F_1)$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
(f \times \mathrm{Id})_*(E_2 \star F_2) &= (f \times \mathrm{Id})_* q_{13*}((E_2 \boxtimes \mathbb{C}) \otimes (\mathbb{C} \boxtimes F_2)),\\
&= q_{13*}(f \times f \times \mathrm{Id})_*((E_2 \boxtimes \mathbb{C}) \otimes (f \times \mathrm{Id} \times \mathrm{Id})^*(\mathbb{C} \boxtimes F_2)),\\
&= q_{13*}(\mathrm{Id} \times f \times \mathrm{Id})_*((\mathrm{Id} \times f \times \mathrm{Id})^*(E_1 \boxtimes \mathbb{C}) \otimes (\mathbb{C} \boxtimes F_2)),\\
&= q_{13*}((E_1 \boxtimes \mathbb{C}) \otimes (\mathrm{Id} \times \mathrm{Id} \times f)^*(\mathbb{C} \boxtimes F_1)),\\
&= q_{13*}(\mathrm{Id} \times \mathrm{Id} \times f)^*((E_1 \boxtimes \mathbb{C}) \otimes (\mathbb{C} \boxtimes F_1)),\\
&= (\mathrm{Id} \times f)^* q_{13*}((E_1 \boxtimes \mathbb{C}) \otimes (\mathbb{C} \boxtimes F_1)),\\
&= (\mathrm{Id} \times f)^*(E_1 \star F_1).
\end{aligned}$$

The 3-rd and 4-th equalities follow from the projection formula, the 6-th equality follows from base change.

If $(f \times \mathrm{Id})_*$ is invertible we set $f^\sharp = (f \times \mathrm{Id})_*^{-1}(\mathrm{Id} \times f)^* : \mathbf{K}^G(\mathcal{Z}_1) \to \mathbf{K}^G(\mathcal{Z}_2)$, if $(\mathrm{Id} \times f)^*$ is invertible we set ${}_\sharp f = (\mathrm{Id} \times f)^{*-1}(f \times \mathrm{Id})_* : \mathbf{K}^G(\mathcal{Z}_2) \to \mathbf{K}^G(\mathcal{Z}_1)$. Both maps are $\star$-homomorphisms.

Examples. (*i*) If $\mathcal{T}_1 = \mathcal{T}_2$, $f = \mathrm{Id}$, and $\mathcal{Z}_2$ is closed in $\mathcal{Z}_1$ then ${}_\sharp f$ makes sense.

(*ii*) If f is open immersion such that $\mathcal{Z}_2 = (\mathrm{Id} \times f)^{-1}(\mathcal{Z}_1)$ then $f^\sharp$ makes sense.

(A.3.2) If $\mathrm{Id} \times f$ restricts to a proper map $\mathcal{Z}_2 \to (f \times \mathrm{Id})^{-1}(\mathcal{Z}_1)$ and $(\mathrm{Id} \times f)_*(E_2) = (f \times \mathrm{Id})^*(E_1)$, $(\mathrm{Id} \times f)_*(F_2) = (f \times \mathrm{Id})^*(F_1)$, then $E_1 \star F_1$, $E_2 \star F_2$ satisfy similar relations.

If $(\mathrm{Id} \times f)_*$ is invertible we set ${}^\sharp f = (\mathrm{Id} \times f)_*^{-1}(f \times \mathrm{Id})^* : \mathbf{K}^G(\mathcal{Z}_1) \to \mathbf{K}^G(\mathcal{Z}_2)$, if $(f \times \mathrm{Id})^*$ is invertible we set $f_\sharp = (f \times \mathrm{Id})^{*-1}(\mathrm{Id} \times f)_* : \mathbf{K}^G(\mathcal{Z}_2) \to \mathbf{K}^G(\mathcal{Z}_1)$. Both maps are $\star$-homomorphisms.

(A.3.3) Fiven $g \in G$, let $i : \mathcal{T}^g \to \mathcal{T}$ be the natural inclusion. Assume that G is a diagonalizable group and i is a closed immersion (for instance if $\mathcal{T}$ is separated). The map $(i \times i)_* : \mathbf{K}^G(\mathcal{Z}^g)_g \to \mathbf{K}^G(\mathcal{Z})_g$ is invertible by the Thomason concentration theorem. Let N be the conormal bundle of $\mathcal{T}^g$ in $\mathcal{T}$. The element $\lambda(N) \in \mathbf{K}_G(\mathcal{T}^g)_g$ is invertible, see (A.1.3). The concentration map relative to $\mathcal{T}^2$ is

$$\mathbf{c}_g = (1 \boxtimes \lambda(N)) \otimes (i \times i)_*^{-1} : \mathbf{K}^G(\mathcal{Z})_g \to \mathbf{K}^G(\mathcal{Z}^g)_g,$$

see [CG, 5.11]. It commutes with $\star$ (indeed $\mathbf{c}_g = i^\sharp$, see (A.3.1)).

A.4. Induction. Let G, $\mathcal{T}$, $\mathcal{Z} \subset \mathcal{T}^2$ be as in A.2. Let also $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{T}^3$, $\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{T}^2$, and $q_{ij} : \mathcal{S} \to \mathcal{T}^2$ be the obvious projection. We will assume that $\mathcal{T}$ is separated. Fix another linear group H. Let $\mathcal{P}$ be a H-equivariant G-torsor over a smooth H-scheme $\mathcal{X}$. Set $\mathcal{T}_\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P} \times_G \mathcal{T}$. Idem for $\mathcal{S}_\mathcal{P}$, $\mathcal{Z}_\mathcal{P}$, $\mathcal{U}_\mathcal{P}$. The H-schemes $\mathcal{U}_\mathcal{P}$, $\mathcal{T}_\mathcal{P}$, $\mathcal{S}_\mathcal{P}$ are smooth because the G-action on $\mathcal{P}$ is locally free and $\mathcal{X}$ is smooth. Moreover $\mathcal{Z}_\mathcal{P}$ is closed in $\mathcal{U}_\mathcal{P}$.

(A.4.1) The obvious projection $g_{ij} : \mathcal{S}_\mathcal{P} \to \mathcal{U}_\mathcal{P}$ is smooth, because the base change of a smooth map is again smooth. Set ${}^{ij}\tilde{\mathcal{Z}}_\mathcal{P} = g_{ij}^{-1}(\mathcal{Z}_\mathcal{P})$. The $\star$-product $\mathbf{K}^H(\mathcal{Z}_\mathcal{P}) \times \mathbf{K}^H(\mathcal{Z}_\mathcal{P}) \to \mathbf{K}^H(\mathcal{Z}_\mathcal{P})$ relative to $\mathcal{S}_\mathcal{P}$ is well-defined.

Assume that $\mathcal{X}$ is a separated scheme. Then the map $\delta : \mathcal{Z}_\mathcal{P} \to (\mathcal{T}_\mathcal{P})^2$ composed of the inclusion $\mathcal{Z} \subset \mathcal{T}^2$ and the diagonal $\mathcal{P} \to \mathcal{P}^2$ is a closed immersion. Set $\mathcal{Z}_\mathcal{P}^\delta = \delta(\mathcal{Z}_\mathcal{P})$. There is a $\star$-product $\mathbf{K}^H(\mathcal{Z}_\mathcal{P}^\delta) \times \mathbf{K}^H(\mathcal{Z}_\mathcal{P}^\delta) \to \mathbf{K}^H(\mathcal{Z}_\mathcal{P}^\delta)$ relative to $(\mathcal{T}_\mathcal{P})^3$.

The base change and the projection formula imply that δ_* is a ring isomomorphism $\mathbf{K}^H(\mathcal{Z}_\mathcal{P}) \to \mathbf{K}^H(\mathcal{Z}^\delta_\mathcal{P})$.

(A.4.2) Let $\varphi : \mathcal{P} \times \mathcal{Z} \to \mathcal{Z}$ and $\psi : \mathcal{P} \times \mathcal{Z} \to \mathcal{Z}_\mathcal{P}$ be the natural projections. The composition of the obvious map $\mathbf{K}^G(\mathcal{Z}) \to \mathbf{K}^{G\times H}(\mathcal{Z})$ and of $(\psi^*)^{-1} \circ \varphi^*$ is a $\star$-homomorphism $\mathbf{K}^G(\mathcal{Z}) \to \mathbf{K}^H(\mathcal{Z}_\mathcal{P})$.

(A.4.3) Assume that H is diagonalisable. Fix $h \in H$, and write $\mathcal{Z}^h_\mathcal{P}$, $\mathcal{T}^h_\mathcal{P}$, $\mathcal{U}^h_\mathcal{P}$ for $(\mathcal{Z}_\mathcal{P})^h$, $(\mathcal{T}_\mathcal{P})^h$, $(\mathcal{U}_\mathcal{P})^h$. Assume that $\mathcal{X}^h$ is a closed separated subset of $\mathcal{X}$. Then δ restricts to a closed immersion $\mathcal{Z}^h_\mathcal{P} \to (\mathcal{T}^h_\mathcal{P})^2$. We endow $\mathbf{K}^H(\mathcal{Z}^h_\mathcal{P})$ with the $\star$-product relative to $(\mathcal{T}^h_\mathcal{P})^3$. Let $i : \mathcal{U}^h_P \to \mathcal{U}_\mathcal{P}$ be the natural inclusion. It is a closed immersion. The concentration map relative to $\mathcal{U}_\mathcal{P}$ is

$$\mathbf{c}_h = \lambda(N) \otimes i_*^{-1} : \mathbf{K}^H(\mathcal{Z}_\mathcal{P})_h \to \mathbf{K}^H(\mathcal{Z}^h_\mathcal{P})_h,$$

where N is the pull-back by the 2-nd projection $\mathcal{U}_\mathcal{P} \to \mathcal{T}_\mathcal{P}$ conormal bundle to $\mathcal{T}^h_\mathcal{P}$ in $\mathcal{T}_\mathcal{P}$. The following are easily checked :

(i) $\mathbf{c}_h$ commutes with $\star$,

(ii) if $\mathcal{X}$ is separated then $\delta_* \circ \mathbf{c}_h \circ (\delta_*)^{-1}$ is the concentration relative to $(\mathcal{T}_\mathcal{P})^2$.

(A.4.4) Let $\mathcal{X}' \to \mathcal{X}$ be a smooth H-map. Set $\mathcal{P}' = \mathcal{X}' \times_\mathcal{X} \mathcal{P}$. The 1st projection $\mathcal{P}' \to \mathcal{X}'$ is a H-equivariant G-torsor. The 2nd projection $q : \mathcal{P}' \to \mathcal{P}$ is smooth. Set $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{P}'} = \mathcal{P}' \times_G \mathcal{T}$, etc. Set $q_\mathcal{T} = q \times \mathrm{Id} : \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{P}'} \to \mathcal{T}_\mathcal{P}$, and $q_\mathcal{Z} = q \times \mathrm{Id} : \mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{P}'} \to \mathcal{Z}_\mathcal{P}$. The pull-back $(q_\mathcal{Z})^* : \mathbf{K}^H(\mathcal{Z}_\mathcal{P}) \to \mathbf{K}^H(\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{P}'})$ makes sense because $q_\mathcal{Z}$ is smooth. The following are easily checked :

(i) $(q_\mathcal{Z})^*$ is a $\star$-homomorphism,

(ii) if $\mathcal{X}^h$, $(\mathcal{X}')^h$ are closed and separated then $\mathbf{c}_h \circ (q_\mathcal{Z})^* = (q_h)^\sharp \circ \mathbf{c}_h$, where $q_h : \mathcal{T}^h_{\mathcal{P}'} \to \mathcal{T}^h_\mathcal{P}$ is the restriction of $q_\mathcal{T}$,

(iii) if $\mathcal{X}$ is separated then $\delta_* \circ (q_\mathcal{Z})^* \circ (\delta_*)^{-1} = (q_\mathcal{T})^\sharp$.

A.5. Ind-objects and Pro-objects. Definition of $\mathbf{K}_G(\mathcal{T})$. From now on let $\mathcal{T}^k_\ell$ be Noetherian G-schemes for $k, \ell \geq 0$, with commutative diagrams of affine G-maps

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{T}^{k_2}_{\ell_2} & \xrightarrow{p^{k_2k_1}_{\ell_2}} & \mathcal{T}^{k_1}_{\ell_2} \\ {\scriptstyle p^{k_2}_{\ell_2\ell_1}}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle p^{k_1}_{\ell_2\ell_1}} \\ \mathcal{T}^{k_2}_{\ell_1} & \xrightarrow{p^{k_2k_1}_{\ell_1}} & \mathcal{T}^{k_1}_{\ell_1} \end{array} \qquad (A.5.1)$$

satisfying the obvious composition rules, for any $k_2 \geq k_1$, $\ell_2 \geq \ell_1$. We write $p^{k_2k_1}$ for $p^{k_2k_1}_\ell$, and $p_{\ell_2\ell_1}$ for $p^k_{\ell_2\ell_1}$. We write also $p^{k_2k_1*}$, $p^*_{\ell_2\ell_1}$, $p^{k_2k_1}_*$, for $(p^{k_2k_1})^*$, $(p_{\ell_2\ell_1})^*$, $(p^{k_2k_1})_*$. Let $\mathcal{T}$ be the scheme representing the projective system $(\mathcal{T}^k_\ell, p^{k_2k_1}, p_{\ell_2\ell_1})$. Let $p^k_\ell : \mathcal{T} \to \mathcal{T}^k_\ell$ be the canonical map. We set

$$\mathbf{K}_G(\mathcal{T}) = \varinjlim_{k,\ell} \big(\mathbf{K}_G(\mathcal{T}^k_\ell), p^{k_2k_1*}, p^*_{\ell_2\ell_1}\big).$$

The tensor product by vector bundles endows $\mathbf{K}_G(\mathcal{T})$ with the structure of a $\mathbf{R}_G$-algebra. If $p_{\ell_2\ell_1}$, $p^{k_2k_1}$ are torsors over a vector bundle then $\mathbf{K}_G(\mathcal{T}) \simeq \mathbf{K}_G(\mathcal{T}^0_0)$. Given two pro-$G$-schemes $({}^1\mathcal{T}^k_\ell)$, $({}^2\mathcal{T}^k_\ell)$ as above and G-maps $f^k_\ell : {}^2\mathcal{T}^k_\ell \to {}^1\mathcal{T}^k_\ell$ commuting to $p_{\ell_2\ell_1}$ and $p^{k_2k_1}$, we have the $\mathbf{R}_G$-algebra homomorphism $f^* : \mathbf{K}_G({}^1\mathcal{T}) \to \mathbf{K}_G({}^2\mathcal{T})$ such that $(E^k_\ell) \mapsto ((f^k_\ell)^*(E^k_\ell))$.

If $\mathbf{C}$ is a category, let $\mathbf{C}^\circ$ be the opposite category and $\mathbf{Ind}(\mathbf{C})$, $\mathbf{Pro}(\mathbf{C})$ the categories of ind- and pro-objects in $\mathbf{C}$, see [GV] for background. Objects in $\mathbf{Ind}(\mathbf{C})$ are denoted by "$\varinjlim_i$"C_i where (C_i) is a filtering inductive system over $\mathbf{C}$, while objects in $\mathbf{Pro}(\mathbf{C})$ are denoted by "$\varprojlim_i$"C_i where (C_i) is a filtering projective system over $\mathbf{C}$. Recall that $\mathbf{Ind}(\mathbf{C})$ can be considered as a full subcategory in the category of functors $\mathbf{C}^\circ \to \mathbf{Sets}$, while $\mathbf{Pro}(\mathbf{C}) = \mathbf{Ind}(\mathbf{C}^\circ)^\circ$. Two pro-objects (resp. ind-objects) are isomorphic if they are isomorphic in $\mathbf{Pro}(\mathbf{C})$ (resp. $\mathbf{Ind}(\mathbf{C})$). See [KT1, Section 1] for more details on pro-schemes, including pro-smoothness. Let us quote the following fact from [EGAIV, Corollary 8.13.2].

Proposition. *For any scheme X, the category of pro-objects in the category of affine schemes of finite type over X is equivalent to the category of affine schemes over X via the functor* "$\varprojlim_i$"$C_i \mapsto \varprojlim_i C_i$.

In particular, two pro-objects $(\mathcal{T}_\ell^k, p^{k_2k_1}, p_{\ell_2\ell_1})$ and $('\mathcal{T}_\ell^k, {}'p^{k_2k_1}, {}'p_{\ell_2\ell_1})$ as above representing the same scheme $\mathcal{T}$ are necessarily isomorphic.

A.6. Definition of $\mathbf{K}^G(\mathcal{Z})$. From now on we assume that the square (A.5.1) is Cartesian, $\mathcal{T}_\ell^k$ is smooth, and $p^{k_2k_1}$, $p_{\ell_2\ell_1}$ are smooth locally trivial fibrations.

Given a G-subscheme $\mathcal{Z} \subset \mathcal{T}^2$ we put $\mathcal{Z}_\ell^k = (p_\ell^k \times p_\ell^k)(\mathcal{Z})$. Assume that $\mathcal{Z}_\ell^k$ is closed in $(\mathcal{T}_\ell^k)^2$, that $\mathrm{Id}\times p_{\ell_2\ell_1}$ restricts to an isomorphism $\mathcal{Z}_{\ell_2}^k \to (p_{\ell_2\ell_1}\times\mathrm{Id})^{-1}(\mathcal{Z}_{\ell_1}^k)$, and that $p^{k_2k_1} \times \mathrm{Id}$ restricts to an isomorphism $\mathcal{Z}_\ell^{k_2} \to (\mathrm{Id} \times p^{k_2k_1})^{-1}(\mathcal{Z}_\ell^{k_1})$. The map $(p^{k_2k_1})^\sharp$ commutes with ${}^\sharp p_{\ell_2\ell_1}$ by base change. We set

$$\mathbf{K}^G(\mathcal{Z}) = \varinjlim_{k,\ell} (\mathbf{K}^G(\mathcal{Z}_\ell^k), (p^{k_2k_1})^\sharp, {}^\sharp p_{\ell_2\ell_1}).$$

If $p_{\ell_1\ell_2}$, $p^{k_1k_2}$ are torsors over vector bundles then $\mathbf{K}^G(\mathcal{Z})$ is isomorphic to $\mathbf{K}^G(\mathcal{Z}_0^0)$.

A.7. The $\star$-product on $\mathbf{K}^G(\mathcal{Z})$. Given ${}^{12}\mathcal{Z}$, ${}^{23}\mathcal{Z}$, ${}^{13}\mathcal{Z}$ as above, assume that each ${}^{ij}\mathcal{Z}_\ell^k$ is closed in $(\mathcal{T}_\ell^k)^2$, and that q_{13} restricts to a proper map $q_{12}^{-1}({}^{12}\mathcal{Z}_\ell^k) \cap q_{23}^{-1}({}^{23}\mathcal{Z}_\ell^k) \to {}^{13}\mathcal{Z}_\ell^k$. If $(E_\ell^k) \in \mathbf{K}^G({}^{12}\mathcal{Z})$ and $(F_\ell^k) \in \mathbf{K}^G({}^{23}\mathcal{Z})$, then $(E_\ell^k \star F_\ell^k)$ lies in $\mathbf{K}^G({}^{13}\mathcal{Z})$. It yields the $\star$-product $\mathbf{K}^G({}^{12}\mathcal{Z}) \times \mathbf{K}^G({}^{23}\mathcal{Z}) \to \mathbf{K}^G({}^{13}\mathcal{Z})$ relative to $\mathcal{T}^3$.

B. Appendix : infinite dimensional vector spaces.

Let $\mathbf{k}$ be a field, V be a $\mathbf{k}$-vector space. Let $\mathbf{L}(V)$ be the $\mathbf{k}$-algebra consisting of linear endomorphisms of V. It is a topological ring for the *finite topology*, i.e. such that a basis of neighborhoods of the element ϕ is formed by the subsets

$$\{\phi' \,;\, \phi'(x) = \phi(x),\ \forall x \in S\}$$

where $S \subset V$ is finite.

Proposition. *A smooth and simple representation of the topological ring $\mathbf{L}(V)$ is isomorphic to V.*

Proof: Let W be a smooth representation of $\mathbf{L}(V)$. It gives a continuous ring homomorphism $\rho : \mathbf{L}(V) \to \mathbf{L}(W)$. If $\mathrm{Ker}\,(\rho) \neq \{0\}$ then it contains the two-sided ideal $\mathbf{L}(V)_f \subset \mathbf{L}(V)$ consisting of endomorphisms of finite rank, hence $\rho =$

0 because $\mathrm{Ker}\,(\rho)$ is closed and $\mathbf{L}(V)_f$ is dense. Therefore, if W is simple ρ is an isomorphism of $\mathbf{L}(V)$ onto a dense subring in $\mathbf{L}(W)$ by the Jacobson density theorem. Then, by [J, Chap. IX, §11, Theorem 6 and 7] there is an isomorphism $\psi : V \to W$ such that $\rho(x) = \psi \circ x \circ \psi^{-1}$ for all x. We are done. □

Index of notations

1.4. G°, $\mathbf{K}_G(\mathcal{X})$, $\mathbf{K}^G(\mathcal{X})$, $\mathbf{K}^G_i(\mathcal{X})$, $\mathbf{K}^i_G(\mathcal{X})$, $\mathbf{R}_G$, $\mathbf{J}_g$, $\mathbb{C}_g$, $\mathbf{M}_g$, $\delta_{\mathcal{X}}$, q_{ij}, q_i, $\mathbb{C}^\times_\rho$, $\langle g\rangle$, $N_G(H)$, $\mathbf{H}_*(\mathcal{X})$, $\mathbf{K}^G_{i,\mathrm{top}}(\mathcal{X})$, $\mathbf{K}^G_{\mathrm{top}}(\mathcal{X})$, $\mathbf{D}(\mathcal{X})$, $\mathbf{D}_G(\mathcal{X})$, $\mathbb{C}_{\mathcal{X}}$, $\mathbb{D}_{\mathcal{X}}$, $IC_{\mathcal{X}}$, $V \doteq W$, $\mathbf{F}_V$, $\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{con}}(V)$, $\mathbb{C}^\times_V$, $\mathbf{Mod}_{\mathbf{A}}$, $c^\bullet$

2.0. $\underline{G}$, $\underline{H}$, $\underline{\mathfrak{g}}$, $\underline{\mathfrak{g}}_\alpha$, $\underline{\mathfrak{h}}$, $\underline{\mathfrak{b}}$, $\underline{\Phi}$, $\underline{X}$, $\underline{Y}$, $\underline{X}^\vee$, $\underline{Y}^\vee$, α_i, ω_i, $\alpha_i^\vee$, $\omega_i^\vee$, θ, $\theta^\vee$, W, $\underline{W}$, $\ell(w)$, I, $\underline{I}$, X, Y, $X^\vee$, $Y^\vee$, δ, α_0, $\alpha_0^\vee$, c, ω_0, $\omega_0^\vee$, $(\ :\ )$, m_{ij}, Ω

2.1. K, K_d, ε, $\mathfrak{g}$, $\mathfrak{h}$, Φ, Φ^+, Φ^-, $\mathfrak{g}_\alpha$, Θ, $\mathfrak{u}(\pm\Theta)$, Θ_ℓ, $\mathfrak{u}_\ell$, $\mathfrak{u}_\ell^-$, $\mathfrak{u}$, $\mathfrak{u}^-$

2.2. G', G_{KM}, G, G_d, $\mathbb{C}^\times_\delta$, $\mathbb{C}^\times_{\omega_0}$, H', H, X', W_s, U, U^-, $U(\Theta)$, $U(-\Theta)$, U_ℓ, U_ℓ^-, $U_{\pm\alpha}$, B, B^-

2.3. $\mathcal{X}$, $\mathcal{G}$, π, $\dot{W}$, $1_{\mathcal{G}}$, $1_{\mathcal{X}}$, $\mathcal{X}_y$, $\mathcal{X}^w$, $\mathcal{U}^w$, $\mathcal{X}_{\leq y}$, ${}^w\mathcal{X}$, ${}^w\mathcal{G}$, $y'' \succeq (y, y')$

2.4. ${}^w\mathcal{X}^k$, $p^{k_2k_1}$, p^k

2.5. $\mathcal{T}$, $\rho : \mathcal{T} \to \mathcal{X}$, $\mathcal{V}^w$, ${}^w\mathcal{T}$, $[g : x]$, $\mathcal{T}_y$

2.6. ${}^w\mathcal{T}^{k\ell}$, $\mathcal{T}^\ell$, p_ℓ, $p_\ell^{k_2k_1}$, $p^k_{\ell_2\ell_1}$, p^k_ℓ

2.7. $\mathfrak{b}$, $\mathcal{B}$, $\mathfrak{b}_w$

2.8. $\dot{\mathfrak{g}}$, $p : \dot{\mathfrak{g}} \to \mathfrak{g}$, $\mathcal{B}_x$, $\mathcal{N}$, $\dot{\mathcal{N}}$,

2.9. Φ_J, $\Phi_J^\pm$, U_J, $\mathfrak{u}_J$, G_J, B_J, $\mathfrak{b}_J$, W_J, w_J, ${}^JW$, W^J, $\mathcal{X}^J$, ${}^w\mathcal{X}^J$, ${}^w\mathcal{X}^{J,k}$, $\mathcal{B}^J$, π_J, $\underline{\mathcal{B}}$, $\underline{\dot{\mathfrak{g}}}$, $p : \underline{\dot{\mathfrak{g}}} \to \underline{\mathfrak{g}}$, $\underline{\mathcal{N}}$, $\underline{\dot{\mathcal{N}}}$, $\underline{\mathcal{B}}_x$, $\underline{\mathcal{Z}}$, $\mathcal{B}_J$, $\dot{\mathcal{N}}_J$, $\mathcal{Z}_J$, $\mathcal{O}_{J,y}$, $\mathcal{Z}_{J,y}$, $\mathcal{Z}_{J,\leq y}$, ${}^J\mathcal{B}_y$, ${}^J\mathcal{B}$, $\flat$, $! : \mathcal{B} \to \underline{\mathcal{B}}$, $\mathfrak{p}^!$

2.10. $\mathcal{P}^J$, ${}^w\mathcal{P}^{J,k}$

2.11. $\mathrm{ad}_{(g,\zeta)}x$, $\mathbb{C}^\times_q$, A

2.12. $\underline{s}$, s', s, a, G^s, $\mathcal{B}^s$, $\mathfrak{g}^s$, $\mathcal{T}^a$

2.13. ${}^w\mathcal{X}^s$, ${}^w\mathcal{T}^a$, $\mathcal{X}^s_i$, Ξ_s, $\dot{\mathcal{N}}^a_i$

3.1. $\mathbf{H}$, t_i, x_λ, $\mathbf{R}_H$, $\mathbf{R}_A$, t_y, IM, $\mathbf{R}_{\tau,\zeta}$, $\mathbf{H}_{\tau,\zeta}$, $\mathbf{H}_{\leq w}$, $\mathbf{H}^{\mathrm{ch}}$

3.2. $\mathbf{H}_J$, $\underline{\mathbf{H}}$, $\underline{\mathbf{H}}_\zeta$

4.1. $\mathcal{O}_y$, $\mathcal{O}_{\leq y}$, ${}^w\mathcal{O}_y$, ${}^w\mathcal{O}^k_y$

4.2. $\mathcal{Z}_y$, $\mathcal{Z}_{\leq y}$, $\mathcal{Z}$, ${}^w\mathcal{Z}_y$, ${}^w\mathcal{Z}^{k\ell}_y$, $\mathcal{Z}^\ell_y$

4.3. $\mathcal{Z}^a_{ij}$

4.4. ${}^w\mathcal{Z}^a_{\leq y}$, $D \subset A$, $h^{w'w}$, $h_{yy'}$, $\mathbf{K}^D(\mathcal{Z}^a_{\leq y})$, $\mathbf{K}^D(\mathcal{Z}^a)$, $\mathbf{K}_a$, $\mathbf{K}_{a,\leq y}$

4.5. $\mathbf{K}^A({}^w\mathcal{Z}^0_{\leq w_J})$, $\mathbf{c}_a$

4.6. ${}^wL^k_\lambda$, ${}^wL^{k\ell}_\lambda$, $\mathbf{K}_H(\mathcal{X})$, $\mathbf{K}_A(\mathcal{T})$, $\mathbf{K}_H({}^w\mathcal{X})$, $\mathbf{K}_A({}^w\mathcal{T})$, ${}^wL_\lambda$, L_λ, $\mathbf{K}_H(\mathcal{X}^s)$, $\mathbf{K}_A(\mathcal{T}^a)$, $\mathcal{L}_\lambda$

4.7. D_λ, ${}^wD^{k\ell}$, ${}^wD^0_\lambda$, D_i, $\bar{\mathcal{Z}}_{s_i}$, $({}^w\mathcal{Z}_{s_i})^{k\ell}$, ${}^wD_i^{k\ell}$, ${}^wD_i^0$, p_a, $D_{\lambda,a}$, $D_{i,a}$, ${}^\sharp p_a$

4.8. ${}^w\beta_s$, $I_{w,s}$, $\mathbf{I}_a$, $\hat{\mathbf{R}}_a$, $W(a)$

4.9. Ψ_a, Θ_J, ${}^w\Theta_J$, ϕ_k, ψ_k, Γ_k, Γ, θ_i, ${}^w\Psi_a$, $\hat{\Psi}_a$, $\hat{\mathbf{H}}_a$

5.1. $\mathfrak{g}_{KM}$, $\phi = \{e, f, h\}$, $\mathfrak{g}_\phi$, G_ϕ, $Z_\phi(G)$, A_e, C_ϕ, s_ϕ

5.3. $\mathfrak{z}(f)$, $\underline{\mathfrak{s}}_\phi$, $\underline{\mathcal{S}}_\phi$, $\mathfrak{s}_\phi$, $\mathcal{S}_{\phi,y}$, $\mathcal{S}_{\phi,\leq y}$, $\mathcal{S}_{\phi,<y}$, $\mathcal{S}_\phi$, $\mathcal{S}^{k,\ell}_{\phi,y}$, $\gamma_y^{k\ell}$, γ_y, $\gamma_{y,a}$

5.4. $\mathbf{K}^D(\mathcal{S}^a_{\phi,\leq y})$

5.5. $(S_{\mathbb{Q}})$, $\underline{\mathcal{B}}^s_e$, (T_H)

5.6. $\tilde{\mathbf{c}}_a$, $\tilde{\Theta}_\flat$

5.7. σ_i, σ'_i

5.8. Υ, Υ_y

6.1. $L_{a,i}$, $S_{a,\chi}$, $\mathbf{L}_{a,\chi,i}$, $\mathbf{L}_{a,\chi}$, $\{\chi\}$, L_a, $\mathbf{Ext}(L_a, L_a)$, Φ_S, Φ_a, $nilp$, RR

6.2. $\mathcal{B}^s_e$, $\mathcal{B}^s_{e,\leq y}$, $\mathbf{H}_*(\mathcal{B}^s_e)$, $G(s,e)$, $\Pi(s,e)$, $\mathbf{N}_{a,e,\chi}$, $\Pi(s,e)^\vee$, ι

6.3. $\Pi(a)^\vee$, $v : \mathbb{C}^\times \to \mathbb{Q}$, $\underline{\phi} = \{\underline{e}, \underline{f}, \underline{h}\}$, $\underline{M}$, $\underline{M}_{\underline{\phi}}$, $\mathfrak{g}_{t,i}$, Q, L, $\mathfrak{q}$, $\mathfrak{l}$, $\hat{\mathcal{Q}}$, $\hat{\mathcal{N}}^a$, $\hat{\mathcal{B}}^s_e$, $L(s,e)$, Z°_L, M^s, M^s_ϕ, M^s_u, $\hat{\Xi}_s$

6.5. $\underline{\Pi}(\underline{s}, \underline{e})$, $\underline{G}(\underline{s}, \underline{e})$, $\Pi(\underline{s}, \underline{e})^\vee$, $\underline{\Pi}(a)^\vee$, $\underline{\mathbf{L}}_{a,\underline{\chi}}$, $\underline{G}(\underline{s}, \underline{\phi})$, $\underline{\mathbf{M}}_{a,\underline{\phi},\underline{\chi}}$, $\underline{n}_{a,\underline{\chi},\underline{\chi}'}$

6.7. $n_{a,\underline{\chi},\chi}$, ε

7.1. $\mathcal{B}_L$, $\mathcal{N}_L$, $\dot{\mathcal{N}}_L$, $\dot{\mathfrak{l}}$, $\mathfrak{g}_\pm$, $\mathfrak{l}_\pm$, $\dot{\mathfrak{g}}_\pm$, $\dot{\mathfrak{l}}_\pm$, $\mathcal{E}_\pm$, $\mathcal{F}_\pm$, $\mathbf{Ind}^{\mathfrak{g}_\pm}_{\mathfrak{q}_\pm}$, $\dot{\mathcal{N}}_\pm$, $\dot{\mathcal{N}}_{L,\pm}$

7.3. $\langle\ :\ \rangle$

7.4. $\Pi(a)^\vee$, $\check{a}$, $\mathbf{L}_{\check{a},\check{\chi}}$, $\bar{a}$

7.5. $m_{a,\underline{\chi},\chi}$

7.6. $\mathbf{Mod}^{int}_{\mathbf{H}_{\tau,\zeta}}$

8.2. $\sigma = (\sigma_{ij})$, $\sigma[n]$, $\{(\sigma_a), (z_a)\}$, $\mathcal{S}$, $\mathcal{S}/\sim$, $\mathcal{C}$, $\mathcal{C}/\sim$

8.3. $\mathbf{H}'_{\tau,\zeta}$, $\mathbf{H}^{\mathrm{ch}}_{\tau,\zeta}$, $\{\mathbf{L}, \alpha\}$, $\mathbf{M}^\pi$, $\{\mathbf{M}^\pi, \beta\}$

8.4. $\mathcal{C}_d$, $\mathcal{S}_d$, $\mathbf{Q}_m$, $Q_d/\sim$, ${}^sd_i$, $\mathrm{Rep}\,{}^sd(\mathbf{Q}_m)$

8.5. $\mathbf{U}_m$, $\mathcal{S}_\infty$, $\mathbf{B}$, $\mathbf{b}_x$, $\mathbf{F}$, $\mathbf{f}_x$, $\mathbf{d}$, $\mathbf{f_d}$, $\mathbf{U}_\infty$, $\underline{\mathbf{f}}_i$, $\mathbf{Q}_\infty$, $\underline{\mathbf{B}}$, $\underline{\mathbf{F}}$, $\underline{\mathbf{b}}_x$, $\underline{\mathbf{f}}_x$, $\underline{\mathbf{d}}$, $\underline{\mathbf{f}}_{\underline{\mathbf{d}}}$, $\mathbf{H}_d$, $\mathbf{G}_d$, $\mathbf{G}_\infty$, $\mathbf{T}_\infty$, $\mathbf{L}_x$, $\mathbf{L}^x$, $\mathbf{M}_x$, $\mathbf{M}^x$, $\underline{\mathcal{C}}_d[\ell]$, $\underline{\mathcal{C}}_d$, $\underline{\mathcal{S}}_d$, $\underline{\mathcal{S}}_\infty$, $\underline{\mathbf{H}}_d$, $\underline{\mathbf{G}}_d$, $\underline{\mathbf{G}}_\infty$, $\underline{\mathbf{T}}_\infty$, $\underline{\mathbf{L}}_x$, $\underline{\mathbf{L}}^x$, $\underline{\mathbf{M}}_x$, $\underline{\mathbf{M}}^x$, Δ, $\hat{\mathbf{U}}_\infty$, $m_{x,\underline{x}}$

9. $\mathbf{Mod}_a$, $\underline{\mathbf{Mod}}_a$

9.3. ht, h, r, $\rho^\vee$, Π_k, e_k, a_k

9.4. $\mathbf{H}'_J$, $\mathcal{N}_J$, $p_J : \dot{\mathcal{N}}_J \to \mathcal{N}_J$, ${}^w\mathcal{T}^{k\ell}_J$, ${}^w\mathcal{T}_J$, $p : {}^w\mathcal{T}^{k\ell} \to {}^w\mathcal{T}^{k\ell}_J$, $\mathcal{U}_J$, $\mathcal{U}$, $\mathcal{Z}_\mathcal{U}$, $\mathcal{B}_{e,\leq w}$, $\mathbf{H}_*(\mathcal{B}_e)$, ψ

References

[A] Ariki, S., *On the decomposition numbers of the Hecke algebra of $G(n, 1, m)$*, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. **36** (1996), 789-808.

[AST] Arakawa,T., Suzuki, T., Tsuchiya, A., *Degenerate double affine Hecke algebras and conformal field theory*, Topological Field Theory, Primitive Forms and Related Topics, Proceedings of the 38-th Taniguchi Symposium, Birkhauser, 1998.

[B] Bourbaki, N., *Groupes et algèbres de Lie, chap. IV-VI*, Hermann, Paris, 1968.

[BEG1] Baranovsky, V., Evens, S., Ginzburg, V., *Representations of quantum tori and double-affine Hecke algebras*, math.RT/0005024.

[BEG2] Berest, Y., Etingof, P., Ginzburg, V., *Cherednik algebras and differential operators on quasi-invariants*, math.QA/0111005.

[BH] Bass, H., Haboush, W., *Linearizing certain reductive group actions*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **292** (1985), 463-482.

[BL] Bernstein, J., Lunts, V., *Equivariant sheaves and functors*, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 1578, Springer-Verlag, 1994.

[BV] Barbash, D., Vogan, D., *Unipotent representation of complex semisimple groups*, Ann. Math. **121** (1985), 41-110.

[C1] Cherednik, I., *Intertwining operators of double affine Hecke algebras*, Selecta. Math. **3** (1997), 459-495.

[C2] Cherednik, I., *Double affine Hecke algebras and difference Fourier transforms*, math.QA/0110024 (revised in May 2002).

[CG] Chriss, N., Ginzburg, V., *Representation theory and complex geometry*, Birkhäuser, Boston-Basel-Berlin, 1997.

[DLP] De Concini, C., Lusztig, G., Procesi, C., *Homology of the zero-set of a nilpotent vector field on a flag manifold*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **1** (1988), 15-34.

[EGAIV] Grothendieck, A., Dieudonné, J., *Eléments de géométrique algébrique IV*, Publ. Math. IHES (1967).

[EM] Evens, S., Mirković, I., *Fourier transform and the Iwahori-Matsumoto involution*, Duke Math. J. **86** (1997), 435-464.

[F] Fan, C.K., *Euler characteristic of certain affine flag varieties*, Transformation Groups **1** (1996), 35-39.

[G] Garland, H., *The arithmetic theory of loop groups*, Publ. Math. I.H.E.S. **52** (1980), 5-136.

[GG] Garland, H., Grojnowski, I., *Affine Hecke algebras associated to Kac-Moody groups*, preprint math.QA/9508019.

[GV] Grothendieck, A., Verdier, J.-L., *Théorie des topos et cohomologie étale des schémas, SGA IV*, Lectures Notes in Math, vol. 269, Springer, 1970.

[IM] Iwahori, N., Matsumoto, H., *On some Bruhat decomposition and the structure of the Hecke rings od p-adic Chevalley groups*, Publ. Math. I.H.E.S. **25** (1965), 5-48.

[J] Jacobson, N., *Lectures in abstract algebra, vol. II : Linear algebra.* (D. Van Nostrand Co., ed.), 1953.

[K] Kashiwara, M., *The flag manifold of Kac-Moody Lie algebras*, Algebraic Analysis, Geometry and Number Theory, Johns Hopkins Univ. Press, Baltimore, 1990.

[Kc] Kac, V., *Constructing groups associated to infinite-dimensional Lie algebras*, MDRI publication, vol. 4, Springer-Verlag, 1985, pp. 167-216.

[KcP] Kac, V., Peterson, D., *On geometric invariant theory for infinite-dimensional groups*, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 1271, Springer-Verlag, 1987.

[KcW] Kac, V., Wang, S.P., *On automorphisms of Kac-Moody Algebras and groups*, Adv. in Math. **92** (1992), 129-195.

[KL1] Kazdhan, D., Lusztig, G., *Proof of the Deligne-Langlands conjecture for Hecke algebras*, Invent. Math. **87** (1987), 153-215.

[KL2] Kazdhan, D., Lusztig, G., *Fixed point varieties on affine flag manifolds*, Israel J. Math. **62** (1988), 129-168.

[KS] Kashiwara, M., Schapira, P., *Sheaves on manifolds*, Grundlehren Math. Wiss., vol. 292, Springer-Verlag, 1990.

[KT1] Kashiwara, M., Tanisaki, T., *Kazhdan-Lusztig conjecture for symmetrizable Kac-Moody Lie algebras, II*, Operators Algebras, Unitary Representations, Enveloping Algebras, and Invariant theory, Prog. Math., vol. 92, Birkhauser, Boston, 1990, pp. 159-195.

[KT2] Kashiwara, M., Tanisaki, T., *Kazhdan-Lusztig conjecture for affine Lie algebras with negative level*, Duke Math. J. **77** (1995), 21-69.

[KT3] Kashiwara, M., Tanisaki, T., *Parabolic Kazhdan-Lusztig polynomials and Schubert varieties*, math.RT/9908153.

[L1] Lusztig, G., *Study of perverse sheaves arising from graded Lie algebras*, Adv. Math. **112** (1995), 147-217.

[L2] Lusztig, G., *Bases in equivariant K-theory*, Representation Theory **2** (1998), 298-369.

[L3] Lusztig, G., *Bases in equivariant K-theory, II*, Representation Theory **3** (1999), 281-353.

[L4] Lusztig, G., *Canonical bases arising from quantized enveloping algebras, I*, J. Amer. Soc. **3** (1990), 447-498.

[L5] Lusztig, G., *Affine Weyl groups and conjugacy classes in Weyl groups*, Transformation Groups **1** (1996), 83-97.

[N] Nakajima, H., *Quiver varieties and finite dimensional representations of quantum affine algebras*, J. Amer. Soc. **14** (2000), 145-238.

[R] Raynaud, M., *Faisceaux amples sur les schémas en groupes et les espaces homogènes*, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 119, Springer-Verlag, 1970.

[S] Shoji, T., *On the Green polynomial of classical groups*, Invent. Math. **74** (1983), 239-267.

[SGA6] Berthelot, P., Grothendieck, A., Illusie, L., *Théorie des intersections et Théorème de Riemann-Roch*, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 225, Springer-Verlag, 1971.

[SS] Springer, T.A., Steinberg, R., *Conjugacy classes*, Lecture Notes in Math., part E, vol. 131, Springer-Verlag, 1970.

[T1] Thomason, R. W., *Equivariant resolution, linearization, and Hilbert fourteenth problem over arbitrary base schemes*, Adv. in Math. **65** (1987), 16-34.

[T2] Thomason, R. W., *Comparison of equivariant algebraic and topological K-theory*, Duke Math. J. **53** (1986), 795-825.

[T3] Thomason, R. W., *Equivariant algebraic vs. topological K-homology Atiyah-Segal-style*, Duke Math. J. **56** (1988), 589-636.

[T4] Thomason, R. W., *Une formule de Lefschetz en K-théorie équivariante algébrique*, Duke Math. J. **68** (1992), 447-462.

[TT] Thomason, R., Trobaugh, T., *Higher algebraic K-theory of schemes and of derived categories*, The Grothendieck Festschrift, vol. 3 (P. Cartier et al., ed.), Birkhauser, 1990, pp. 247-436.

[VV] Varagnolo,M., Vasserot, E., *On the decomposition matrices of the quantized Schur algebra*, Duke Math. J. **100** (1999), 267-297.

Département de Mathématiques, Université de Cergy-Pontoise, 2 av. A. Chauvin, BP 222, 95302 Cergy-Pontoise Cedex, France

E-mail address: eric.vasserot@math.u-cergy.fr